# Planning and Zoning Board Agenda October 24, 2023 Room 102-7:00 P.M. 

## Call to Order and Roll Call

Approval of Minutes, October 10, 2023
Public Comment: For matters that are not on the agenda

## Pending Applications:

1. Address: 900 Graceland Avenue and 1217 Thacker Street Case Number: 23-039-MAP-PUD-TSUB

The petitioner has requested the following items: (i) a Map Amendment to rezone from M-2 General Manufacturing to R-3 Townhouse Residential District; (ii) a Preliminary Planned Unit Development (PUD) with exceptions; (iii) a Tentative Plat of Subdivision to consolidate eight lots into two lots; and (iv) any other variations, waivers, and zoning relief as may be necessary.

PINs: $\quad 09-20-105-016-0000,09-20-105-017-0000,09-20-105-020-0000,09-20-105-021-0000,09-20-$ 105-022-0000, 09-20-105-023-0000, 09-20-105-024-0000, 09-20-105-045-0000

Petitioner: Luz and Associates \#1, LLC, 2030 West Wabansia Avenue, Chicago, IL 60611
Owner: Contour Saws, Inc., 100 Lakeview Parkway, Ste. 100, Vernon Hills, 60061
2. Address: Citywide

Case Number: 23-061-TA
The City is proposing text amendments to the Zoning Ordinance related to landscape buffers and screening requirements.

PIN: Citywide
Petitioner: City of Des Plaines, 1420 Miner Street, Des Plaines, IL, 60016
Owner: N/A

## New Business

1. Discussion of availability of the Planning and Zoning Board (PZB) to host a workshop for a proposed development at 414 East Golf Road.

City of Des Plaines, in compliance with the Americans With Disabilities Act, requests that persons with disabilities, who require certain accommodations to allow them to observe and/or participate in the meeting(s) or have questions about the accessibility of the meeting(s) or facilities, contact the ADA Coordinator at 847-391-5486 to allow the City to make reasonable accommodations for these persons. The public hearing may be continued to a further date, time and place without publication of a further published notice such as this notice.

## DES PLAINES PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD MEETING <br> October 10, 2023 <br> DRAFT MINUTES

The Des Plaines Planning and Zoning Board held its regularly scheduled meeting on Tuesday, October 10, 2023, at 7:00 p.m. in Room 102 of the Des Plaines Civic Center.

Chair Szabo called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and roll call was established.

PRESENT: Weaver, Fowler, Hofherr, Saletnik, Szabo,

ABSENT: Catalano, Veremis

ALSO PRESENT: Ryan Johnson, Asst Director of Community \& Economic Development Jonathan Stytz, Senior Planner
Margie Mosele, CED Executive Assistant

A quorum was present.

## Call to Order and Roll Call

Approval of Minutes: September 26, 2023

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A motion was made by Board Member Fowler seconded by Board Member Hofherr to approve the meeting minutes of September 26, 2023.

AYES: Fowler, Hofherr, Weaver, Saletnik, Szabo
NAYES: None
ABSTAIN: None

## ***MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY **

## PUBLIC COMMENT ON NON-AGENDA ITEM

Chair Szabo asked if anyone was here to discuss items not on the agenda. - None

The petitioner has requested a Conditional Use for an auto service repair use in the C-3 General Commercial district at 607 E. Oakton Street, and any other variations, waivers, and zoning relief as may be necessary.

Petitioner: Mykola Tsakhniv, 601 Huntington Commons, Mt Prospect, IL 60056

Owner:
607 Oakton, LLC, 2241 W. Howard Street, Chicago, IL 60645
PIN:
09-30-202-008-0000

Ward: \#5, Alderman Carla Brookman
Existing Zoning: C-3, General Commercial District
Existing Land Use: $\quad$ Vacant Building (former Auto Service Repair use)
Surrounding Zoning: North: R-3, Townhouse Residential District
South: R-1, Single Family Residential District
East: C-3, General Commercial District
West: M-2, General Manufacturing District
Surrounding Land Use: North: Townhouses (residential)
South: High School (institutional)
East: Animal Hospital (commercial)
West: Warehouse (industrial)
Street Classification: Oakton Street and Wolf Road are Minor Arterial roads, both under Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) jurisdiction.

Comprehensive Plan :
Commercial is the recommended use of the property.
Zoning/Property History: Based on City records, the subject property was annexed into the City in 1955. It was utilized as an auto repair use, Elmer's Service, until 2014 when it was vacated. The subject property has been vacant since 2014. Auto service repair was not a conditional use in past zoning ordinances, so no zoning entitlements were necessary for the prior repair shop and thus no conditional use permits are on record for this address.

## Project Description:

Overview
Petitioner Mykola Tsakhniv has requested a Conditional Use Permit to operate an auto service repair facility, BOGO Shop, at 607 E. Oakton Street. The subject property contains a stand-alone building with a surface parking area as shown in the attached ALTA/NSPS Land Title Survey. The subject property is located on the southeast corner of Oakton Street and Wolf Road and is accessed by four existing curb cuts, two from Oakton Street and Wolf Road. The subject property is located within the C-3, General Commercial district and auto service repair requires a conditional use permit in the C 3 zoning district.

## Floor Plan and Elevations

The existing one-story, 2,437-square foot building is made up of three service bays, 120 -square feet of office space, restroom, utility rooms, and storage spaces. While the petitioner is not proposing a change to the size or location of the building, the proposal includes adjustments to the existing floor plan, which are summarized below and illustrated on the attached Floor Plans:

- Repurpose the existing front office space into a customer lobby area;
- Repurpose the existing front storage area into an office;
- Expand the existing restroom space; and
- Repurpose the existing rear utility room as a parts assembly area.

The existing structure is comprised of a mixture of board and batten siding and concrete masonry units. The petitioner does not propose to replace the existing materials but rather repaint all exterior building materials as illustrated in the attached Elevations and the attached Renderings.

## Off-Street Parking and Access

Pursuant to Section 12-9-7 of the Des Plaines Zoning Ordinance, auto service repair facilities are required to provide two parking spaces per service bay and one space for every 200 square feet of accessory retail. Thus, a total of seven off-street parking spaces are required including one handicap accessible parking space. The attached Site Plan proposes 15 total parking spaces on the property, including a handicap accessible space. There are currently four access points on the subject property, two are in close proximity to the Oakton/Wolf intersection. Public Works and Engineering (PWE) staff have recommended that these two curb cuts be removed and replaced with turf and curb to minimize vehicle/pedestrian interactions and traffic cutting through the subject property. However, the proposal does not include the removal of any curb cuts. Instead, it includes the closing off the westernmost curb cut off Oakton Street and northernmost curb cut off Wolf Road with the addition of two planter boxes in front of each entrance. A proposed condition of approval is that the landscaper boxes need to be located within the property line. No other changes to the existing curb cuts are proposed. The existing pavement in the parking area is in disrepair. As such, the petitioner intends to either replace, retain, or sealcoat portions of the parking area based on its condition and restripe parking spaces as illustrated on the attached Site Plan.

## Landscaping and Screening

The existing property is void of any landscaping. However, the petitioner's proposal includes (i) the installation of a landscaped area with curb at the northwest corner of the property and (ii) the addition of four planter boxes-two located in front of the westernmost curb cut off Oakton Street and two located in front of the northernmost curb cut off Wolf Road-as illustrated in the attached landscape plan. A proposed condition of approval is that the landscaper boxes need to be located within the property line.

The Comprehensive Plan seeks to encourage and actively pursue beautification opportunities and efforts, including the installation of landscaping, street furniture, lighting, and other amenities, to establish a more attractive environment and achieve stronger corridor identity in Des Plaines. Due to the small lot and prominent location, conditions are being recommended by staff to enhance the property and minimize any visual impacts. While the proposal includes the addition of some landscaping, staff has added a condition requiring a minimum five-foot-landscape bed around the perimeter of the north row of six parking spaces and along the entire west property line maintaining the access through the southernmost curb cut off Wolf Road to provide a more pronounced buffer between the streets, building, and parking areas.

A dumpster will be located behind the building within a fenced in area. Staff has added a condition that the dumpster is located within an enclosure in compliance with Section 12-10-11 of the Des Plaines Zoning Ordinance. The enclosure is noted on the Floor Plan.

## Business Operations

BOGO Shop will be open 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, 9 a.m. to 1 p.m. on Saturdays and closed on Sundays. Their services will include: (i) engine diagnostics and repairs; (ii) brake system inspections and repairs; (iii) suspension and steering repairs; (iv) transmissions maintenance and repairs; (v) AC and heating system servicing; (vi) electrical system diagnostics and repairs; and (vii) routine maintenance (e.g., oil changes, tire rotations, etc.). A maximum of four employees will be present on site at a given time. Please see the attached Project Narrative for more details. Proposed conditions of approval related to business operations include providing a dedicated area for used tires and a tire disposal contract provided with the business registration, if applicable to business operations. Another condition of approval limits use of the existing waste oil tank until proper approvals are received from local, state, or federal entities.

Conditional Use Findings: Conditional Use requests are subject to the standards set forth in Section 12-3-4(E) of the Zoning Ordinance. Rationale for how the proposed amendments would satisfy the standards is provided below and in the attached petitioner responses to standards. The Board may use the provided responses as written as its rationale, modify, or adopt its own.

1. The proposed Conditional Use is in fact a Conditional Use established within the specific Zoning district involved:

Comment: The proposed services at the BOGO shop are classified under the auto service repair use, which is a Conditional Use as specified in Section 12-7-3.K of the Zoning Ordinance for properties in the C-3 General Commercial District.

PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary): $\qquad$
2. The proposed Conditional Use is in accordance with the objectives of the City's Comprehensive Plan:
Comment: The Comprehensive Plan designates this property as Commercial and strives to foster growth and redevelopment of existing commercial corridors to attract new businesses to Des Plaines. This property is positioned on the Oakton Street corridor and is surrounded by a mixture of commercial, residential, and industrial development. The addition of the auto service repair use at the subject property falls within the Commercial use category.

PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary):
3. The proposed Conditional Use is designed, constructed, operated and maintained to be harmonious and appropriate in appearance with the existing or intended character of the general vicinity:

Comment: The property and existing building has been designed for an automotive repair use and was previously occupied by an automotive service repair shop. However, the subject property has been vacant since 2014 and has fallen into disrepair. The proposed auto repair facility will repurpose and improve this property so it is consistent with surrounding commercial development. The petitioner proposes to revitalize the vacant building for an auto service repair use so that it blends well with the surrounding commercial uses and structures. The petitioner proposes to repaint the exterior of the building and slightly alter the floor plan, but does not propose to change the size, location, or height of the structure at this time.

PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary):
4. The proposed Conditional Use is not hazardous or disturbing to existing neighboring uses: Comment: The previous automotive repair use located within this building was not hazardous or disturbing to existing neighboring uses. The footprint and height of the existing building will remain the same. However, the exterior of the building will be repainted to improve its appearance and the installation of landscaping on the site is proposed to improve the overall appearance of the property to neighboring uses. The auto service repair use is consistent with and complementary to other commercial uses in the area.

PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary):
5. The proposed Conditional Use is to be served adequately by essential public facilities and services, such as highways, streets, police and fire protection, drainage structures, refuse disposal, water and sewer, and schools; or, agencies responsible for establishing the Conditional Use shall provide adequately any such services:
Comment: The previous auto service repair use on this site was adequately served by essential public facilities and services. The proposal does include closing off the two curb cuts closest to the Oakton/Wolf intersection to address concerns related to vehicular/pedestrian interactions and cut-throughs. However, the two remaining curb cuts are sufficient to provide access to the site. Staff does not have concerns that the proposed auto service repair use will be adequately served by essential public facilities and services.

PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary): $\qquad$
6. The proposed Conditional Use does not create excessive additional requirements at public expense for public facilities and services and will not be detrimental to the economic wellbeing of the entire community:
Comment: The previous auto service repair use did not create a burden on public facilities and was not detrimental to the economic well-being of the community. Thus, there are no anticipated concerns for the community as a result of the Conditional Use Permit for a new auto service repair use at this location.

PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary):
7. The proposed Conditional Use does not involve uses, activities, processes, materials, equipment and conditions of operation that will be detrimental to any persons, property, or the general welfare by reason of excessive production of traffic, noise, smoke fumes, glare or odors:
Comment: The proposed auto service repair use is not anticipated to create additional traffic compared to the previous auto service repair use. In addition, all activities will take place inside the building to reduce any noise, smoke fumes, glare, or odors. An eight foot tall, solid fence is required by Section 12-10-9.C for C-3 properties abutting residential districts; a proposed condition of approval requires this fence to be installed. This fence will limit any headlights from spilling onto the adjacent property and provide additional screening.

PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary):
8. The proposed Conditional Use provides vehicular access to the property designed so that it does not create an interference with traffic on surrounding public thoroughfares:
Comment: The proposed auto service repair use will not create an interference with traffic on surrounding public thoroughfares. The proposal will close off two of the existing four access points onto the property-one from Oakton Street and one from Wolf Road-and add landscaping to minimize vehicular interaction points utilized by the previous auto service repair business.

PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary):
9. The proposed Conditional Use does not result in the destruction, loss, or damage of natural, scenic, or historic features of major importance:
Comment: The proposed auto service repair use would not cause the destruction, loss, or damage of any natural, scenic or historic features of major importance. The building and site were already developed for this use. The petitioner plans to add landscaping and screening to improve the aesthetics of the property.

PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary):

## 10. The proposed Conditional Use complies with all additional regulations in the Zoning

 Ordinance specific to the Conditional Use requested:Comment: The proposed auto service repair use meets all other requirements of the Zoning Ordinance for the C-3 General Commercial District.

PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary):

PZB Procedure and Recommended Conditions: Under Section 12-3-4.D (Procedure for Review and Decision for Conditional Uses) of the Zoning Ordinance, the PZB has the authority to recommend that the City Council approve, approve subject to conditions, or deny the above-mentioned conditional use permit for a new auto service repair use at 607 E. Oakton Street. City Council has final authority on the proposal.

Consideration of the request should be based on a review of the information presented by the applicant and the findings made above, as specified in Section 12-3-4.E (Standards for Conditional Uses) of the Zoning Ordinance. If the PZB recommends approval of the request, staff recommends the following conditions.

## Conditions of Approval:

1. The parking area shall be repaved with a dust-free hard surface and the parking spaces shall be painted on the property to match the approved Site Plan A revised parking striping plan may be approved by the Community and Economic Development Department if the plans meet requirements of Section 12-9-6 and Site Plan Review standards pursuant to Section 12-3-2.B.
2. Minimum five-foot wide perimeter landscape areas shall be installed along the perimeter of the north parking area and the west property line in compliance with Section 12-10-8.B.
3. All planter boxes shall be at least 12 -inches high and 12 -inches wide and shall be filled and maintained with live plantings. Planter boxes and any other landscaping improvements must be located within the property line.
4. The dumpster shall be screened on all sides by solid wood or masonry fence with a height of not less than six feet but not more than eight feet in compliance with Section 12-10-11.
5. Damaged or inoperable vehicles shall not be parked or stored outside the Subject Property for more than fourteen consecutive days.
6. No vehicles shall be stored within the required parking spaces or drive aisles at any time.
7. Only three service bays shall be allowed for the life of this conditional use.
8. No auto body related activities are permitted unless this conditional use is amended. Sale and display of motor vehicles is not permitted at any time.
9. That the Site/Landscaping Plan drawing shall be updated so as to remove the two curb cuts closest to the East Oakton Street/South Wolf Road intersection, provide the dumpster enclosure location and details, and show the addition of the perimeter landscape areas between the parking area and the public sidewalk. The revised Site/Landscape Plan drawings shall be resubmitted to staff within 60 days of City Council approval.
10. An eight-foot tall solid wood, vinyl, or masonry fence must be installed along the south boundary in compliance with Section 12-10-9.C.
11. Used tires may only be stored inside the building, dumpster enclosure, or permitted accessory structure. A contract with a tire disposal company must be provided to Community and Economic Development staff prior to issuance of a business registration, or an affidavit signed attesting that no used tires will be stored on site.
12. The existing waste oil tank on site shall not be used until it receives proper local, state, or federal approvals.

## Attachments:

Attachment 1: Location Map
Attachment 2: Site and Context Photos
Attachment 3: Photos of Existing Conditions
Attachment 4: ALTA/NSPS Land Title Survey
Attachment 5: Petitioner's Reponses to Standards for Conditional Uses
Attachment 6: Project Narrative
Attachment 7: Site Plan
Attachment 8: Floor Plan
Attachment 9: Elevations
Attachment 10: Renderings
Attachment 11: Landscape Plan
Attachment 12: Photometric Plan

Chair Szabo swore in Mykola Tsakhniv (Petitioner), Louis Capozzoli (Attorney), Kevin Kazimer (Architect), and Nick Ivaniv and Roman Tsakhniv (interpreters). Mr. Capozzoli stated that here to discuss 607 East Oakton to open an Auto Repair Service. He stated that the building is staying the same and will be making improvements. He stated that Main West is located behind the property. He stated that the property has been vacant since 2014. They plan to do auto repair and no body work. They plan to make improvements inside the building including office, storage, restrooms and cosmetic repairs. He stated that they meet the parking requirements. Mr. Capozzoli stated that the city wants curb cuts for two driveways into the property. He stated that the back fence is not on their property.

Mr. Kazimer gave a Power Point presentation. He went over the Plat of Survey from 6/27/2023. He showed enlargements form the NW Corner view with the recent IDOT improvements. He displayed pictures of the IDOT improvements from September 2021 and October 2023. He gave examples of Des Plaines Mechanic Shops and their curb cuts. He explained the proposed site plan. He displayed photos of the existing fence. He showed the Des Plaines Zoning Ordinance for Fencing 12-8-2. He explained the proposed Landscape Plan. He displayed the Proposed Aerial Rendering of the site. He displayed a photo of the Horse Trough Planters. He went over the Proposed Photometrics Plan.

Member Fowler stated that lots of people cut through that area. She stated we need to look at the safety of the kids. She also asked if the petitioner contacted Maine West regarding the fence.

Mr. Kazimer stated that they have an alternative to the fence which would have canvas.
Mr. Capozzoli stated that they have not contacted Maine West. But they could put Maine Wests name on the fence and clean it up.

Jonathan Stytz, Senior Planner, explained the fence requirements. He stated that the fence is located on Maine Wests property. He stated that the section of the code they are discussing regarding fencing abutting and that is only when both fences are on the same property. He stated that the fence would be on the petitioner's property. He stated privacy slats are not permitted.

Chair Szabo stated that he does not suggest back to back fences since it could cause litter build-up.
Jonathan Stytz went over the staff report. He explained the petition for a Conditional Use for an Auto Service Repair Use at 607 E. Oakton Street. Mr. Stytz explained the Location Map and Background for 607 E. Oakton Street: This location was a former auto repair use (Elmer's Service) and building has been vacant since 2014. He noted the property consists of one lot of record with total property area of $15,499 \mathrm{SF}$ ( 0.36 acres) and is in the C-3 General Commercial zoning district.

Mr. Stytz displayed and explained Site Photos. He explained the Site Plan which includes parking spaces, drive isles, landscape areas, etc. He explained the Floor Plan, North (Front) Elevation, West (Side) Elevation, East (Side) Elevation and South (Rear) Elevation. He displayed the Renderings from three angles. He explained the Landscape Plan. He stated staff is concerned about safety because of the cut throughs. He stated that the city has concerns with the two curb cuts.

The PZB Staff has 11 Recommended Conditions which are as follows:

1. The parking area shall be repaved with a dust-free hard surface and the parking spaces shall be painted on the property to match the approved Site Plan. A revised parking striping plan may be approved by the Community and Economic Development Department if the plans meet the requirements of Section 12-9-6 and Site Plan Review standards pursuant to Section 12-3-2.B.
2. Minimum five-foot wide perimeter landscape areas shall be installed along the perimeter of the north parking area and the west property line in compliance with Section 12-108.B.
3. All planter boxes shall be at least 12 -inches high and 12 -inches wide and shall be filled and maintained with live plantings. Planter boxes and any other landscaping improvements must be located within the property line.
4. The dumpster shall be screened on all sides by a solid wood or masonry fence with a height of not less than six feet but not more than eight feet in compliance with Section 12-10-11.
5. Damaged or inoperable vehicles shall not be parked or stored outside the Subject Property for more than fourteen consecutive days. No vehicles shall be stored within the drive aisles at any time.
6. Only three service bays shall be allowed for the life of this conditional use. No auto body related activities are permitted unless this conditional use is amended. Sale and display of motor vehicles is not permitted at any time.
7. No auto body related activities are permitted unless this conditional use is amended. Sale and display of motor vehicles is not permitted at any time.
8. That the Site/Landscaping Plan drawing shall be updated so as to remove the two curb cuts closest to the East Oakton Street/South Wolf Road intersection, provide the dumpster enclosure location and details, and show the addition of the perimeter landscape areas between the parking area and the public sidewalk. The revised Site/Landscape Plan drawings shall be resubmitted to staff within 60 days of City Council approval.
9. An eight-foot tall solid wood, vinyl, or masonry fence must be installed along the south boundary in compliance with Section 12-10-9.C.
10. Used tires may only be stored inside the building, a dumpster, a fully enclosed fence enclosure, or a permitted accessory structure. A contract with a tire disposal company must be provided to Community and Economic Development staff prior to issuance of a business registration, or an affidavit must be signed attesting that no used tires will be stored on site.
11. The existing waste oil tank on site shall not be used until it receives proper local, state, or federal approvals.

He stated that the Planning and Zoning Board is the Recommending Body and has the authority to recommend approval, approval with conditions, or denial for the Conditional Use for Auto Service Repair Use.

Member Weaver stated that the area where they are not sure if it will be gravel or grass is not in the condition.

Mr. Stytz stated that it is not in the conditions of approval. The area is noted because staff need to the area to be identified on what it will be used for since the Site Plan will be part of an ordinance. He also stated that the area cannot be gravel. He stated they can seed the area.

Mr. Saletnik stated that before this goes to council and it should be included in the conditions, the disposition of the unknown area needs to be known. The property owner needs to decide what they will be doing with that area and plan accordingly. And this is since this is next to Maine West- why wouldn't you contact them to find out who owns the fence. He stated that should have been a part of the petitioner's due diligence. He stated that they should be required to contact Maine West to see if they will remove the fence. Then the City should require you to put up the normal 8 -foot barrier fence. He also states that the galvanized horse troughs are not right for such a highly visible area. He also asked staff if engineering suggested those curb cuts to be closed. And if they did then another condition would be that the petitioner contacts IDOT and get a decision regarding the curb cuts.

Ryan Johnson, Assistant Community and Economic Development Director, stated that some of the changes shown by the petitioner tonight have not been given to staff. He stated staff would need time to review the changes.

Member Weaver stated that if looks like there are three conditions that need to be resolved for the board's recommendation. Those conditions are the planters, the curb cuts, and the fence.

Mr. Stytz stated that the curb cuts are IDOT property, and the city does not have a decision on what IDOT does. The curb cuts were there and IDOT came and made improvements and did not make a change. He doesn't think we should jump to the conclusion that IDOT left the curb cuts because they didn't have a problem with it. He believes the City should get something from IDOT to give a decision on what they think of the curb cuts. He believes if the condition for the two curb cuts it taken away that they should have something from IDOT showing approval.

Ryan Johnson stated that if IDOT was making improvements to a site, it is hard for the City Engineering department to decide what a future use for a private property would be. And for some of the examples from the petitioner, there are legal non-conforming curb cuts that were done many years ago that were allowed.

Member Saletnik stated that he believes there should be two conditions before it goes to City Council. He states that we need to get a disposition from Public Works and Engineering of what the status of the curb cuts would be and get disposition from Maine West on the fence.

Member Weaver stated that if they wait to have the issues addressed, then the petitioner would lose a construction season. He suggested a motion with changes to Conditions 3,8 and 11 .

## A motion was made by Board Member Weaver, seconded by Board Member Hofherr to recommend approval to the City Council of the C-3 Commercial District Conditional Use with the staffs 11 condition of approval subject to changes to in Numbers 3,8 and 11. The Planning and Zoning Board suggested changes are as follows.

1. The parking area shall be repaved with a dust-free hard surface and the parking spaces shall be painted on the property to match the approved Site Plan. A revised parking striping
plan may be approved by the Community and Economic Development Department if the plans meet requirements of Section 12-9-6 and Site Plan Review standards pursuant to Section 12-3-2.B.
2. Minimum five-foot wide perimeter landscape areas shall be installed along the perimeter of the north parking area and the west property line in compliance with Section 12-108.B.
3. All planter boxes shall be at least 12 inches high and 12 inches wide and shall be filled and maintained with live plantings. Planter boxes and any other landscaping improvements must be located within the property line, unless IDOT allows placement on the aprons. The planters shall be of precast concrete or of masonry construction.
4. The dumpster shall be screened on all sides by a solid wood or masonry fence with a height of not less than six feet but not more than eight feet in compliance with Section 12-10-11.
5. Damaged or inoperable vehicles shall not be parked or stored outside the Subject Property for more than fourteen consecutive days. No vehicles shall be stored within the drive aisles at any time.
6. Only three service bays shall be allowed for the life of this conditional use. No auto body related activities are permitted unless this conditional use is amended. Sale and display of motor vehicles is not permitted at any time.
7. No auto body related activities are permitted unless this conditional use is amended. Sale and display of motor vehicles is not permitted at any time.
8. That The Site/Landscaping Plan drawing shall be updated so as to remove the two curb euts closest to the East Oakton Street/South Wolf Road intersection, provide the dumpster enclosure location and details and show the addition of the perimeter landscape areas between the parking area and the public sidewalk, unless and until IDOT allows placement of the planters on the aprons. The revised Site/Landscape Plan drawings shall be resubmitted to staff within 60 days of City Council approval.
9. An eight-foot tall solid wood, vinyl, or masonry fence must be installed along the south boundary in compliance with Section 12-10-9.C.
10. Used tires may only be stored inside the building, a dumpster, a fully enclosed fence enclosure, or a permitted accessory structure. A contract with a tire disposal company must be provided to Community and Economic Development staff prior to issuance of a business registration, or an affidavit must be signed attesting that no used tires will be stored on site.
11. The existing waste oil tank on site shall not be used until it receives proper-applicable local, state, or federal approvals.

## AYES:

Weaver, Hofherr, Fowler, Saletnik, Szabo
NAYES:
None
ABSTAIN: None
***MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY ***

## ADJOURNMENT

The next scheduled Planning \& Zoning Board meeting is Tuesday October 24, 2022.

Chairman Szabo adjourned the meeting by voice vote at $8: 22 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.

Sincerely,
Margie Mosele, Executive Assistant/Recording Secretary
cc: City Officials, Aldermen, Planning \& Zoning Board, Petitioners

## MEMORANDUM

Date: $\quad$ October 20, 2023
To: Planning and Zoning Board (PZB)
From: Samantha Redman, Senior Planner $S \subset R$
Cc: $\quad$ Ryan Johnson, Assistant Director of Community and Economic Development ${ }^{\text {RJ }}$
Subject: Consideration of Map Amendment, Preliminary Planned Unit Development (PUD), and Tentative Plat of Subdivision at 900 Graceland Avenue and 1217 Thacker Street

Issue: The petitioner is requesting the following under the Zoning Ordinance for the properties at 900 Graceland Avenue and 1217 Thacker Street: (i) a Map Amendment to rezone from M-2 General Manufacturing to R-3 Townhouse Residential District; (ii) a Preliminary PUD, with exceptions for minimum front yard and minimum lot area, to allow a 50-unit townhouse development; and (iii) a Tentative Plat of Subdivision to consolidate eight lots into two lots.

## Petitioner:

Owner:

Case Number:
PINs:

Ward:

Existing Zoning:
Existing Land Use:
Surrounding Zoning:

Luz and Associates \#1, LLC, 2030 West Wabansia Ave., Chicago, IL 60611

Contour Saws, Inc., 100 Lakeview Parkway, Ste. 100, Vernon Hills, IL 60061
23-039-MAP-PUD-TSUB
09-20-105-016-0000, 09-20-105-017-0000, 09-20-105-020-0000, 09-20-105-021-0000, 09-20-105-022-0000, 09-20-105-023-0000, 09-20-105-024-0000, 09-20-105-045-0000
\#3, Alderman Sean Oskerka

M-2, General Manufacturing
Unoccupied manufacturing building
North: M-1, Light Manufacturing and R-1, Single Family Residential
South: R-4, Central Core Residential and C-3, General Commercial East: R-1, Single Family Residential and R-4, Central Core Residential West: Railroad and M-1, Light Manufacturing

Surrounding Land Use: North: Manufacturing building and single-family detached residences
South: Multi-family residential buildings and vacant parking lot (proposed multi-family residential on this property)
East: Railroad and manufacturing buildings
West: Single-family detached and multi-family residential buildings

Street Classification:

Comprehensive Plan: Industrial is the recommended use for this property.
Property/Zoning History:
Graceland Avenue is classified as a major road and under the ownership of the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT); Thacker Street is classified as a secondary road and is under the ownership of the City of Des Plaines.

The subject property was previously the site of Contour Saws, a manufacturing facility operating from the 1960s to 2020. The property is currently improved with an approximately 105,000 square foot manufacturing facility, consisting of several joined buildings to create one large two-story building. The remainder of the property consists of surface parking.

Sanborn maps from the 1920s indicate this site was previously a subdivision with half acre tracts of land with single-family detached residences. ${ }^{1}$ In the early 1960s the Contour Saws facility began operating at this site, using existing buildings and constructing additional buildings. Functionally, the facility is one joined building, including an original residence from the 1920s subdivision previously used for the office of Contour Saws. Zoning between the late 1920s and present day has shifted from residential to commercial to manufacturing on this property. The property is currently owned by Contour Saws and is unoccupied.

On September 20, 2022, a No Further Remediation (NFR) letter was issued for the property from the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA). An NFR letter signifies that, while the site may have previously contained contaminants that exceeded state or federal limits, the IEPA does not deem this site to constitute a significant risk of harm. The NFR letter was pursued in response to a Phase II environmental review completed in 2016 indicating presence of contaminants in soil and groundwater, associated with the previous use at this property.

After review of a Remedial Action Plan prepared in 2022, an NFR Letter was issued by IEPA stating the property is approved for residential, commercial, or industrial land use. However, any NFR letter typically specifies actions necessary for safe use of the property. For this property, the controls include the development of a safety plan for construction of the building to limit worker exposure, and the necessary asphalt/concrete barriers and types of foundation necessary for buildings. All of the controls must be maintained to maintain the certification of the NFR; if any violation of the controls are observed, the letter will be voided and enforcement actions would be implemented by the IEPA. The petitioner is aware of the NFR Letter and designed the project to be compliant with all the controls required to be in place.

[^0]
## Project Description:

Request Description:

## Overview

The petitioner is Luz and Associates, which is the contract purchaser of the subject property, along with the Contour Saws parking lot on the other side of Graceland. They are proposing to build a 50-unit townhouse development and a private, publicly accessible park on the property.

## Proposal

The proposal includes the removal of all existing buildings and structures to redevelop the subject property into a 50 -unit townhouse Planned Unit Development (PUD). The proposed development consists of eight separate three story townhouse buildings with various numbers of units depending on the building. A publicly accessible, privately owned park is proposed at the north corner of the development with landscaped areas throughout the development. Refer to Architectural Plan attachment. The anticipated unit mix will be 33 three-bedrooms and 17 two-bedrooms, with a unit size ranging from approximately 2,200 to 2,500 square feet each. Refer to Floor Plan attachment. Each unit will have a two-car, attached garage and thirteen surface parking spaces are provided for guests on the site.

## MAP AMENDMENT

## Zoning Map Amendment Overview

The purpose of a zoning map amendment is to determine whether an existing zoning district is suitable for a location and, if not, which zoning district would be more suitable, given the context of the neighborhood, city goals, and local, state, and national development trends. Although a specific project can be considered alongside any zoning application, zoning change deliberation often looks at a property at a larger scale within the neighborhood and city.

A Site Plan Review, as required by Section 12-3-2, was performed for the conceptual project at this site. The Site Plan Review contributes to the overall assessment of a zoning map amendment, demonstrating the feasibility of a specific project with this zoning. Refer to the Site Plan Review section of this report and associated attachments.

## M-2 Zoning and Suitability of the Site for Proposed R-3 Zoning

The M-2, General Manufacturing zoning district is intended to accommodate a diversity of industrial uses. Out of all of the industrial districts, $\mathrm{M}-2$ permits the largest number of different uses, allowing for 23 uses permitted by right (meaning no zoning entitlement process) and 24 conditional uses. A broad variety of uses are allowed by right, including light and heavy manufacturing, warehouses or distribution facilities, or food processing establishments.

Few available properties exist in Des Plaines with the range of transit, recreational, and commercial opportunities available within walking distance, making this site an ideal location for additional residential versus commercial or manufacturing development. Within a half-mile of the property (an approximate $8-15$ minute walk for the average person ${ }^{2}$ ), the following services

[^1]are available. Refer to Amenities and Services Map attachment for further details.

| Service |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Transit | Des Plaines Metra Station platform; Pace <br> Bus Stops for Lines 226, 230, and 250, <br> and the PULSE Dempster Line |
| Downtown Commercial Area | Restaurants, grocery store, retail/personal <br> services including dentist, optometrist, <br> urgent care, physical therapist, private <br> gym, and salons |
| Schools (private and public) | Central Elementary School, Willows <br> Academy, Little Bulgarian School, <br> Islamic City Center of Des Plaines <br> Academy |
| Parks | Centennial Park, Central Park, Paroubeck <br> Park, Potowatomie Park |
| Public Buildings | Library, City Hall |

A change to the zoning would be necessary to allow residential uses on this property. No residential uses are permitted within the M-2 zoning district. An analysis of the various options for residential zoning districts is necessary to determine what is best suited for this site. Below is a table of residential zoning districts and the residential uses permitted within them.

| Residential Districts Use Matrix |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use | $\boldsymbol{R}-\mathbf{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}-\mathbf{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}-\mathbf{3}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}-\mathbf{4}$ |
| Single Family Detached | P | $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ | $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ | $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ |
| Townhouse | Not <br> permitted | Not <br> permitted | P | P |
| Two-family (duplex) | Not <br> permitted | P | Not <br> permitted | Not <br> permitted |
| Multi-Family | Not <br> permitted | Not <br> permitted | P | P |

*Note: Only applies to single-family detached dwellings that were lawfully constructed prior to August 17, 2020 and are located in a zoning district other than R-1.

The R-1 and R-2 zoning districts would restrict the density of residential units at the property, limiting the development potential. As the name suggests, the R-1, Single Family Residential district limits the number of dwelling units to one dwelling unit per parcel. The R-2, Two-Family Residential district similarly limits the number of dwellings to two units per parcel. To allow for more than one or two residences on this 3.13-acre property, the property would need to be subdivided. If the property were subdivided to meet the R-1 or R-2 bulk standards, it is unlikely the property could produce 50 units, even with a planned unit development. Comparatively, a townhouse or multi-family development would supply a greater number of units in the same amount of space, creating a more efficient and economical option for this location. For the contemplated project, the R-3 zoning district was selected by the petitioner because this zoning best fit the intended scale and purpose of the development.

## Demographic Trends and Accommodating an Aging Population

The existing housing stock throughout the city is predominantly single-family residential and the Comprehensive Plan states it is a goal to maintain this stock of high-quality single family residential property within the city. However, the detached single family housing type is an increasingly unaffordable product for many existing and future residents. In comparison, townhouses provide additional housing stock at a more financially attainable scale due to the smaller size and reduced maintenance cost.

An important goal of 2019 Comprehensive Plan is providing avenues to allow residents to age-in-place and improve accessibility. As of 2015, the percentage of Des Plaines residents 50 or older was $40.2 \%$, compared to the regional average of $31.4 \% .^{3}$ According to the U.S. Census Bureau, this percentage is likely to grow, with one in five Americans at retirement age by 2030. ${ }^{4}$ Households approaching retirement are frequently interested in downsizing to limit maintenance costs and reduce monthly housing costs to meet limitations of fixed incomes. Supplying a diverse housing stock in this area provides the option for seniors to continue living within the city. A residential development in this location would be close enough to facilities and services for an aging population to independently complete activities of daily living, with many amenities available within walking or transit distance.

With these considerations regarding the location of the property near multifamily properties and zoning, the proximity to numerous private and public services, and the goals of the Comprehensive Plan focused on providing diversity of housing stock and providing accessible and attainable options for residents, senior or otherwise, the R-3 zoning district is a suitable fit for this property.

[^2]
## Site Plan Review

## Proposed Project Overview

The petitioner proposes 50 townhouse units, including 33 three-bedroom units and 17 two-bedroom units and a publicly accessible, private park space. The proposed development is one of two for the former Contour Saws properties. The parking lot of the former Contour Saws facility is proposed to be a 56 -unit multifamily development; a petition to change the zoning from C-3 to R-4 was recommended for approval by the Planning and Zoning Board (PZB) on July 25, 2023.

This type of development is a permitted use in the proposed R-3 Townhouse, with a PUD. The below diagram illustrates staff's interpretation of where the required yards are located for this property, as noted in Section 12-7-2 and defined in Section 12-13-3.


| R-3 -Central Core Residential District Bulk Standards |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bulk Controls | Required | Proposed |
| Maximum height | 45 ft. | 34 ft. |
| Minimum front yard | 25 ft. | $12 \mathrm{ft}{ }^{1}$ |
| Minimum corner side | 10 ft. | 10 ft. |
| Minimum rear yard | 25 ft. | 25 ft. |
| Minimum lot width | 55 ft. | 516.72 ft |
| Minimum lot area | $2800 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. per dwelling <br> unit <br> $* 50$ units $=$ <br> $140,000 ~ \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. | $130,406 \mathrm{sq}. \mathrm{ft}.{ }^{2}$ |

[^3]
## Site Plan Review Standards

Pursuant to Section 12-3-7.D. 2 of the Zoning Ordinance, a Site Plan Review is required for all map amendment requests to assess how the request meets the characteristics identified in Section 12-3-2, which are listed below along with staff's assessment of each in relation to the current Site Plan provided by the petitioner, located in the Site Plan attachment.

| Site Plan Review |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Item | Analysis (based on Proposal) |
| The arrangement of structures on the site | - Places buildings along the street frontage, rather than garages or surface parking. The design presents better cohesion with the buildings surrounding it by placing the building at approximately the same distance from the property line as the existing building and the adjacent existing and proposed multifamily buildings. The proximity of the building to the street also provides better surveillance within the neighborhood, with windows facing the residential neighborhood and providing additional "eyes on the street." <br> - The design of each townhouse includes a two car, attached garage, providing covered parking in a more compact manner than surface parking. Guest spaces are located in the center of the property. The site layout minimizes view of the parking area and interior roadway, with the buildings as the primary focus along the street. <br> - A subdivision is requested as part of this request. Improvements deemed necessary in the area adjacent to a subdivision can be required pursuant to Section 13-3-2.L. The improvements required to serve this development are discussed in the Public Works and Engineering (PWE) Department Memo attachment. Improvements are required prior to completion of the development or within 2 years of the recorded subdivision. A summary of the improvements includes replacement of a water main in a portion of Graceland Avenue, construction of pedestrian bump out and flashing pedestrian signage at the intersection of Thacker and Laurel, replacement of a streetlight on Graceland Avenue, and grinding and resurfacing Thacker Street as well as replacement of any damaged public sidewalk. |


| The arrangement of <br> open space and <br> landscape <br> improvements | -Landscaping is provided around and within the <br> development, meeting zoning requirements. In <br> addition, a park space is proposed, as noted on <br> the plans and the Park Concept Plan <br> attachment. Refer to Landscape Plan <br> attachment for details on landscaping. <br> - Parkway trees and landscaping proposed along <br> Graceland Avenue, where none currently exist. |
| :--- | :--- |
| The adequacy of the <br> proposed circulation <br> system on the site | A solid wood fence is proposed along the <br> railroad track to screen the railroad from the <br> development. A condition of approval requires <br> an open fence at the northwest corner of the <br> park to alleviate any sight obstruction between <br> the railroad and Thacker Street. |
| Several driveways will be closed along <br> Graceland Avenue, with one driveway <br> entrance/exit proposed on Graceland Avenue <br> and one along Thacker Street. The existing <br> driveway along Thacker is not aligned with <br> Laurel Avenue. The proposed plan aligns the <br> driveway to this street. The closure of these <br> extra driveways and replacement with a |  |
| parkway and walkway improves safety and |  |
| comfort of pedestrians along Graceland and |  |
| Thacker. |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { - It is anticipated, as discussed in the petitioner's } \\ \text { response to standards and the provided traffic } \\ \text { study, that the proximity of the site to numerous } \\ \text { transit options and a bike route along Thacker } \\ \text { St, will reduce dependence on automobiles for } \\ \text { this project. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { The location, design, } \\ \text { and screening of } \\ \text { proposed off-street } \\ \text { parking areas }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { - Attached garages are proposed with each unit, } \\ \text { facing interior, private roads within the } \\ \text { development rather than connecting to the } \\ \text { street. The proposed site is situated in such a } \\ \text { way that guest parking is located in the middle } \\ \text { and has minimal visibility from Graceland } \\ \text { Avenue and Thacker Street. Landscaping is } \\ \text { provided along driveways. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { The adequacy of the } \\ \text { proposed landscaping } \\ \text { design on the site }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { - All required landscaping in terms of } \\ \text { foundation landscaping, parkway landscaping, } \\ \text { and overall site landscaping are provided } \\ \text { (pursuant to Sections 12-10-6, 12-10-7 and }\end{array} \\ \text { 12-10-10). Landscaping, either turf, bushes or } \\ \text { trees are provided throughout the } \\ \text { development. Refer to Landscape Plan. }\end{array}\right\}$

|  |  | Goal 4.1. Ensure the City has several housing options to fit diverse needs. <br> Goal 4.3 Provide new housing at different price points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

- In addition to housing goals, the proposed development meets economic goals of the city by providing additional property tax revenue compared to the existing use of the site. Refer to the Tax Projections attachment.
- The creation of a separate parcel for a privately owned, publicly accessible park provides additional recreational opportunities, which is supported by the Comprehensive Plan.


## Summary of Public Outreach

In an effort to improve community engagement and transparency surrounding new, large developments within Des Plaines, the City provided numerous opportunities for residents to review the proposal and provide input. To provide regular project updates, a webpage on the city website was created: desplaines.org/contourplace. On June 6, 2023, the Planning and Zoning Board hosted a public workshop to provide the developer, board, and the public an opportunity to review plans and provide input into the proposed development at this location and the former Contour Saws facility to the north of this property. During the July 25, 2023 PZB meeting, the petitioner provided an updated site plan depicting townhouses instead of multi-family residential buildings. The project webpage was launched prior to the PZB workshop to share details about the proposed projects and includes a public input form to continuously gather community comments. Refer to Public Comment attachment for all public comments.

## PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD)

## Request Description:

## Overview

The proposed development includes eight separate "principal buildings." Section 12-13-3 of the Zoning Ordinance defines a "principal building" as "a nonaccessory building in which a principal use of the lot, on which it is located, is conducted." Pursuant to Section 12-7-1.A, not more than one principal building or structure can be located on a zoning lot, except in certain cases. In this circumstance, a planned development, as defined below, is the only case suitable for the proposal.
"A development occurring on a parcel under single ownership or unified control which is developed as a unit and includes two (2) or more principal buildings or uses and is processed under the planned development procedure of this title" (Section 12-13-3).

The purpose of a PUD is to promote a unified development by providing flexibility in development standards to accommodate site conditions and encourage innovative use of land. Certain characteristics are required by Section 12-3-5.A of the Zoning Ordinance, which are listed below along with staff's assessment of each in relation to the attached Preliminary PUD Plat provided by the petitioner.

| Preliminary PUD Plat Review |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Item | Analysis (based on Proposal) |
| A maximum choice in the <br> types of environment <br> available to the public by <br> allowing a development that <br> would not be possible under <br> the strict application of the <br> other sections of this title | Allows for construction of a development <br> on an irregularly shaped parcel and provides <br> an additional housing option with increased <br> density and multiple principal buildings that <br> is not permitted without a PUD in the <br> Zoning Ordinance. |
| Permanent preservation of <br> common open space and <br> recreation areas and facilities | Creates a publicly accessible, private park <br> where none exist currently. Landscaping <br> and open space is provided around and <br> between residential units and the private <br> road as well as along Graceland Avenue, <br> where landscaping was limited or non- <br> existent before. |
| A pattern of development to <br> preserve natural vegetation, <br> topographic and geologic <br> features | No significant natural vegetation, <br> topographic or geologic features exist on <br> site that would be beneficial to maintain. |
| However, allowing for additional buildings |  |
| breaks up the site so landscaping can be |  |
| provided between buildings and sufficient |  |
| area is available for a park and open space. |  |$|$


| general welfare | create a use that includes more visual <br> appeal, additional landscaping and <br> recreational opportunities, and adds <br> additional residential housing stock in a <br> suitable area. |
| :--- | :--- |

Prerequisites: Location, Ownership, and Size
PUDs are authorized in all zoning districts in the City subject to the regulations in Section 12-3-5 of the Zoning Ordinance and are required to be under single ownership and/or unified control. While the subject property is currently not owned by the petitioner, the petitioner does intend to take ownership of the property upon approval of the requests in this application. Because the development will involve rental units with one property management and maintenance entity, a Homeowner's Association (HOA) is not required at this time; however, a condition of approval states if the development is subdivided into separate, fee-simple townhouse units, an HOA must be established to manage and maintain the proposed PUD.

## PUD Bulk Exceptions

As identified in the R-3 Bulk Regulations table, the proposal does not meet the minimum front yard size and does not meet the minimum lot area, requiring a PUD exception from Section 12-3-5.C. 2 (Perimeter Yards) and Section 12-35.C. The exceptions allow for a development that efficiently uses the irregularly shaped parcel in a way that would not be possible under the strict application of the code.

## Parking Requirement

Pursuant to Section 12-9-7, a townhouse (single-family attached) residential use requires a minimum of two off-street parking spaces per dwelling unit plus one common guest space for every four dwelling units. The proposed 50-unit PUD requires a minimum of 100 off-street parking spaces and 13 common guest spaces. The attached PUD Site Plan indicates two covered off-street garage spaces for each unit and guest parking provided by thirteen standard spaces, including one accessible space in an interior parking area of the development.

## TENTATIVE PLAT OF SUBDIVISION

## Request Description:

## Overview

The proposal includes a consolidation of the property from eight lots to two lots One lot will be 130,406 square feet, proposed to be developed with the townhouses and associated structures. A second lot, 6,182 square feet, is proposed to be a publicly accessible, private park space. The attached Tentative Plat of Subdivision, titled 1217 Thacker Street Consolidation, shows the location and boundaries of each lot.

## Easements

The Tentative Plat shows both existing and proposed easements. Proposed easements include storm sewer, watermain, sanitary sewer, and a general public utility and drainage easement, depicting both drainage on the site and the
proposed unground vault to accommodate stormwater.

## Subdivision Improvements

The Department of Public Works and Engineering (PWE) has provided comments (attached) based on the submittal. The memo states the following is required with this subdivision, to be finalized at the final plat of subdivision stage:

1. Grind and re-surface eastbound lane on Thacker Street.
2. Add 8" water main to replace 4" water main along a portion of Graceland Avenue.
3. Add pedestrian crosswalk crossing on Thacker Street including a bumpout, striping, and Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB).
4. The sole streetlight along Graceland Avenue must be replaced and electrical conduit undergrounded. Petitioner will work with staff and ComEd to coordinate this replacement.

Section 13-3-2 of the Subdivision Ordinance discusses required improvements for subdivided properties and timelines for the improvements. Improvements are approved by the City Council during the final plat of subdivision process and financial guarantees for improvements are included within the resolution.

In addition, Section 13-4-2 of the Subdivision Ordinance discusses dedication of park lands and/or fees in lieu for subdivisions. The publicly accessible, private park will count for a portion of the required park land dedication and any remainder will require a fee in lieu, to be calculated at the time of final plat of subdivision, approved by the Park District, and included with the final approved City Council resolution to subdivide the property.

Note the petitioner's request is for a Tentative Plat only at this time. The steps for Final Plat are articulated in Sections 13-2-4 through 13-2-8 of the Subdivision Regulations. The Final Plat of Subdivision will occur at a later date and will be a concurrent process with the Final PUD plat. All necessary dedications, fees, and necessary improvements will be outlined in the final subdivision resolution.

## Standards for Zoning Map Amendment:

The following is a discussion of standards for zoning map amendments from Section 12-3-7.E of the Zoning Ordinance. Rationale for how well the proposal addresses the standards is provided below and in the attached petitioner responses to standards. The Board may use the provided responses as written as its rationale, modify, or adopt its own.

1. Whether the proposed amendment is consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the comprehensive plan, as adopted and amended from time to time by the City Council;
The Comprehensive Plan was written in 2019 when the Contour Saws facility was still operating. Due to the manufacturing facility’s longstanding operations in Des Plaines, the Comprehensive Plan did not envision this area to be used for anything else. However, the proposed amendment and development would meet several goals from the Housing chapter of the Comprehensive Plan, including Goal 4.1. Ensure the City has several housing options to fit diverse needs and Goal 4.3 Provide new housing at different price points. to "Demographic Trends and Accommodating an Aging Population" and "M-2 Zoning and Suitability of the Site for Proposed R-3 Zoning" sections of this report for further details. In addition to housing goals, the proposed development meets economic goals of the city by providing additional property tax revenue compared to the existing use of the site. Refer to the Tax Projections attachment.

PZB Modifications (if any):
2. Whether the proposed amendment is compatible with current conditions and the overall character of existing development;

The subject property is adjacent to R-4 zoning to the northeast and south and is close to several multifamily developments. The area is in close proximity to numerous services within walking, biking or transit distance. Refer to Amenities and Services Map attachment. Any proposed development would need to meet all building material and design requirements outlined in Section 12-3-11 - Building Design Review, including requirements for face brick, which will be similar in material to the many adjacent single family and multi-family residential buildings in this neighborhood.

PZB Modifications (if any):

## 3. Whether the proposed amendment is appropriate considering the adequacy of public facilities and services available to this subject property;

An engineering and utility plan was prepared with this application. Based on the provided site plan, City engineering staff did not indicate any concerns with the adequacy of public facilities or services being available to meet the needs of this proposed development.

A traffic impact study was provided with this application to assess impacts of the proposed development (Refer to Traffic Study attachment). The study indicated the traffic generated by this use would not create a significant impact on the surrounding street network.

It is important to note the previous use of this property was a manufacturing use, including a parking lot on site with a large loading/unloading dock into the facility, approximately 25 parking spaces on site, and over one hundred spaces in a surface parking lot across the street (Site B of this development), while the proposed residential development provides 90 spaces within attached garages on the townhouses and 16
guest spaces. At minimum, this development brings less potential for vehicles to be travelling in and out of the site at peak hours versus large trucks delivering or picking up in the loading dock and over one hundred employees of a manufacturing facility. Parking meets the off-street parking requirements of Section 12-97, providing 106 spaces which is in excess of the minimum required amount.

PZB Modifications (if any): $\qquad$
4. Whether the proposed amendment will have an adverse effect on the value of properties throughout the jurisdiction; and

The proposed map amendment would allow for residential uses on a property that has been zoned manufacturing within a residential area for decades and operated as a more intensive use in the past. A building that provides additional residential options for the area and follows the Building Design Standards outlined in the Zoning Ordinance creates a more appealing urban design for the neighborhood versus a large manufacturing facility.

PZB Modifications (if any): $\qquad$
5. Whether the proposed amendment reflects responsible standards for development and growth.

The current use of this property is a vacant manufacturing facility that is unlikely to be filled with another similar manufacturing business. Providing a residential use for the property, particularly a use that capitalizes on the close proximity to downtown Des Plaines and the various amenities associated with the area, would present a more efficient and effective way to use this property. As discussed in the Demographic Trends and Accommodating an Aging Population section, the City needs to promote opportunities that increase housing stock for a diversity of populations in the area, both in the short term and long term. Amending the zoning district for this property, regardless of the proposed project, provides an additional opportunity to construct a townhouse development, a transitional density development between single family residential and multi-family residential buildings and with the necessary services to support this type of use.

PZB Modifications (if any):

## PUD Findings of Fact:

The following is a discussion of standards for PUDs from Section 12-3-5 of the Zoning Ordinance. Rationale for how well the proposal addresses the standards is provided below and in the attached petitioner responses to standards. The Board may use the provided responses as written as its rationale, modify, or adopt its own.

1. The extent to which the Proposed Plan is or is not consistent with the stated purpose of the PUD regulations in Section 12-3-5.A of this title:

The proposed townhouse PUD generally aligns with the stated purposes of PUDs as analyzed in the Preliminary PUD Plat Review table above with a proposed multiple principal building development, designated open spaces and landscaping and separate vehicular and pedestrian areas, all of which foster public health, safety, and general welfare for residents. Refer to Petitioner’s Response to Standards for a full analysis of how the development meets each standard.
2. The extent to which the proposed plan meets the prerequisites and standards of the planned unit
development regulations:

The proposal meets the ownership/unified control and size requirements in the Zoning Ordinance.
PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary):
3. The extent to which the proposed plan departs from the applicable zoning and subdivision regulations otherwise applicable to the subject property, including, but not limited to the density, dimension, area, bulk, and use and the reasons why such departures are or are not deemed to be in the public interest:

The proposal meets the majority of the bulk regulations in Section 12-7-2.J of the Zoning Ordinance (See Site Plan Review section above), but requires exceptions from the required front yard and the 2,800 -squarefoot minimum lot area requirement. The proposed density is a moderate density compared to the surrounding single-family and multi-family developments in the area, providing additional housing stock in the City. The front yard building setback deficiency is located on the south side of the lot, which faces existing and proposed multi-family residential developments with an R-4 zoning and has a smaller required front yard than the R-3 zoning district of this proposed project. Proposed landscaping along the parkway and around the perimeter of the proposed townhouse PUD provides a buffer between this property and any adjacent uses. In addition, the proposed development improves the current conditions of the subject property.

PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary):

## 4. The extent to which the physical design of the proposed development does or does not make adequate provision for public services, provide adequate control of vehicular traffic, provide for, protect open space, and further the amenities of light and air, recreation and visual enjoyment:

The proposed design of the townhouse PUD and layout of residential buildings allows for recreational space on property, reduces the number of curb cuts, concentrates vehicular traffic in the center of the development, and encourages pedestrian activity on Graceland Avenue and Thacker Street by extending walkways from each townhouse to the public sidewalk.

Refer to the Traffic Study for details on anticipated traffic impact. The development is not anticipated to generate traffic that exceeds the amount of traffic previously generated for the industrial development at this property. In addition, no changes are proposed to the adjacent railway and at grade crossings. Questions were raised from members of the community about the proximity of the development to the rail line. The development is not proposed to be any closer than the existing development to the railroad track, and much of the area adjacent to the track is proposed to be open space. There are two at grade crossings adjacent to the property. Per documents from the Federal Railroad Administration crossing inventory, 22 trains a day (on average) pass along the rail line adjacent to the property. Accident history at these crossing indicates a total of five accidents associated with the crossing have occurred since 1975, and no accident
reports have been filed within the last decade ${ }^{5}$.
PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary): $\qquad$

## 5. The extent to which the relationship and compatibility of the proposed development is beneficial or adverse to adjacent properties and neighborhood:

The proposal creates a moderate density residential development compared to the surrounding singlefamily and multi-family developments in the area, creating a transitional density on this property and providing additional housing stock in the City. The proposed development redevelops an industrial property--that no longer fits within this residential neighborhood and is near the commercial areas in downtown Des Plaines--and provides transit options to support the economic vitality of the area.

PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary):

## 6. The extent to which the proposed plan is not desirable to physical development, tax base, and economic well-being of the entire community:

The proposal would provide additional housing stock that helps to increase the tax base for the City and improve the economic well-being of Des Plaines. It would also provide extra economic benefit through utility and public service fees that are currently not eligible for the subject property at this time. Refer to the Real Property Tax Base Impact attachment provided by the petitioner.

PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary):
7. The extent to which the proposed plan is in conformity with the recommendations of the 2019 Comprehensive Plan:
The proposal increases housing stock and creates additional housing options for residents, which aligns with the housing goals and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan. It also redevelops an unoccupied industrial property in an area close to commercial and transit opportunities, which is promoted by the Comprehensive Plan.

PZB Additions or Modifications (if necessary):
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## PZB Procedure and Recommended Conditions:

Under Section 13-2-3 (Planning and Zoning Board's Procedure) of the Subdivision Regulations, the PZB has the final authority to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the Tentative Plat of Subdivision request at 900 Graceland Avenue and 1217 Thacker Street.

Under Section 12-3-5.D.2.c (Procedure for Review and Decision for PUDs) and Section 12-3-7.D (Procedure for Review and Decision for Amendments) of the Zoning Ordinance, the PZB has the authority to recommend that the City Council approve, approve with modifications, or deny the Map Amendment and Tentative Planned Unit Development (PUD) at 900 Graceland Avenue and 1217 Thacker Street. The City Council has final authority on these requests.

The PZB should take the following motions. The zoning motions can be combined or taken individually:

## Zoning Recommendations to City Council

- A motion pursuant to Section 12-3-7.E of the Zoning Ordinance to recommend to City Council to approve, approve with modifications, or deny the proposed Map Amendment;
- A motion pursuant to Section 12-3-5.E of the Zoning Ordinance to recommend to City Council to approve, approve with modifications, or deny the request for a Conditional Use for a Preliminary PUD, with exceptions for minimum required front yard and minimum lot area; and


## Subdivision Approval (Tentative Plat)

- A motion pursuant to Section 13-2-2 of the Subdivision Regulations to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the Tentative Plat of Subdivision.

If the PZB recommends approval, staff recommends the following conditions for the Tentative PUD.

## Conditions of Approval:

1. In the event the property is sold, and a property owner desires to sell separate, fee-simple townhouse units, a Plat of Subdivision will be necessary to create separate lots and a Homeowner's Association or similar unified control entity must be established along with any covenants, conditions, and restrictions governing maintenance of common areas.
2. At time of submission for final subdivision and PUD plat, all public improvements must be noted on plans and all engineering comments addressed to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works and Engineering.
3. At time of submission for final subdivision and PUD Plat, the landscape plan must be revised in the park area closest to Thacker Street between Laurel Avenue and the railroad track. Bushes and a semiopen fence (wrought iron or chain link) should be placed around the north corner of the proposed park to allow visibility for traffic from Thacker Street.
4. At time of final subdivision and PUD Plat, the photometric plan must be revised to include lighting at the entrances of both driveways. Any new lighting must be in conformance with Section 12-12-10 of the Zoning Ordinance.
5. Each townhouse unit shall have separate water and sanitary sewer services.
6. All electrical lines on the property must be installed underground.

## Attachments:

Attachment 1: Location Map
Attachment 2: Site and Context Photos
Attachment 3: Amenities and Services Map
Attachment 4: Petitioner's Narrative and Responses to Standards
Attachment 5: Plat of Survey
Attachment 6: Tentative Plat of Subdivision
Attachment 7: Preliminary PUD Plat
Attachment 8: Architectural Plans (includes Site Plan)
Attachment 9: Landscape Plan (includes Park Concept Exhibit)
Attachment 10: Preliminary Engineering Plans
Attachment 11: Public Works and Engineering (PWE) Department Memo
Attachment 12: Traffic Impact Study
Attachment 13: Photometric Plan
Attachment 14: Petitioner’s Property Tax Projections
Attachment 15: Public Comment

## GISConsortium




Public Notice Sign 2, facing property north


Location of Laurel Avenue and proposed driveway and pedestrian crosswalk


Public Notice Sign 1, facing property southwest
Attachment 2


Front of building, facing parking lot towards Graceland Avenue


Former office of Contour Saws, facing south towards the property



Area of existing building adjacent to railroad track


Multifamily residential buildings across from property along Graceland Avenue, facing south

ACOSTA EZGUR, LLC
1030 West Chicago Avenue, Third Floor - Chicago, Illinois 60642 - 312-327-3350 o - 312-327-3315 f

# Graceland and Thacker Development 1201 E. Thacker, 1217 E. Thacker and 900 Graceland (Site A) 

## NARRATIVE

The subject property contains approximately $136,588 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of land and is improved with a one and two-story industrial building and twenty-six surface parking spaces. The exiting building was used by Contours Saw, Inc.'s for its industrial operations. The property is currently zoned M-2. The Applicant proposes to rezone the site to an R-3 classification with a PUD.

The Applicant for the rezoning proposes to redevelop the property with 50 three-story townhomes distributed in eight separate buildings. The townhomes will consist of thirty-three, three-bedroom units and seventeen, two-bedroom units. Two parking spaces are provided for each townhome and 13 guest parking spaces are included in the plan. The proposed buildings' height will be 34 feet. Vehicular access to the site will be from two driveways, one from Thacker Street that is aligned with Laurel Avenue and one from Graceland Avenue that is approximately 228 feet north of the southern terminus of the site. These two driveways replace five driveways that are currently on site. The façade materials will be primarily face brick, with fiber cement panels used on some sections to visually divide the individual units. Also, the plan includes one privately owned but publicly accessible parks, a 6,170 sq. ft. park on Thacker Street at the western terminus of the site. It also includes approximately $27,376 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of common open space for use by the townhome occupants.

ACOSTA EZGUR, LLC
1030 West Chicago Avenue, Third Floor - Chicago, Illinois 60642 - 312-327-3350 o - 312-327-3315 f

## STANDARDS FOR MAP AMENDMENTS

1. The proposed amendment is consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the comprehensive plan, as adopted February 2019.

The proposed rezoning will allow for the construction of multi-family housing near multi-modal facilities and Downtown, as the subject site is approximately five blocks from the Miner St. Metra Station and Downtown. It also will promote the development of multi-family units that would increase the housing diversity and provide housing for individuals and couples, and also aging residents that seek to continue an independent lifestyle while minimizing maintenance and ownership obligations. In addition, the supply of additional housing will assist in decreasing affordability concerns due to increased supply. The proposed townhomes also diversify the City's housing stock by providing a residential type different than the single family homes that are more common and the multi-family buildings that have frequently been developed in more recent times.
2. The proposed amendment is compatible with current conditions and the overall character of existing development in the immediate vicinity of the subject property.

The subject property is across Graceland and Thacker from R-4 districts that extends north along Graceland and east along Thacker and are generally developed with three, four and five-story multi-family buildings. The western portion of the site's Thacker Street frontage is across from an R-1 district generally developed with single family homes. The proposed R-3 designation represents a middle ground between this R-1 area and the R-4 area in the eastern portion of the Thacker frontage and across and along Graceland.
3. The proposed amendment is appropriate considering the adequacy of public facilities and services available to this subject property.

There are sufficient public facilities in terms of utilities to accommodate R-3 development, with required stormwater detention to be provided as part of the development per the Des Plaines Municipal Code. The existing streets can accommodate the anticipated traffic, which traffic may also be reduced due to the proximity of public transportation via Metra, the existing bike corridor along Thacker and the proposed bike corridor along Graceland. In terms of public open space, Central Park is located approximately three blocks east, a publicly accessible open space is included in the plan, and approximately $27,376 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of private common open space is provided for townhome occupants.
4. The proposed amendment will not have an adverse effect on the value of properties throughout the jurisdiction.

Because the proposed amendment will allow for development of multi-family residential of a scale compatible with adjacent properties and in a location where sufficient public facilities exist and resulting traffic can be accommodated, it will not have an adverse impact on property values within the City. In addition, the increase in tax base will help alleviate future tax increases on other properties and the increased resident population will support existing area businesses, both of which will positively impact the property value of other properties.
5. The proposed amendment reflects responsible standards for development and growth.

The proposed amendment is consistent with responsible standard for development and growth by promoting increase density at a location where it can be accommodated that is proximate to public transit and non-vehicular travel paths, such as bike corridors. It increases the utilization of existing municipal infrastructure without taxing such infrastructure and does so while enhancing the municipal tax base.

## STANDARDS FOR PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENTS

1. The extent to which the proposed plan is or is not consistent with the state purpose of the planned unit development regulation set forth in subsection A of this section;
a. A maximum choice in the type of environment available to the public by allowing a development that would not be possible under the strict application of the other sections of this title;

The proposed PUD allows for the construction of a townhome development on an irregularly shaped parcel. The townhomes are to be in eight separate buildings. As the property is a single zoning lot, Section 12-7-1.A would prohibit the construction of separate buildings on that single zoning lot and effectively would prohibit a cohesive townhome development layout that provides an attractive street frontage, consolidates open space and limits driveways from the public streets.
b. Permanent preservation of common open space and recreation areas and facilities;

Private open space is proposed along the southwestern portion of the property totaling approximately $27,376 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . This open space will be preserved via the restrictions of the PUD. In addition, privately owned but publicly accessible open space is proposed at the western terminus of the site. This open space will be preserved by the restrictions of the PUD and also through easements provided in connection with a companion subdivision.
c. A pattern of development to preserve natural vegetation, topographic and geologic features;

The property is wholly improved and contains no natural vegetation, topographic or geologic features.
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d. A creative approach to the use of land and related physical facilities that results in a better development and design and the construction of aesthetic amenities;

The proposed plan provides an esthetically pleasing street frontage lined with residential units, that as divided into separate buildings breaks-up the massing and shields vehicular circulation areas from the public realm. It also allows for open space to be consolidated in a more private area along the southwestern portion of the property. In addition, the proposed plan by being a unified whole as allowed only under the PUD provisions, limits the number of curb cuts onto the public streets minimizing pedestrian - vehicular conflict points along the public sidewalks.
e. An efficient use of the land resulting in more economic networks of utilities, streets and other facilities; and

By allowing for one cohesive development, the PUD as proposed limits the number of connection points to existing public water and sewer infrastructure and also limits the number of curb cuts onto the bordering public streets. This is more efficient than having to have separate connection points and separate curb cuts to serve multiple individual zoning lots.
f. A land use which promotes the public health, safety, and general welfare.

By allowing for a cohesive plan that limits pedestrian vehicular conflicts in the public realm, provides an attractive street frontage lined with residential buildings that are separated to divide their massing and consolidating private and publicly accessible open space all in general conformance with the R-3 regulations, the proposed land use and plan promotes the public health, safety and general welfare.
2. The extent to which the proposed plan meets the requirements and standards of the planned unit development regulations;

The property is under single ownership by Contour Saws and is intended to remain in single ownership by the Applicant for the PUD. It contains 3.14 acres, exceeding the 2 acre minimum for PUDs in the R-3.
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3. The extent to which the proposed plan departs from the zoning and subdivision regulations otherwise applicable to the subject property, including, but not limited to the density, dimension, area, bulk and use and the reasons why such departures are or are not deemed to be in the public interest;


#### Abstract

As a townhouse development, the proposed development is consistent with the R-3 Townhouse Residential District's purpose and regulations. Townhomes are a permitted use. At a 34 foot height the proposed townhomes are well below the 45 ft . height limit. On the $136,588 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . site, reduced to 130,418 due to the inclusion in the plan of a $6,170 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. publicly accessible open space, the R-3 minimum lot area of $2,800 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . would permit 47 townhomes. Fifty townhomes are proposed. The increase in density is minor, representing a mere $6.38 \%$ increase in density. Given the nature of the property's location, including the availability of nearby transit and proximity to downtown, this minor increase in density is consistent with the public interest. The required 10 foot corner side yard along Thacker and the required 25 foot rear yard are provided. As required, two parking spaces per unit and 13 guest parking spaces are provided. The only requirement that is not met is the required 25 foot front yard along Graceland, where the plan indicates a 16 foot setback near the Thacker corner and 13 foot setback for the balance of that frontage. This setback reduction is required to efficiently accommodate the structures and features of the proposed development on what is an irregularly shaped triangular parcel. Given the overall developments compliance with the R-3 regulations, its design that is compatible with the other residential improvements in the area, the broader setback near the corner with Thacker and the irregular shape of the property, it is in the public interest to allow such a departure from this standard.


4. The extent to which the physical design of the proposed plan does or does not make adequate provision for public services, provide adequate control over vehicular traffic, provide for and protect designated common open space, and further the amenities of light and air, recreation and visual enjoyment;

The proposed physical design makes adequate provisions for public services including adequate space for the location of utilities and provides a configuration of driveways that allows for access by emergency vehicles. Vehicular traffic is controlled by providing only two access points from the public streets with the one on Thacker aligned with Laurel Avenue and the one on Graceland being sufficiently separated from the railroad right-of-way. Common open space, both private and publicly accessible is provide for, is protected by its location and preserved through the PUD and subdivision process. Light and air is protected by the separation of buildings and their height, which is lesser than otherwise
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allowed. The alignment of attractively designed townhomes along the public street enhances visual enjoyment from the public realm.
5. The extent to which the relationship and compatibility of the proposed plan is beneficial or adverse to adjacent properties and neighborhood;

> The site is effectively an island bordered by public streets and a railroad right-ofway. The neighborhood to the north and east of the site is generally residential with a mix of multi-family along Graceland and single-family along the western portion of Thacker across from the site. A moderate density townhome development as proposed is beneficial to this neighborhood. It replaces an industrial use that can be considered discordant with the immediate neighborhood. The development provides additional residential development near downtown and transit and that can support area retail and commercial establishments while further diversifying the City's housing stock.
6. The extent to which the proposed plan is not desirable to the proposed plan to physical development, tax base and economic well being of the entire community; and

> The proposed plan reflects a cohesive and attractive development that is consistent with its environment and replaces a vacant industrial facility that is less so. It reduces the number of curb cuts from five to two, thereby reducing the points of potential vehicular pedestrian conflict along the public sidewalk. It provides both private and publicly accessible open space. It will increase the tax base generating more tax revenue that is currently attributed to the site. By resulting in a compatible residential development that diversifies the City's housing stock and provides additional residents located on a parcel that is near downtown and transit thereby supporting the downtown commercial and retail uses without unduly increasing traffic, the proposed PUD furthers the well- being of the entire community.
7. The extent to which the proposed plan is not in conformity with the recommendations of the comprehensive plan.

Important goals of the Comprehensive Plan are to diversify the City's housing stock and allow residents to age-in-place and improve housing affordability compared to detached single family homes. It also seeks to strengthen downtown and the commercial uses therein and provide greater density near transit and recreational amenities. The proposed development supports these

## ACOSTA EZGUR, LLC

1030 West Chicago Avenue, Third Floor ■ Chicago, Illinois 60642 - 312-327-3350 o 312-327-3315 f
goals by providing a moderate density development that represents a middle ground between the nearby multi-family and single-family areas. The site is within walking distance to downtown and the METRA station. It is near four schools and four parks. It also is near the City library and City Hall. While the Comprehensive Plan denotes the site for Industrial use, the site has remained vacant for a number of years notwithstanding its industrial classification. In addition, such industrial designation appears to be the result of the site's use at the time of the Comprehensive Plan's adoption as opposed to being reflective of the surrounding residential uses. The proposed townhome development is more consistent with such surrounding residential uses than a possible new industrial use.

## Amenities and Services Map within 0.5 Mile of Subject Site








Owner/Developer:
Luz and Associates \#1 LLC
Architect:
Graceland and Thacker
Des Plaines, Illinois
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## FitzGerald

Type I: Thirty-three (33) at 22' x $38^{\prime}$
Type II: Seventeen (17) at $20^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$
-13 guest outdoor parking stalls
(1 guest parking required per 4 townhomes
i.e. 13 guest parking required)


$\qquad$




THACKER ST. STREET ELEVATION


GRACELAND AVE. STREET ELEVATION

Proposed Street Elevations
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## MEMORANDUM

Date: October 19, 2023
To: Samantha Redman, Senior Planner
From: Timothy P. Oakley, P.E., CFM, Director of Public Works, and Engineering
Cc: John La Berg, P.E., CFM, Civil Engineer
Subject: 900 Graceland Ave and 1217 Thacker St Subdivision and Associated Townhouse Development

Public Works and Engineering has reviewed the subject final engineering plans and is satisfied with them for zoning approval subject to the conditions below:

## Required Conditions

- IEPA, MWRD, and IDOT permits are required prior to issuance of permits for construction and may be necessary for other stages of the project.
- Each townhome unit shall have separate water and sanitary sewer services.
- Hydrants and valves are to be added to the water main loop through the property.
- All electrical lines on the property must be installed underground.


## Required Public Improvements

Below are required public improvements for this project. Section 13-3-2.L of the Des Plaines Subdivision Ordinance describes ROW improvements adjacent to a property that the City is able to require with the subdivision process.

- Eastbound lane of Thacker Street must be grinded and resurfaced.
- Graceland is an IDOT route, and IDOT will determine the pavement replacement.
- Public sidewalk adjacent to the site found to be in unsafe condition or damaged by construction shall be replaced. City of Des Plaines shall make final determination near the completion of construction activities.
- Add pedestrian crosswalk crossing Thacker Street to Laurel Avenue., including a bump-out, crosswalk striping, signage including Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB).
- Add 8" ductile iron water main to replace 4" water main in Graceland only from the railroad tracks to your proposed connection (approximately 100 feet). This improvement will not require crossing Graceland Ave. with the water main.
- Lone streetlight on Graceland Ave. must be replaced and service undergrounded. Staff suggests moving it south to light up the driveway entrance onto Graceland Ave. Petitioner may work with staff and ComEd to coordinate this replacement.

TPO/jl

## Traffic Impact Study Proposed Residential Development

Des Plaines, Illinois


Prepared For:

## Luz and Associates \#1 LLC



September 28, 2023

## 1. Introduction

This report summarizes the methodologies, results, and findings of a traffic impact study conducted by Kenig, Lindgren, O'Hara, Aboona, Inc. (KLOA, Inc.) for a proposed residential development to be located at 900 Graceland Avenue in Des Plaines, Illinois. The site, which is currently occupied by Contour Saws Inc., will be redeveloped to provide approximately 50 townhomes. Each townhome will have two garage parking spaces and 13 guest parking spaces will be provided on site. The access will be provided off Graceland Avenue and Thacker Street.

The purpose of this study was to examine background traffic conditions, assess the impact that the proposed development will have on traffic conditions in the area, and determine if any roadway or access improvements are necessary to accommodate traffic generated by the proposed development. Figure 1 shows the location of the site in relation to the area roadway system. Figure 2 shows an aerial view of the site.

The sections of this report present the following:

- Existing roadway conditions
- A description of the proposed development
- Directional distribution of the development traffic
- Vehicle trip generation for the development
- Future traffic conditions including access to the development
- Traffic analyses for the weekday morning and evening peak hours
- Recommendations with respect to adequacy of the site access and adjacent roadway system
- Evaluation of the adequacy of the parking supply

Traffic capacity analyses were conducted for the weekday morning and evening peak hours for the following conditions:

1. Existing Conditions - Analyzes the capacity of the existing roadway system using existing peak hour traffic volumes in the surrounding area.
2. Projected Conditions - Analyzes the capacity of the future roadway system using the traffic volumes that include the existing traffic volumes increased by an ambient growth factor and the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed development.



## 2. Existing Conditions

The following provides a description of the geographical location of the site, physical characteristics of the area roadway system including lane usage and traffic control devices, and existing peak hour traffic volumes.

## Site Location

The site, which is currently occupied by Contour Saws Inc., is bounded by Thacker Street to the north, Union Pacific Metra Railroad to the west, and Graceland Avenue to the east. Land uses in the vicinity of the site are primarily residential with commercial land uses along Lee Road.

## Existing Roadway System Characteristics

The characteristics of the existing roadways near the proposed development are described below and illustrated in Figure 3.

Thacker Street is generally an east-west major collector roadway that provides one travel lane in each direction in the vicinity of the site. At its signalized intersection with Lee Road, Thacker Street provides a shared left-turn/through lane on the eastbound approach and a through lane and an exclusive right-turn lane on the westbound approach. High visibility crosswalks are provided on the east, north, and south legs of this intersection and a standard style crosswalk is provided on the west leg. Pedestrian signals are provided on all four legs of this intersection. At its signalized intersection with Graceland Avenue, Thacker Road provides a shared through/right-turn lane on the eastbound approach and a shared left-turn/through lane on the westbound approach. High visibility crosswalks and pedestrian signals are provided on all four legs of this intersection. At its unsignalized intersections with Jeannette Street, First Avenue, Laurel Avenue, and the two alleys, Thacker Street does not provide any exclusive turn lanes. Thacker Street is under the jurisdiction of the City of Des Plaines, carries an Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of approximately 8,900 vehicles (IDOT 2022), and has a posted speed limit of 25 miles per hour.


Graceland Avenue (U.S. 45) is a northeast-southwest, other principal arterial roadway that is one way in the southbound direction in the vicinity of the site providing two travel lanes. At its signalized intersection with Thacker Street, Graceland Avenue provides an exclusive left-turn lane, a through lane, and a shared through/right-turn lane on the southbound approach. At its unsignalized north intersection with Oakwood Avenue, Graceland Avenue provides a through lane and a shared left-turn/through lane on the southbound approach. At its unsignalized south intersection with Oakwood Avenue, Graceland Avenue provides a through lane and a shared through/right turn lane on the southbound approach. Graceland Avenue is under the jurisdiction of the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT), carries an AADT volume of approximately 17,000 vehicles (IDOT 2021), is not classified as a Strategic Regional Arterial (SRA), and has a posted speed limit of 30 miles per hour.

Lee Road is a northeast-southwest, other principal arterial roadway that is one way in the northbound direction in the vicinity of the site providing two travel lanes. At its signalized intersection with Thacker Street, Lee Road provides a shared left-turn/through lane, a through lane, and a shared through/right-turn lane on the northbound approach. At its unsignalized intersection with Oakwood Avenue, Lee Road provides a shared left-turn/through lane and a shared through/right-turn lane on the northbound approach. Lee Road is under the jurisdiction of IDOT, carries an AADT volume of 5,600 vehicles (IDOT 2021), is not classified as an SRA, and has a posted speed limit of 30 miles per hour.

Oakwood Avenue is an east-west, local roadway that extends from $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue to its terminus at River Road providing one travel lane in each direction. At its unsignalized north "T" intersection with Graceland Avenue, Oakwood Avenue provides a left-turn lane on the westbound approach. A standard style crosswalk is provided on the east leg of this intersection. At its unsignalized south "T" intersection with Graceland Avenue, Oakwood Avenue provides a right-turn lane on the eastbound approach. A standard style crosswalk is provided on the west leg of this intersection. At its unsignalized intersections with the alley and Lee Street, Oakwood Avenue provides a shared left-turn/through lane on the eastbound approach and a shared through/right-turn lane on the westbound approach. Standard style crosswalks are provided on the east and west legs of the intersection of Oakwood Avenue with Lee Road. Oakwood Avenue is under the jurisdiction of the city of Des Plaines and has a posted speed limit of 25 miles per hour.

Jeannette Street is a north-south local roadway that serves residential houses in the vicinity of the site. Jeannette Street extends south from Thacker Street to its terminus at Algonquin Road providing one travel lane in each direction. At its unsignalized "T" intersection with Thacker Street, Jeannette Street provides a shared left-turn/right-turn lane on the northbound approach. A standard style crosswalk is provided on the south leg of this intersection. Jeannette Street is under the jurisdiction of the City of Des Plaines and has a posted speed limit of 25 miles per hour.

First Avenue is a north-south local roadway that provides one travel lane in each direction. At its unsignalized "T" intersection with Thacker Street, First Avenue provides a shared left-turn/rightturn lane on the southbound approach. A standard style crosswalk is provided on the north leg of this intersection. First Avenue is under the jurisdiction of the City of Des Plaines and has a posted speed limit of 25 miles per hour.

Laurel Avenue is a north-south local roadway that provides one lane in each direction. At its unsignalized "T" intersection with Thacker Street, Laurel Avenue provides a shared left-turn/rightturn lane on the southbound approach. Laurel Avenue is under the jurisdiction of the City of Des Plaines.

The east alley is a north-south local roadway that provides one lane in each direction. At its unsignalized intersection with Thacker Street, the alley provides a shared left-turn/through/rightturn lane on both approaches. At its unsignalized "T" intersection with Oakwood Avenue, the alley provides a shared left-turn/right-turn lane on the southbound approach.

## Existing Traffic Volumes

In order to determine current traffic conditions within the study area, KLOA. Inc conducted traffic counts using Miovision Video Scout Collection Units on Tuesday, April 11, 2023 and on Thursday, April 27, 2023 during the weekday morning (7:00 to 9:00 A.M.) and weekday evening (4:00 to 6:00 P.M.) peak periods at the following intersections:

- Thacker Street with Lee Road
- Thacker Street with Graceland Avenue
- Thacker Street with Laurel Avenue
- Thacker Street with First Avenue
- Thacker Street with Jeannette Street
- Thacker Street with the east alley
- Thacker Steet with the west alley
- Oakwood Avenue with Lee Road
- Oakwood Avenue with the east alley
- Oakwood Avenue with Graceland Avenue

Based on the turning movement count data, it was determined that the weekday morning peak hour of traffic generally occurs between 8:00 A.M. and 9:00 A.M. and the weekday evening peak hour of traffic generally occurs between 4:45 P.M. and 5:45 P.M.

Figure 4 illustrates the Year 2023 existing traffic volumes.


## Train Observations

The Union Pacific Metra North-West crosses Graceland Avenue and Thacker Street in the vicinity of the site. Based on the Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC) data, the tracks carry an average of 22 daily passenger trains only. Furthermore and based on the Metra schedule, the Des Plaines Metra station is served by 69 trains ( 34 inbound, 35 outbound) on weekdays, 31 trains on Saturdays, and 19 trains on Sundays operating between 5:00 A.M. and 1:00 A.M. Monday through Friday. Field observations conducted during the peak hours for the crossings of Graceland Avenue and Thacker Street indicated the following:

## Graceland Avenue Crossing

- During the weekday morning peak hour, three Metra train events were observed. The gates were down for approximately 35 seconds on average. The southbound approach queue at the railroad crossing did not extend back to Thacker Street with a maximum queue of approximately 12 vehicles.
- During the weekday evening peak hour, four Metra train events were observed. The gates were down for approximately 51 seconds on average. The southbound approach queue at the railroad crossing did not extend to Thacker Street with a maximum queue of approximately 12 vehicles.


## Thacker Street Crossing

- During the weekday morning peak hour, the queues did not extend past Laurel Avenue.
- During the weekday evening peak hour, the queues extended past Laurel Avenue for approximately 45 seconds and cleared within 30 seconds after the gate was opened.


## Crash Data Summary

KLOA, Inc. obtained crash data ${ }^{1}$ for the past five years (2018 to 2022) for the intersections of Thacker Street with Lee Road, Thacker Street with Graceland Avenue, Graceland Avenue with Oakwood Avenue, Lee Road with Oakwood Avenue, Thacker Street with Jeannette Street, and Thacker Street with Laurel Avenue. A review of the crash data indicated that no crashes were reported at the intersection of Thacker Street with Laurel Avenue. It should be noted that no fatalities were reported at any studied intersection between 2018 and 2022. Tables $\mathbf{1}$ through $\mathbf{5}$ summarize the crash data for these intersections.

[^5]Table 1
THACKER STREET WITH GRACELAND AVENUE - CRASH SUMMARY

| Year | Type of Crash Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Angle | Object | Rear End | Sideswipe | Turning | Other | Total |  |
| 2018 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |
| 2019 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 |  |
| 2020 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2022 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |  |
| Average/Year | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | -- | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | -- | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ |  |

Table 2
THACKER STREET WITH LEE ROAD - CRASH SUMMARY

| Year | Type of Crash Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Angle | Object | Rear End | Sideswipe | Turning | Other | Total |  |
| 2018 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 |  |
| 2019 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 |  |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |  |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |  |
| Average/Year | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{- -}$ | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{- -}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2}$ |  |

Table 3
GRACELAND AVENUE WITH OAKWOOD AVENUE - CRASH SUMMARY

| Year | Type of Crash Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Angle | Object | Rear End | Sideswipe | Turning | Other | Total |  |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |  |
| Average/Year | $\mathbf{- -}$ | -- | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | -- | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | -- | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |  |

Table 4
LEE ROAD WITH OAKWOOD AVENUE - CRASH SUMMARY

| Year | Type of Crash Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Angle | Object | Rear End | Sideswipe | Turning | Other | Total |  |
| 2018 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |
| 2020 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |  |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |  |
| Average/Year | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | -- | -- | -- | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | -- | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ |  |

Table 5
THACKER STREET WITH JEANNETTE STREET - CRASH SUMMARY

| Year | Type of Crash Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Angle | Object | Rear End | Sideswipe | Turning | Other | Total |  |  |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 2022 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |  |  |
| Average/Year | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |  |  |

## 3. Traffic Characteristics of the Proposed Development

In order to properly evaluate future traffic conditions in the surrounding area, it was necessary to determine the traffic characteristics of the proposed development, including the directional distribution and volumes of traffic that it will generate.

## Proposed Site and Development Plan

The site, which is currently occupied by Contour Saws Inc., will be redeveloped to provide 50 townhomes. Each townhome will provide two garages and 13 guest parking will be provided on site. Access to the development will be provided via a full-movement access drive off Thacker Street located approximately 40 feet east of Laurel Avenue and a right-in/right-out access drive off Graceland Avenue located approximately 395 feet south of Thacker Street. Both access drives provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane with outbound movements under stop sign control. A copy of the preliminary site plan depicting the proposed development is included in the Appendix.

## Directional Distribution

The directions from which residents and visitors of the development will approach and depart the site were estimated based on existing travel patterns, as determined from the traffic counts. Figure 5 illustrates the directional distribution of the traffic to be generated by the proposed development.


## Development Traffic Generation

The vehicle trip generation for the overall development was calculated using data published in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition. The "Multifamily Housing" (ITE Land-Use Code 220) rate was used for the proposed residential units.

It should be noted that due to the location of the site within close proximity of the Des Plaines Metra Station, census data for the area indicates that five percent of the estimated trips to be generated by the proposed development will be via the public transportation, two percent will walk, and one percent will bike. However, in order to provide a conservative analysis, no reductions were applied.

Table 6 shows the estimated vehicle trip generation for the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours as well as daily traffic. Copies of the ITE trip generation worksheets are included in the Appendix.

Table 6
SITE GENERATED TRIP ESTIMATES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITE } \\ & \text { Land- } \end{aligned}$ | Type/Size | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Daily Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| 220 | Multifamily Housing (LowRise) 50 units | 9 | 28 | 37 | 25 | 15 | 40 | 198 | 198 | 396 |

## Trip Generation Comparison

It should be noted that the site is currently occupied by an approximately 107,000 square-foot manufacturing building and parking lot. Table 7 indicates the trips estimated to be generated by the existing manufacturing site and the trips estimated to be generated by the proposed residential development and the future development of the supplemental parking serving the manufacturing building which is located on the northwest corner of the intersection of Oakwood Avenue with Graceland Avenue (as discussed later in the report). A comparison between the future development's generated trips and the manufacturing site shows that the trips estimated to be generated by the existing manufacturing site are approximately 50 percent higher during the weekday morning peak hour and 45 percent higher during the weekday evening peak hour.

Table 7
TRIP COMPARISION

| ITE <br> Land- <br> Use | Type/Size | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Daily Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| 220 | Multifamily Housing (LowRise) ${ }^{1}$ 50 units | 9 | 28 | 37 | 25 | 15 | 40 | 198 | 198 | 396 |
| 140 | Manufacturing $\text { ( } \sim 107,000 \text { s.f. })$ | 57 | 18 | 75 | 23 | 53 | 76 | 303 | 303 | 606 |
|  | Difference | -48 | +10 | -38 | +2 | -38 | -36 | -105 | -105 | -210 |
| 1 - Sum | both sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 4. Projected Traffic Conditions

The total projected traffic volumes include the existing traffic volumes, increase in background traffic due to growth, and the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed subject development.

## Development Traffic Assignment

The estimated peak hour traffic volumes that will be generated by the proposed development were assigned to the roadway system in accordance with the previously described directional distribution. Figure 6 illustrates the assignment of the vehicle traffic volumes to be generated by the proposed development.

## Background (No-Build) Traffic Conditions

The existing traffic volumes (Figure 4) were increased by a regional growth factor to account for the increase in existing traffic related to regional growth in the area (i.e., not attributable to any particular planned development). Based on 2050 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) projections provided by the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP), the existing traffic volumes were increased by an annually compounded growth rate for six years (one-year buildout plus five years) totaling three percent to represent Year 2029 total projected conditions. Additionally, the Year 2029 no-build traffic volumes include the traffic estimated to be generated by the following other area developments:

- The trips generated by the Little Bulgaria Center located at 832 Lee Street were estimated and assigned to the roadway system. It should be noted that the pick-up and drop-off activities will take place off the east alley.
- It is our understanding that 96 units of the Welkin Apartments located at 1425 Ellinwood Street are unoccupied. The estimated trip to the vacant units were estimated and assigned to the roadway system.
- Trips estimated to be generated by a proposed residential development with 56 apartment units to be located at the northeast corner of the intersection of Oakwood Avenue with Graceland Avenue which is currently utilized as a parking lot for Contour Saws Inc.


## Total Projected Traffic Volumes

The total projected traffic volumes include the Year 2029 no-build traffic volumes and the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed development (Figure 6). Figure 7 shows the Year 2029 total projected traffic volumes.



## 5. Traffic Analysis and Recommendations

The following provides an evaluation conducted for the weekday morning and evening peak hours. The analysis includes conducting capacity analyses to determine how well the roadway system and access drives are projected to operate and whether any roadway improvements or modifications are required.

## Traffic Analyses

Roadway and adjacent or nearby intersection analyses were performed for the weekday morning and evening peak hours for the existing and future projected (Year 2029) traffic volumes.

The traffic analyses were performed using the methodologies outlined in the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition and analyzed using Synchro/SimTraffic 11 software. The analysis for the traffic-signal controlled intersection was accomplished using actual cycle lengths and phasings to determine the average overall vehicle delay and levels of service.

The analyses for the unsignalized intersections determine the average control delay to vehicles at an intersection. Control delay is the elapsed time from a vehicle joining the queue at a stop sign (includes the time required to decelerate to a stop) until its departure from the stop sign and resumption of free flow speed. The methodology analyzes each intersection approach controlled by a stop sign and considers traffic volumes on all approaches and lane characteristics.

The ability of an intersection to accommodate traffic flow is expressed in terms of level of service, which is assigned a letter from A to F based on the average control delay experienced by vehicles passing through the intersection. The Highway Capacity Manual definitions for levels of service and the corresponding control delay for signalized intersections and unsignalized intersections are included in the Appendix of this report.

Summaries of the traffic analysis results showing the level of service and overall intersection delay (measured in seconds) for the existing and Year 2029 total projected conditions are presented in Tables 8 through 11. A discussion of the intersections follows. Summary sheets for the capacity analyses are included in the Appendix.
Table 8
CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS - THACKER STREET WITH GRACELAND AVENUE - SIGNALIZED

Table 9
CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS - THACKER STREET WITH LEE ROAD - SIGNALIZED

|  | Peak Hour | Eastbound | Westbound |  | Northbound | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | L/T | T | R | L/T/R |  |
|  | Weekday Morning | C-34.9 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ 57.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 4.9 \end{gathered}$ | A - 9.6 | C |
|  |  |  | D - 48.2 |  |  |  |
|  | Weekday Evening | C-34.9 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ 56.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 0.7 \end{gathered}$ | A - 9.5 | C |
|  |  |  | D - 50.7 |  |  |  |
|  | Weekday <br> Morning | C-34.0 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ 57.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 4.9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | B - 11.4 | C |
|  |  |  | D - 49.3 |  |  |  |
|  | Weekday Evening | C-34.9 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ 56.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 0.9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | B - 10.9 | C |
|  |  |  | D - 50.8 |  |  | 23.4 |
| Letter denotes Level of Service L - Left Turn R - Right Turn <br> Delay is measured in seconds. T - Through  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10
CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS - EXISTING CONDITIONS - UNSIGNALIZED


## Table 11

CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS -PROJECTED CONDITIONS - UNSIGNALIZED


## Discussion and Recommendations

The following summarizes how the intersections are projected to operate and identifies any roadway and traffic control improvements necessary to accommodate the development traffic.

## Thacker Street with Graceland Avenue

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that overall this intersection currently operates at Level of Service (LOS) C during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours. The eastbound approach currently operates at LOS E during both peak hours and the westbound approach operates at LOS D during the weekday morning peak hour and LOS E during the weekday evening peak hour. Additionally, the southbound approach operates at LOS A during both peak hours.

Under Year 2029 total projected conditions, overall this intersection is projected to continue operating at LOS C during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours with increases in delay of approximately one second or less. All the approaches are projected to continue operating at the same existing levels of service during the peak hours with increases in delay of less than three seconds. The maximum $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for the eastbound through movement is projected to be approximately 295 feet during the weekday evening peak hour and will extend to the west alley but based on the field observations and the traffic simulation, the queue will clear the intersection during each green phase. The maximum $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for the westbound through movement is projected to be approximately 280 feet during the weekday evening peak hour and will extend to the east alley but based on the field observations and the traffic simulation, the queue will clear the intersection during each green phase. As such, this intersection has adequate reserve capacity to accommodate the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed development and no roadway improvements and/or traffic control modifications are required.

## Thacker Street with Lee Road

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that overall this intersection currently operates at LOS C during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours. The eastbound approach operates at LOS C during both peak hours and the westbound approach operates at LOS D during both peak hours. Additionally, the northbound approach operates at LOS A during both peak hours.

Under Year 2029 total projected conditions, overall this intersection is projected to continue operating at LOS C during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours with increases in delay of less than one second. The eastbound and westbound approaches are projected to operate at the same existing levels of service during both peak hours with increases in delay of less than two seconds. The northbound approach is projected to operate at LOS B during both peak hours with increases in delay of less than two seconds. The maximum $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for the eastbound through movement is projected to be approximately 245 feet during the weekday morning peak hour and will extend to the east alley but based on the field observations and the traffic simulation, the queue will clear the intersection during each green phase. As such, this intersection has adequate reserve capacity to accommodate the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed development and no roadway improvements and/or traffic control modifications are required.

## Graceland Avenue with Oakwood Avenue (North Intersection)

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that the westbound approach currently operates at LOS B during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours.

Under Year 2029 total projected conditions, the westbound approach is projected to continue operating at LOS B during both peak hours with increases in delay of less than one second. As such, the traffic that will be generated by the proposed development will have a limited impact on the operation of this intersection and no roadway improvements and/or traffic control modifications are required.

## Graceland Avenue with Oakwood Avenue (South Intersection)

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that the eastbound approach currently operates at LOS B during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours.

Under Year 2029 total projected conditions, the eastbound approach is projected to continue operating at LOS B during both peak hours with increases in delay of less than one second. As such, the traffic that will be generated by the proposed development will have a limited impact on the operation of this intersection and no roadway improvements and/or traffic control modifications are required.

## Lee Street with Oakwood Avenue

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that the eastbound and westbound approaches currently operate at LOS B during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours.

Under Year 2029 total projected conditions, the eastbound and westbound approaches are projected to operate at LOS B during the weekday morning peak hour and LOS C during the weekday evening peak hour with increases in delay of approximately one second or less. As such, this intersection has adequate reserve capacity to accommodate the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed development and no roadway improvements and/or traffic control modifications are required.

## Thacker Street with Laurel Avenue

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that the southbound approach currently operates at LOS B during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours while the eastbound leftturn movement operates at LOS A during both peak hours.

Under Year 2029 total projected conditions, the southbound approach and the eastbound left-turn movement are projected to continue operating at the same existing levels of service during both peak hours with increases in delay of less than one second. As such, the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed development will have a limited impact on the operation of this intersection and no roadway improvements and/or traffic control modifications are required.

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that the southbound approach currently operates at LOS B during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours while the eastbound leftturn movement operates at LOS A during both peak hours.

Under Year 2029 total projected conditions, the southbound approach and the eastbound left-turn movement are projected to continue operating at the same existing levels of service during both peak hours with increases in delay of less than one second. As such, the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed development will have a limited impact on the operation of this intersection and no roadway improvements and/or traffic control modifications are required.

## Thacker Street with Jeannette Street

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that the northbound approach currently operates at LOS B during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours while the westbound leftturn movement operates at LOS A during both peak hours.

Under Year 2029 total projected conditions, the northbound approach and the westbound left-turn movement are projected to continue operating at the same existing levels of service during both peak hours with increases in delay of less than one second. As such, the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed development will have a limited impact on the operation of this intersection and no roadway improvements and/or traffic control modifications are required.

## Thacker Street with West Alley

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that the southbound approach currently operates at LOS B during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours and the eastbound left-turn movement operates at LOS A during both peak hours.

Under Year 2029 total projected conditions, the southbound approach and the eastbound left-turn are projected to continue operating at the existing levels of service during both peak hours with increases in delay of less than one second. As such, the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed development will have a limited impact on the operation of this intersection and no roadway improvements and/or traffic control modifications are required

## Thacker Street with East Alley

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that the northbound and southbound approaches currently operate at LOS B during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours. The eastbound and westbound left-turn movements currently operates at LOS A during both peak hours.

Under Year 2029 total projected conditions, the northbound approach is projected to operate at LOS C during the weekday morning peak hour and LOS B during the weekday evening peak hour with increases in delay of less than four seconds. The southbound approach is projected to continue operating at LOS B during both peak hours with increases in delay of less than two seconds. The eastbound and westbound left-turn movements are projected to continue operating at LOS A during both peak hours with increases in delay of less than one second. As such, this intersection has adequate reserve capacity to accommodate the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed development and no roadway improvements and/or traffic control modifications are required.

## Oakwood Avenue with East Alley

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that the southbound approach and the eastbound leftturn movement currently operate at LOS A during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hour.

Under Year 2029 total projected conditions, the southbound approach and the eastbound left-turn movement are projected to continue operating at LOS A during both peak hours with increases in delay of less than one second. As such, the trips estimated to be generated by the proposed development will have a limited impact on the operation of this intersection and no roadway improvements and/or traffic control modifications are required.

## Graceland Avenue with Proposed Access Drive

The proposed right-in/right-out access drive off Graceland Avenue will provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane with the outbound movements under stop sign control.

Under Year 2029 total projected conditions, the eastbound approach is projected to operate at LOS B during both peak hours. As such, this intersection will be adequate to accommodate the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed development and will ensure efficient access to the site.

## Thacker Street with Proposed Access Drive

The proposed full movement access drive off Thacker Street provides one inbound lane and one outbound lane with the outbound movements under stop sign control.

Under Year 2029 total projected conditions, the northbound approach is projected to operate at LOS B during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours while the westbound leftturn movement is projected to operate at LOS A during both peak hours. As such, this intersection will be adequate to accommodate the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed development and will ensure efficient access to the site.

## Parking Evaluation

As previously indicated, the proposed development will have approximately 50 townhomes including 33 three-bedroom units and 17 two-bedroom units. Each townhome will provide two garages and 13 guest parking spaces will be provided within the site. In order to determine the projected parking demand of the proposed development, the parking demand was estimated based on the City of Des Plaines Code of Ordinances and parking rates published in the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Parking Generation Manual, $5^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Based on the two methodologies, the parking demand for the proposed development is as follows:

## Parking Requirements of Proposed Development per City Code

- Multifamily Housing (133 bedrooms)
- $\quad 1.5$ parking spaces per two-bedroom unit
- $\quad 2.25$ parking spaces per three-bedroom unit
- One guest parking space is required per 4 townhomes

Based on the above and the requirements of the City of Des Plaines, this translates into 113 parking spaces. It is also important to note that this ratio does not take into account the proximity of the site to the Metra train station.

## ITE Parking Generation Manual

- $\quad$ Residential Use (Multifamily Housing Low-Rise - Land Use Code 221)
- $\quad 1.21$ parking spaces per unit
- $\quad 0.75$ parking space per bedroom

Based on the above and the rates published in the ITE Parking Generation Manual, that translates into approximately 100 parking spaces which results in a surplus of 13 parking spaces. Therefore, the proposed parking supply meets ITE's requirements of 100 parking spaces.

## 6. Conclusion

Based on the preceding analyses and recommendations, the following conclusions have been made:

- The volume of traffic projected to be generated by the proposed development will be reduced due to the proximity of the development to the Des Plaines Metra train station.
- The results of the capacity analysis indicate that the proposed development traffic will not have a significant impact on the area roadways.
- Access to the development will be provided via a full-movement access drive off Thacker Street Located approximately 40 feet east of Laurel Avenue and a right-in/right-out access drive off Graceland Avenue located approximately 395 feet south of Thacker Street. Both access drives will provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane with outbound movements under stop sign control.
- The proposed access drives will be adequate in accommodating the traffic projected to be generated by the proposed development and will ensure that a flexible access system is provided.
- The proposed parking supply of 113 spaces will meet the City of Des Plaines and ITE requirements.


## Appendix

## Traffic Count Summary Sheets Site Plan ITE Trip Generation Summary Sheets Level of Service Criteria Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets

## Traffic Count Summary Sheets

Count Name: Graceland Avenue with North
Site Code:
Start Date: 04/26/2023
Page No: 1
Kenig Lindgren O'Hara Aboona, Inc.
9575 W. Higgins Rd., Suite 400
Rosemont, Illinois, United States 60018
(847)518-9990 sainkeshavarzi@kloainc.com
Turning Movement Data
aceland Avenue
Northbound
$\stackrel{8}{0}$
 $\frac{5}{3} 00000000000.1000000000000000 .10 .10 .10 .10$


Count Name: Graceland Avenue with North
Access Drives TMC
Site Code:
Start Date: $04 / 26 / 2023$
Page No: 24
 Graceland Avenue
Southbound

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:45 PM)

Count Name: Graceland Avenue with North
Access Drives TMC
Site Code:
Start Date: $04 / 26 / 2023$
Page No: 3
 Graceland Avenue
Southbound $\stackrel{0}{0} 000000$.

Turning Movement Data


Count Name: Graceland Avenue with Oakwood
04/27/2023
Start Date:
Page No: 2

Count Name: Graceland Avenue with Oakwood 04/27/2023 Site Code:
Start Date:
Page No: 3


[^6]


| 8:30 AM |
| :---: |
| 8:45 AM |
| Hourly Total |
| $* * *$ BREAK *** |




| n |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| $i$ |

$\frac{18+0 \perp \mathrm{Kl\mid nOH}}{\text { Wd St: }}$


\% | erfol |
| :--- |
| \% पroiddy |

Lights

| \% Lights |
| :---: |
| Buses |



| \% Bicycles on | - | - | 0.4 | 2.9 | - | 0.5 | - | 0.0 | 0.6 | - | - | 0.6 | - | 2.3 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrians | . | . | . | . | 2 | - | . | . | . | - | 9 | . | - | - | - | . | 0 | . | - | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | . | - | - | . | 100.0 | - | . | . | . | . | 100.0 | - | . | - | - | . | - | . | . | . | . | . | 100.0 | . | - |

Kenig Lindgren O'Hara Aboona, Inc.
9575 W . Higgins Rd., Suite 400 Rosemont, Illinois, United States 60018
(847)518-9990 sainkeshavarzi@kloainc.com


Attachment 12
Kenig Lindgren O'Hara Aboona, Inc.
9575 W . Higgins Rd., Suite 400 Rosemont, Illinois, United States 60018
847)518-9990 sainkeshavarzi@kloainc.com

Turning Movement Data




Count Name: Laurel Avenue with Thacker Street Site Code:
Start Date:
Pat Start Date: 04/11/2023
Page No: 2

Count Name: Laurel Avenue with Thacker Street Site Code:
Start Date: Start Date: 04/11/2023
Page No: 3

| Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:45 PM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Thacker Street <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Thacker Street <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | Laurel Avenue Southbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Peds | App. Total | U-Turn | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | U-Turn | Left | Right | Peds | App. Total |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 55 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 75 | 1 | 1 | 76 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 139 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 47 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 55 | 4 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 111 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 3 | 51 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 67 | 1 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 125 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 4 | 49 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 62 | 3 | 2 | 65 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 123 |
| Total | 0 | 14 | 202 | 0 | 216 | 0 | 259 | 9 | 3 | 268 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 13 | 14 | 498 |
| Approach \% | 0.0 | 6.5 | 93.5 | - | - | 0.0 | 96.6 | 3.4 | - | - | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 0.0 | 2.8 | 40.6 | - | 43.4 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 1.8 | - | 53.8 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.2 | - | 2.8 | $\cdot$ |
| PHF | 0.000 | 0.875 | 0.918 | - | 0.915 | 0.000 | 0.863 | 0.563 | - | 0.882 | 0.000 | 0.500 | 0.500 | - | 0.700 | 0.896 |
| Lights | 0 | 13 | 198 | - | 211 | 0 | 252 | 9 | - | 261 | 0 | 8 | 5 | $\checkmark$ | 13 | 485 |
| \% Lights | - | 92.9 | 98.0 | - | 97.7 | - | 97.3 | 100.0 | - | 97.4 | - | 100.0 | 83.3 | - | 92.9 | 97.4 |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 2 | - | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . | 0 | 4 |
| \% Buses | - | 0.0 | 1.0 | - | 0.9 | - | 0.8 | 0.0 | - | 0.7 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | - | 7.1 | 0.0 | - | 0.5 | - | 0.8 | 0.0 | - | 0.7 | - | 0.0 | 16.7 | - | 7.1 | 0.8 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | . | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 2 | - | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 5 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | - | 0.0 | 1.0 | - | 0.9 | - | 1.2 | 0.0 | - | 1.1 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 13 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - |

Count Name: Lee Street with Oakwood Avenue Site Code: Site Code:
Start Date: $04 / 26 / 2023$
Page No: 1

Rosemont, Illinois, United States 60018


| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Bicycles on } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | - | 1.6 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 100.0 | - | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 0.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrians | . | . | - | . | 22 | . | . | . | . | . | 22 | . | . | - | . | - | 6 | . | . | . | . | . | 7 | . | . |
| \% Pedestrians | . | . | . | . | 100.0 | . | . | . | . | . | 100.0 | . | . | . | . | - | 100.0 | . | - | . | . | . | 100 | . | . |

Count Name: Lee Street with Oakwood Avenue Site Code:
Start Date: 04/26/2023
Page No: 3

Count Name: Lee Street with Oakwood Avenue Site Code:
Start Date: 04/26/2023
Page No: 4


Count Name: Lee Street with Thacker Street

## Site Code: Start Date: Pag <br> Start Date: 04/25/2023 Page No: 1

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Bicycles on } \\ & \text { Road } \end{aligned}$ | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |  | 0.1 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | - | - | - | . |  | - | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrians | . | . | . | . | 9 | . | . | . | . | . | 15 | . | . | . | . | - | 1 | . | . | - | - | - | 19 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | . | . | - | - | 100.0 | . | . | - | - | . | 100.0 | - | . | - | - | . | 100.0 | . | . | . | . | . | 100.0 | . | - |

Kenig Lindgren O'Hara Aboona, Inc.
9575 W . Higgins Rd., Suite 400



Attachment 12
Kenig Lindgren O'Hara Aboona, Inc.
9575 W . Higgins Rd., Suite 400




 Start Date: 04/11/2023
Page No: 3
:on 26



 Start Date: 04/11/2023
Page No: 4


Count Name: Whacker Street with 1st Avenue Site Code: Start Date: 04/1 1/2023
Page No: 1

Kenig Lindgren O'Hara Aboona, Inc
9575 W . Higgins Rd., Suite 400

Turning Movement Data


# <div class="inline-tabular"><table id="tabular" data-type="subtable">
<tbody>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: center; border-left: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; " class="_empty"></td>
</tr>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: center; border-left: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">Pees</td>
</tr>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: center; border-left: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">0</td>
</tr>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: center; border-left: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">0</td>
</tr>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: center; border-left: none !important; border-bottom-style: solid !important; border-bottom-width: 1px !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">0</td>
</tr>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: center; border-left: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">0</td>
</tr>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: center; border-left: none !important; border-bottom-style: solid !important; border-bottom-width: 1px !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">0</td>
</tr>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: center; border-left: none !important; border-bottom-style: solid !important; border-bottom-width: 1px !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">0</td>
</tr>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: center; border-left: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">0</td>
</tr>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: center; border-left: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">0</td>
</tr>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: center; border-left: none !important; border-bottom-style: solid !important; border-bottom-width: 1px !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">0</td>
</tr>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: center; border-left: none !important; border-bottom-style: solid !important; border-bottom-width: 1px !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">0</td>
</tr>
<tr style="border-top: none !important; border-bottom: none !important;">
<td style="text-align: center; border-left: none !important; border-bottom: none !important; border-top: none !important; width: auto; vertical-align: middle; ">-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
<table-markdown style="display: none">|  |
| :---: |
| Pees |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| - |</table-markdown></div> 
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| Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (8:00 AM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Thacker Street <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Thacker Street <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | 1st Avenue Southbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Peds | App. Total | U-Turn | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | U-Turn | Left | Right | Peds | App. Total |  |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 9 | 61 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 44 | 11 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 134 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 9 | 59 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 52 | 4 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 135 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 6 | 52 | 1 | 58 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 107 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 6 | 69 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 50 | 2 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 133 |
| Total | 0 | 30 | 241 | 1 | 271 | 0 | 190 | 19 | 0 | 209 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 7 | 29 | 509 |
| Approach \% | 0.0 | 11.1 | 88.9 | . | - | 0.0 | 90.9 | 9.1 | . | - | 0.0 | 41.4 | 58.6 | . | - | . |
| Total \% | 0.0 | 5.9 | 47.3 | - | 53.2 | 0.0 | 37.3 | 3.7 | - | 41.1 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 3.3 | - | 5.7 | - |
| PHF | 0.000 | 0.833 | 0.873 | - | 0.903 | 0.000 | 0.913 | 0.432 | - | 0.933 | 0.000 | 0.750 | 0.607 | - | 0.659 | 0.943 |
| Lights | 0 | 29 | 230 | - | 259 | 0 | 181 | 17 | - | 198 | 0 | 10 | 14 | - | 24 | 481 |
| \% Lights | - | 96.7 | 95.4 | - | 95.6 | - | 95.3 | 89.5 | - | 94.7 | - | 83.3 | 82.4 | - | 82.8 | 94.5 |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 4 | - | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | - | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 1 | 12 |
| \% Buses | - | 0.0 | 1.7 | - | 1.5 | - | 2.6 | 10.5 | - | 3.3 | - | 0.0 | 5.9 | - | 3.4 | 2.4 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 1 | 5 | - | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | . | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | . | 4 | 13 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | - | 3.3 | 2.1 | - | 2.2 | - | 1.6 | 0.0 | - | 1.4 | - | 16.7 | 11.8 | - | 13.8 | 2.6 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 2 | - | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 3 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | - | 0.0 | 0.8 | - | 0.7 | - | 0.5 | 0.0 | - | 0.5 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 1 | . | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - |

Count Name: Thacker Street with 1st Avenue
TMC
Site Code:
Start Date: 04/11/2023
Page No: 3



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Bicycles on } \\ & \text { Road } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | 0.5 | 0.0 | - | 0.4 | - | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | - | 0.7 | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrians | . | . | . | . | 21 | . | . | . | . | . | 27 | . | - | - | - | - | 17 | . | . | . | . | - | 32 | . | . |
| \% Pedestrians | . | . | . | . | 100.0 | . | . | - | - | . | 100.0 | . | . | . | - | . | 100.0 | . | . |  | . | - | 100.0 | - | - |

Kenig Lindgren O'Hara Aboona, Inc.
9575 W . Higgins Rd., Suite 400 Rosemont, Illinois, United States 60018
847)518-9990 sainkeshavarzi@kloainc.com


Count Name: Thacker Street with Graceland Site Code:
Start Date: 04/11/2023
Page No: 4

ヵ: ON 2 6e

Count Name: Thacker Street with Graceland Court Access Drive TMC
Site Code:
Start Date: 04/11/2023 Rosemont, Illinois, United States 60018
(847)518-9990 sainkeshavarzi@kloainc.com

Turning Movement Data





$\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}-$
$-\quad-$
Graceland Court Access Drive


 | - | - | 0.001 | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | 68 | - | - | - | - | Keni Lindgen OHAran Abonan ore

Page No: 1
(28)
-

Westbound
Right

$\stackrel{5}{3} \mathrm{y}$
$00-0-000000-\overline{0} 00-0.00000000000$








| \% Bicycles on | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.2 | - | 0.4 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrians | . | . | . | . | 4 | . | . | . | . | . | 0 | . | - | . | . | . | 3 | . | - | . | . | - | 21 | - | . |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | . | 100.0 | - | . | . | . | - | - | - | . | . | - | . | 100.0 | . | . | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - |






## Site Plan



## ITE Trip Generation Summary Sheets

# Land Use: 220 Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise) 

## Description

Low-rise multifamily housing includes apartments, townhouses, and condominiums located within the same building with at least three other dwelling units and that have two or three floors (levels). Various configurations fit this description, including walkup apartment, mansion apartment, and stacked townhouse.

- A walkup apartment typically is two or three floors in height with dwelling units that are accessed by a single or multiple entrances with stairways and hallways.
- A mansion apartment is a single structure that contains several apartments within what appears to be a single-family dwelling unit.
- A fourplex is a single two-story structure with two matching dwelling units on the ground and second floors. Access to the individual units is typically internal to the structure and provided through a central entry and stairway.
- A stacked townhouse is designed to match the external appearance of a townhouse. But, unlike a townhouse dwelling unit that only shares walls with an adjoining unit, the stacked townhouse units share both floors and walls. Access to the individual units is typically internal to the structure and provided through a central entry and stairway.

Multifamily housing (mid-rise) (Land Use 221), multifamily housing (high-rise) (Land Use 222), affordable housing (Land Use 223), and off-campus student apartment (low-rise) (Land Use 225) are related land uses.

## Land Use Subcategory

Data are presented for two subcategories for this land use: (1) not close to rail transit and (2) close to rail transit. A site is considered close to rail transit if the walking distance between the residential site entrance and the closest rail transit station entrance is $1 / 2$ mile or less.

## Additional Data

For the three sites for which both the number of residents and the number of occupied dwelling units were available, there were an average of 2.72 residents per occupied dwelling unit.

For the two sites for which the numbers of both total dwelling units and occupied dwelling units were available, an average of 96.2 percent of the total dwelling units were occupied.

The technical appendices provide supporting information on time-of-day distributions for this land use. The appendices can be accessed through either the ITETripGen web app or the trip
generation resource page on the ITE website (https://www.ite.org/technical-resources/topics/trip-and-parking-generation/).

For the three sites for which data were provided for both occupied dwelling units and residents, there was an average of 2.72 residents per occupied dwelling unit.

It is expected that the number of bedrooms and number of residents are likely correlated to the trips generated by a residential site. To assist in future analysis, trip generation studies of all multifamily housing should attempt to obtain information on occupancy rate and on the mix of residential unit sizes (i.e., number of units by number of bedrooms at the site complex).

The sites were surveyed in the 1980s, the 1990s, the 2000s, the 2010s, and the 2020s in British Columbia (CAN), California, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Jersey, Ontario (CAN), Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, and Washington.

## Source Numbers

188, 204, 237, 300, 305, 306, 320, 321, 357, 390, 412, 525, 530, 579, 583, 638, 864, 866, 896, 901, 903, 904, 936, 939, 944, 946, 947, 948, 963, 964, 966, 967, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1036, 1047, 1056, 1071, 1076

# Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise) Not Close to Rail Transit (220) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 22
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 229
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.74 | $2.46-12.50$ | 1.79 |

## Data Plot and Equation



Attachment 12

# Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise) Not Close to Rail Transit (220) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 49
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 249
Directional Distribution: 24\% entering, 76\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.40 | $0.13-0.73$ | 0.12 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise) Not Close to Rail Transit (220) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 59
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 241
Directional Distribution: 63\% entering, 37\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.51 | $0.08-1.04$ | 0.15 |

Data Plot and Equation


Attachment 12

## Level of Service Criteria

| Signalized Intersections |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of Service | Interpretation | Average Control Delay (seconds per vehicle) |
| A | Favorable progression. Most vehicles arrive during the green indication and travel through the intersection without stopping. | $\leq 10$ |
| B | Good progression, with more vehicles stopping than for Level of Service A. | > $10-20$ |
| C | Individual cycle failures (i.e., one or more queued vehicles are not able to depart as a result of insufficient capacity during the cycle) may begin to appear. Number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many vehicles still pass through the intersection without stopping. | > 20-35 |
| D | The volume-to-capacity ratio is high and either progression is ineffective or the cycle length is too long. Many vehicles stop and individual cycle failures are noticeable. | > 35-55 |
| E | Progression is unfavorable. The volume-to-capacity ratio is high and the cycle length is long. Individual cycle failures are frequent. | > 55-80 |
| F | The volume-to-capacity ratio is very high, progression is very poor, and the cycle length is long. Most cycles fail to clear the queue. | > 80 |
| Unsignalized Intersections |  |  |
| Level of Service |  | Average Total Delay (sec/veh) |
| A 0-10 |  |  |
| B $\quad>10-15$ |  |  |
| C $\quad>15-25$ |  |  |
|  | D $>25$ | > 25-35 |
|  | E $>35$ | > 35-50 |
|  | F |  |
| Source: High | y Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition. |  |

## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets Existing Weekday Morning Peak Hour

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 7 |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\psi$ |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个 |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 208 | 36 | 39 | 134 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 482 | 82 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 208 | 36 | 39 | 134 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 482 | 82 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 25 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.978 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1772 | 0 | 1719 | 1810 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1752 | 3381 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.328 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1772 | 0 | 594 | 1810 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1752 | 3381 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 192 |  |  | 276 |  |  | 397 |  |  | 453 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 4.4 |  |  | 6.3 |  |  | 9.0 |  |  | 10.3 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 5\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 255 | 0 | 41 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 587 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  | 1.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |  |  |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) |  | 45.0 |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |  |  |  | 75.0 | 75.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) |  | 37.5\% |  | 37.5\% | 37.5\% |  |  |  |  | 62.5\% | 62.5\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode |  | None |  | None | None |  |  |  |  | C-Min | C-Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 22.3 |  | 22.3 | 22.3 |  |  |  |  | 85.7 | 85.7 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.19 |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |  |  |  |  | 0.71 | 0.71 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.76 |  | 0.37 | 0.42 |  |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.24 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 59.1 |  | 51.0 | 46.9 |  |  |  |  | 6.3 | 6.5 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 59.1 |  | 51.0 | 46.9 |  |  |  |  | 6.3 | 6.5 |  |
| LOS |  | E |  | D | D |  |  |  |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 59.1 |  |  | 47.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 183 |  | 33 | 112 |  |  |  |  | 14 | 69 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 257 |  | 70 | 177 |  |  |  |  | 34 | 115 |  |
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|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $>$ | * | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 112 |  |  | 196 |  |  | 317 |  |  | 373 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 581 |  | 193 | 588 |  |  |  |  | 1251 | 2423 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.44 |  | 0.21 | 0.24 |  |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.24 |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 81.6 (68\%), Referenced to phase 2: and 6:SBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 45
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76
Intersection Signal Delay: 25.7
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 52.4\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Graceland Avenue \& Thacker Street
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|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 4 |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 |  |  | 4 | F |  | *中t |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 73 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 31 | 31 | 464 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 73 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 31 | 31 | 464 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 25 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.982 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1687 | 1980 | 0 | 0 | 1827 | 1568 | 0 | 4794 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.408 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 724 | 1980 | 0 | 0 | 1827 | 1568 | 0 | 4794 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 219 |  |  | 1072 |  |  | 519 |  |  | 495 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 24.4 |  |  | 11.8 |  |  | 11.3 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 | 0.93 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 7\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 85 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 36 | 0 | 656 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 24.0 |  |  | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 | 78.0 |  |  | 57.0 | 57.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 17.5\% | 65.0\% |  |  | 47.5\% | 47.5\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.5 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  |  | None | None | C-Min | C-Min |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 32.4 | 29.9 |  |  | 17.8 | 17.8 |  | 78.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.27 | 0.25 |  |  | 0.15 | 0.15 |  | 0.65 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.30 | 0.43 |  |  | 0.62 | 0.13 |  | 0.21 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay | 32.1 | 36.1 |  |  | 57.5 | 4.9 |  | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay | 32.1 | 36.1 |  |  | 57.5 | 4.9 |  | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | C | D |  |  | E | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.9 |  |  | 48.2 |  |  | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 64 | 164 |  |  | 123 | 0 |  | 69 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 107 | 236 |  |  | 176 | 11 |  | 106 |  |  |  |  |
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|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 139 |  |  | 992 |  |  | 439 |  |  | 415 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 338 | 1188 |  |  | 776 | 700 |  | 3126 |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.25 | 0.18 |  |  | 0.22 | 0.05 |  | 0.21 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 22.9 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 37.5\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 2: Lee Street \& Thacker Street
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | NWL | NWR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  | A个 | \% |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 12 | 558 | 16 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 12 | 558 | 16 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 13 | 594 | 17 | 0 |
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| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 600 | 303 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 600 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 0 |  | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.8 | 7.02 | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.8 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.36 | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 437 | 681 | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 516 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 437 | 681 | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 437 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 516 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |  |
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
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| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 223 | 0 | - | 0 | 533 | 213 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 213 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 320 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.13 | - | - | - | 6.57 | 6.38 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - |  | 5.57 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.57 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.227 | - | - | - | 3.653 | 3.462 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1340 | - | - | - | 482 | 788 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | 788 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | 703 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1340 | - | - | - | 469 | 788 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - |  | 469 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | 766 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | 703 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.9 |  | 0 |  | 11.2 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1340 | - | - | - | 615 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.024 | - | - | - | 0.05 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.8 | 0 | - | - | 11.2 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.2 |
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| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 232 | 0 | - | 0 | 510 | 228 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 228 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 282 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1348 | - | - | - | 527 | 816 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 815 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 770 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1348 | - | - | - | 523 | 816 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 523 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 808 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 770 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.2 | 0 | 10.3 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1348 | - | - | -696 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.007 | - | - | -0.021 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | 0 | - | -10.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - |
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## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets

 Existing Weekday Evening Peak Hour|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 7 |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\psi$ |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 205 | 45 | 58 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 491 | 135 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 205 | 45 | 58 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 491 | 135 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 25 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.976 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.968 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1833 | 0 | 1770 | 1845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1805 | 3426 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.295 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1833 | 0 | 550 | 1845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1805 | 3426 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 192 |  |  | 276 |  |  | 397 |  |  | 453 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 4.4 |  |  | 6.3 |  |  | 9.0 |  |  | 10.3 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 278 | 0 | 64 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 696 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |  |  |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) |  | 40.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  |  |  |  | 80.0 | 80.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) |  | 33.3\% |  | 33.3\% | 33.3\% |  |  |  |  | 66.7\% | 66.7\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  | 1.5 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 |  |  |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode |  | None |  | None | None |  |  |  |  | C-Min | C-Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 23.0 |  | 23.5 | 23.5 |  |  |  |  | 85.0 | 85.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.19 |  | 0.20 | 0.20 |  |  |  |  | 0.71 | 0.71 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.78 |  | 0.60 | 0.63 |  |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.28 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 59.0 |  | 65.9 | 52.4 |  |  |  |  | 6.6 | 6.7 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 59.0 |  | 65.9 | 52.4 |  |  |  |  | 6.6 | 6.7 |  |
| LOS |  | E |  | E | D |  |  |  |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 59.0 |  |  | 55.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 200 |  | 50 | 180 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 84 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 276 |  | 99 | 258 |  |  |  |  | 27 | 137 |  |
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Splits and Phases: 1: Graceland Avenue \& Thacker Street
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
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|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 139 |  |  | 992 |  |  | 439 |  |  | 415 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 265 | 1188 |  |  | 983 | 703 |  | 3245 |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.32 | 0.15 |  |  | 0.20 | 0.03 |  | 0.23 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 51.6 (43\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 22.5 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 40.0\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 2: Lee Street \& Thacker Street
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| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 358 | 0 | - | 0 | 643 | 353 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 353 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 290 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.17 | - | - | - | 6.4 | 6.37 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.263 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 3.453 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1173 | - | - | - | 441 | 658 |
| Stage 1 | - |  | - | - | 716 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 764 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1173 | - | - | - | 434 | 658 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 434 | - |
| Stage 1 | - |  | - | - | 705 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 764 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.4 |  | 0 |  | 12.3 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1173 | - | - | - | 508 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.013 | - | - | - | 0.03 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.1 | 0 | - | - | 12.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | - | 0.1 |
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $A$ | F |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 29 | 234 | 318 | 13 | 15 | 21 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 29 | 234 | 318 | 13 | 15 | 21 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, $\%$ | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 32 | 257 | 349 | 14 | 16 | 23 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 363 | 0 | - | 0 | 677 | 356 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 356 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 321 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.17 | - | - | - | 6.4 | 6.25 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.263 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 3.345 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1168 | - | - | - | 421 | 681 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 713 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 740 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1168 | - | - | - | 408 | 681 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 408 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 690 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 740 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.9 |  | 0 |  | 12.3 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1168 | - | - | - | 533 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.027 | - | - | - | 0.074 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.2 | 0 | - | - | 12.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.2 |
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 243 | 9 | 9 | 330 | 4 | 20 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 243 | 9 | 9 | 330 | 4 | 20 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 270 | 10 | 10 | 367 | 4 | 22 |
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| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Inor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 388 | 0 | - - | 0 | 677 | 384 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 384 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 293 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - - | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - - | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1182 | - | - - | - | 421 | 668 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 693 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 762 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1182 | - | - - | - | 419 | 668 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - - | - | 419 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 690 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 762 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.1 |  | 0 |  | 12.6 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1182 | 2 | - | - | 478 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.004 |  | - | - | 0.014 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.1 | 0 | - | - | 12.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | A | - | - | 0 |
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| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 21 | 0 | - | 0 | 42 | 21 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 21 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 21 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1608 | - | - | - | 974 | 1062 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 1007 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 1007 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1608 | - | - | - | 971 | 1062 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 971 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 1004 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 1007 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 2.2 |  | 0 |  | 8.5 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT WBR SBLn1 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1608 | - | - | - | 1048 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.003 | - | - | - | 0.025 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.2 | 0 | - | - | 8.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - |  | 0.1 |
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# Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets 

Year 2029 Total Projected Weekday Morning Peak Hour

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | $4$ | $\dagger$ | \% | $\psi$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 244 | 38 | 53 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 513 | 85 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 244 | 38 | 53 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 513 | 85 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 25 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.979 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 1719 | 1810 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1752 | 3384 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.289 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 523 | 1810 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1752 | 3384 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 192 |  |  | 276 |  |  | 397 |  |  | 453 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 4.4 |  |  | 6.3 |  |  | 9.0 |  |  | 10.3 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 5\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 294 | 0 | 55 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 623 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  | 1.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |  |  |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) |  | 45.0 |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |  |  |  | 75.0 | 75.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) |  | 37.5\% |  | 37.5\% | 37.5\% |  |  |  |  | 62.5\% | 62.5\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode |  | None |  | None | None |  |  |  |  | C-Min | C-Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 83.0 | 83.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.21 |  | 0.21 | 0.21 |  |  |  |  | 0.69 | 0.69 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.79 |  | 0.51 | 0.45 |  |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.27 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 58.4 |  | 56.5 | 43.6 |  |  |  |  | 7.3 | 7.6 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 58.4 |  | 56.5 | 43.6 |  |  |  |  | 7.3 | 7.6 |  |
| LOS |  | E |  | E | D |  |  |  |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 58.4 |  |  | 46.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 212 |  | 43 | 131 |  |  |  |  | 25 | 82 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 288 |  | 87 | 198 |  |  |  |  | 55 | 135 |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) | 112 |  |  | 196 |  |  | 317 |  |  | 373 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 581 |  | 169 | 588 |  |  |  |  | 1212 | 2349 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.51 |  | 0.33 | 0.29 |  |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.27 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 81.6 (68\%), Referenced to phase 2: and 6:SBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 26.5 |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Graceland Avenue \& Thacker Street
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|  | $\psi$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ |  |  | 1 | $+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 |  |  | 4 | 「 |  | * $\uparrow$ 中 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 87 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 32 | 54 | 483 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 87 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 32 | 54 | 483 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 25 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.982 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1687 | 1881 | 0 | 0 | 1827 | 1568 | 0 | 4794 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.365 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 648 | 1881 | 0 | 0 | 1827 | 1568 | 0 | 4794 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 219 |  |  | 1072 |  |  | 519 |  |  | 495 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 24.4 |  |  | 11.8 |  |  | 11.3 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 7\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 101 | 243 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 37 | 0 | 708 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 24.0 |  |  | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 | 78.0 |  |  | 57.0 | 57.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 17.5\% | 65.0\% |  |  | 47.5\% | 47.5\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.5 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  |  | None | None | C-Min | C-Min |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 36.8 | 34.3 |  |  | 19.2 | 19.2 |  | 73.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.31 | 0.29 |  |  | 0.16 | 0.16 |  | 0.61 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.34 | 0.45 |  |  | 0.66 | 0.12 |  | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay | 30.9 | 35.3 |  |  | 57.8 | 4.9 |  | 11.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay | 30.9 | 35.3 |  |  | 57.8 | 4.9 |  | 11.4 |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | C | D |  |  | E | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.0 |  |  | 49.3 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 73 | 184 |  |  | 143 | 0 |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 115 | 243 |  |  | 197 | 12 |  | 124 |  |  |  |  |

AMPR 23-101/23-102 - Apartment Development - Des Plaines 1:14 pm 06/06/2023 Year 2029 Total Projected WeekdaySYInatirug1Felakphour bsm,sa

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 139 |  |  | 992 |  |  | 439 |  |  | 415 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 350 | 1128 |  |  | 776 | 700 |  | 2952 |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.22 |  |  | 0.25 | 0.05 |  | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 24.3 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 39.4\% |  |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 2: Lee Street \& Thacker Street


AMPR 23-101/23-102 - Apartment Development - Des Plaines 1:14 pm 06/06/2023 Year 2029 Total Projected WeekdaySyorotiray/Fealephbotur

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 610 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 610 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 13 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 649 |
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |




[^8]


[^9]


[^10]

| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 261 | 0 |  | 0 | 601 | 251 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 251 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 350 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.13 | - | - - | - | 6.57 | 6.38 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - - | - | 5.57 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - - | - | 5.57 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.227 | - | - - | - | 3.653 | 3.462 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1298 | - | - - | - | 440 | 750 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 757 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 681 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1298 | - | - - | - | 427 | 750 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - - | - | 427 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 734 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 681 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.8 |  | 0 |  | 11.6 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT WBR SBLn1 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1298 | 8 | - | - | 576 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.025 | 5 | - | - | 0.055 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.8 | 0 | - | - | 11.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.1 | , | - | - | 0.2 |

[^11]


[^12]| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -1 | F |  | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 276 | 237 | 7 | 4 | 9 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 276 | 237 | 7 | 4 | 9 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 303 | 260 | 8 | 4 | 10 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | inor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 268 | 0 |  | 0 | 585 | 264 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 264 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 321 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - - | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - - | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1307 | - | - - | - | 477 | 780 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 785 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 740 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1307 | - | - - | - | 473 | 780 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - - | - | 473 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 779 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 740 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.2 |  | 0 |  | 10.7 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1307 | 7 | - | - | 650 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.007 | 7 | - | - | 0.022 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.8 | 0 | - | - | 10.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0.1 |

[^13]


[^14]| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 10 | 6 | 11 | 20 | 0 | 14 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 10 | 6 | 11 | 20 | 0 | 14 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 16 | 10 | 18 | 33 | 0 | 23 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 51 | 0 | - | 0 | 77 | 35 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 35 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - | - | 3.518 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1568 | - | - | - | 926 | 1044 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 987 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 980 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1568 | - | - | - | 917 | 1044 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 917 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 977 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 980 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 4.6 |  | 0 |  | 8.5 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1568 | - | - | - | 1044 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.01 | - | - | - | 0.022 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.3 | 0 | - | - | 8.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | - | 0.1 |

[^15]| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |  |  | 个l |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 607 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 607 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 639 | 4 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 322 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 6.9 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 3.3 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | 680 | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 680 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB | SB |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.4 |  | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 680 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.014 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.4 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - |

[^16]| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 275 | 2 | 3 | 243 | 5 | 14 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 275 | 2 | 3 | 243 | 5 | 14 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 289 | 2 | 3 | 256 | 5 | 15 |



[^17]
## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets

 Year 2029 Total Projected Weekday Evening Peak Hour|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\longleftarrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\ddagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\hat{}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 224 | 48 | 68 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 519 | 144 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 224 | 48 | 68 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 519 | 144 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (tt) | 0 |  | 0 | 25 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.976 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.967 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1833 | 0 | 1770 | 1845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1805 | 3422 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.271 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1833 | 0 | 505 | 1845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1805 | 3422 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  | 192 |  |  | 276 |  |  | 397 |  |  | 453 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 4.4 |  |  | 6.3 |  |  | 9.0 |  |  | 10.3 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 302 | 0 | 76 | 248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 737 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) |  | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |  |  |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) |  | 40.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  |  |  |  | 80.0 | 80.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) |  | 33.3\% |  | 33.3\% | 33.3\% |  |  |  |  | 66.7\% | 66.7\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  | 1.5 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 |  |  |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode |  | None |  | None | None |  |  |  |  | C-Min | C-Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 24.5 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 83.5 | 83.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.20 |  | 0.21 | 0.21 |  |  |  |  | 0.70 | 0.70 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.79 |  | 0.72 | 0.65 |  |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.31 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 58.6 |  | 78.8 | 49.9 |  |  |  |  | 7.1 | 7.4 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 58.6 |  | 78.8 | 49.9 |  |  |  |  | 7.1 | 7.4 |  |
| LOS |  | E |  | E | D |  |  |  |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 58.6 |  |  | 56.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 217 |  | 60 | 197 |  |  |  |  | 13 | 95 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 296 |  | \#118 | 277 |  |  |  |  | 32 | 154 |  |

PMPR 23-101/23-102 - Apartment Development - Des Plaines 1:14 pm 06/06/2023 Year 2029 Total Projected WeekdayGyneemirug1Pelephtotur bsm,sa

| $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | P |  | $\frac{1}{*}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) | 112 |  |  | 196 |  |  | 317 |  |  | 373 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 525 |  | 145 | 530 |  |  |  |  | 1255 | 2397 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.58 |  | 0.52 | 0.47 |  |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.31 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 11.6 (10\%), Referenced to phase 2: and 6:SBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 29.5 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 52.4\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Graceland Avenue \& Thacker Street
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|  | $y$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 |  |  | 4 | 「 |  | *中 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 87 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 196 | 23 | 74 | 553 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 87 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 196 | 23 | 74 | 553 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 25 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.981 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.995 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1752 | 1845 | 0 | 0 | 1900 | 1369 | 0 | 4964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.338 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.995 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 623 | 1845 | 0 | 0 | 1900 | 1369 | 0 | 4964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 219 |  |  | 1072 |  |  | 519 |  |  | 495 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 24.4 |  |  | 11.8 |  |  | 11.3 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 18\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 95 | 186 | 0 | 0 | 213 | 25 | 0 | 782 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 8.0 |  |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 22.5 |  |  | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 13.0 | 78.0 |  |  | 65.0 | 65.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 10.8\% | 65.0\% |  |  | 54.2\% | 54.2\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.5 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  |  | None | None | C-Min | C-Min |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 36.2 | 33.7 |  |  | 20.1 | 20.1 |  | 74.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.30 | 0.28 |  |  | 0.17 | 0.17 |  | 0.62 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.34 | 0.36 |  |  | 0.67 | 0.09 |  | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay | 33.5 | 35.6 |  |  | 56.6 | 0.9 |  | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay | 33.5 | 35.6 |  |  | 56.6 | 0.9 |  | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | C | D |  |  | E | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.9 |  |  | 50.8 |  |  | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 72 | 145 |  |  | 157 | 0 |  | 93 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 127 | 217 |  |  | 224 | 2 |  | 130 |  |  |  |  |

 bsm,sa

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 139 |  |  | 992 |  |  | 439 |  |  | 415 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 286 | 1107 |  |  | 934 | 703 |  | 3083 |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.33 | 0.17 |  |  | 0.23 | 0.04 |  | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 53 (44\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 23.4 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Intersection Capacity Utilization 42.7\% }}{\text { Analysis Period (min) } 15}$ |  |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 2: Lee Street \& Thacker Street

 bsm,sa

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | T |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow+$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 626 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 626 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 666 |
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| Approach | EB | SB |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.2 |  | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 598 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.035 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 11.2 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%otile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - |
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| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 381 | 0 | - | 0 | 686 | 376 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 376 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 310 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.17 | - | - | - | 6.4 | 6.37 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.263 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 3.453 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1151 | - | - | - | 416 | 638 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 699 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 748 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1151 | - | - | - | 410 | 638 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 410 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 689 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 748 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.4 |  | 0 |  | 12.7 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1151 | - | - | - | 484 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.013 | - | - | - | 0.031 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.2 | 0 | - | - | 12.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - |  | 0.1 |
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -1 | F |  | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 30 | 252 | 339 | 13 | 15 | 22 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 30 | 252 | 339 | 13 | 15 | 22 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 33 | 277 | 373 | 14 | 16 | 24 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | inor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 387 | 0 | - | 0 | 723 | 380 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 380 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 343 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.17 | - | - | - | 6.4 | 6.25 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.263 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 3.345 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1145 | - | - | - | 396 | 660 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 696 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 723 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1145 | - | - | - | 383 | 660 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 383 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 672 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 723 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.9 |  | 0 |  | 12.7 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1145 | - | - | - | 510 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.029 | - | - | - | 0.08 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.2 | 0 | - | - | 12.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.3 |
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 261 | 9 | 9 | 351 | 4 | 21 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 261 | 9 | 9 | 351 | 4 | 21 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 290 | 10 | 10 | 390 | 4 | 23 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 705 | 295 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 295 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 410 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1273 | - | 406 | 749 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 760 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 674 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1273 | - | 402 | 749 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 402 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 760 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 667 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0.2 |  | 10.7 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | R WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 658 | - | - | 1273 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.042 | - | - | 0.008 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 10.7 | - | - | 7.8 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | - |
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 |  | 359 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 266 | 359 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 5 | 306 | 413 | 8 | 5 | 2 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 421 | 0 | - | 0 | 733 | 417 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 417 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 316 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1149 | - | - | - | 391 | 640 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 669 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 744 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1149 | - | - | - | 389 | 640 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 389 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 666 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 744 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.1 | 0 | 13.2 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1149 | - | - | - |
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -1 | F |  | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 9 | 9 | 21 | 5 | 3 | 24 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 9 | 9 | 21 | 5 | 3 | 24 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 11 | 11 | 26 | 6 | 4 | 30 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | inor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 32 | 0 |  | 0 | 62 | 29 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 29 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 33 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - - | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - - | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1593 | - | - - | - | 949 | 1052 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 999 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 995 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1593 | - | - - | - | 942 | 1052 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - - | - | 942 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 992 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 995 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 3.6 |  | 0 |  | 8.6 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1593 | - | - | - | 1039 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.007 | 7 | - | - | 0.032 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.3 | 0 | - | - | 8.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0.1 |
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| Approach | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, S | 10.5 | 0 |

HCM LOS B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 661 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.008 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.5 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - |
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 264 | 5 | 10 | 351 | 3 | 7 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 264 | 5 | 10 | 351 | 3 | 7 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 278 | 5 | 11 | 369 | 3 | 7 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 283 | 0 | 672 | 281 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 281 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 391 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.1 | - | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.2 | - | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1291 | - | 424 | 763 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 771 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 688 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1291 | - | 419 | 763 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 419 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 771 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 680 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0.2 |  | 11 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | R WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 612 | - | - | 1291 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.017 | - | - | 0.008 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 11 | - | - | 7.8 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | - |
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## HP DOWNLIGHT <br> 120V AC 2" Performance Downlights

The HP series features quality, convenience, and performance for easy installation in new construction or remodel applications. Available in two lumen performance options with five selectable color temperature settings and 90+CRI. For finishes, the HP series includes both a white and alzak quick change multiplier for easy customization on the go. Optional pinhole and shower trim lenses available for even greater design options.

- Excellent color rendering (90+CRI)
- Five Selectable color temperatures: 2700K / 3000K / 3500K / 4000K / 5000K
- Lumen output up to 850 Lumens
- Dimmable with most TRIAC or ELV dimmers
- Includes easy to change White and Alzak multiplier finishes for quick customization
- Remote driver with hardwire junction box
- Type IC and cETLus Listed for wet locations
- ENERGY STAR certified, JA8 Compliant
- 50,000 hours rated life


| HP SERIES QUICK SPECS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| VOLTAGE | 120 V AC, 60 Hz |
| WATTAGE | $8 \mathrm{~W} / 12 \mathrm{~W}$ |
| LUMENS | $550 \mathrm{Lm} / 850 \mathrm{Lm}$ |
| CCT OPTIONS | $5 \mathrm{CCT} 2700 \mathrm{~K} / 3000 \mathrm{~K} / 3500 \mathrm{~K} / 4000 \mathrm{~K} / 5000 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| CRI | $90+$ |
| DIMMING | TRIAC / ELV $(10-100 \%)$ |
| MOUNTING | Recessed Mount |
| BEAM ANGLE | $38^{\circ}$ |
| OPERATING TEMP | $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(-13^{\circ}\right)$ to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(104^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ |
| CERTIFICATIONS | CETLus Listed; Type IC; Suitable for wet locations |
| RATED LIFE | 50,000 Hours |


| PROJECT: |
| :--- |
| TYPE: |
| LOCATION: |
| CATALOG NUMBER: |



HP 2


HPX 2

HP SERIES ORDERING INFORMATION

| ITEM NUMBER | SCRIPTION | FINISH | VOLTAGE | CCT | CRI | LUMENS | WATTAGE | DIMMING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HP2-5CCT-WH | HP 2 | White | 120 V | 5-CCT | 90+ | 550Lm | 8W | TRIAC / ELV |
| HPX2H-5CCT-WH | HPX 2 | White | 120 V | 5-CCT | 90+ | 850Lm | 12W | TRIAC / ELV |


| HP ACCESSORIES |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| ITEM NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| HP2-TRIM-PIN | HP Series Pin Hole Trim - 29.5 |
| HP2-TRIM-SHWR | HP Series Shower Trim Lens - 42.70 beam angle |
| RP-2/4/6 | $2^{2}$ New Construction Rough-in Plate with Hanger Bars |



Pin Hole Trim


Shower Trim


RP-2/4/6

## HP SERIES QUICK DIMENSIONS

HP2-5CCT


HPX2-5CCT


HP SERIES ACCESSORIES $\qquad$


## HP SERIES PHOTOMETRICS

HP2

| PART NUMBER | HP2-5CCT-WH |
| :--- | :--- |
| BEAM SPREAD | $37.8^{\circ}$ |
| LUMENS | 626.47 Lm |
| WATTAGE | 8 W |
| EFFICACY | $78.31 \mathrm{Lm} / \mathrm{W}$ |
| CCT | $2700 \mathrm{~K} / 3000 \mathrm{~K} / 3500 \mathrm{~K} / 4000 \mathrm{~K} / 5000 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| CRI | 93.5 |



| Avg. Foot Candles |  | Beam Dia. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 62.5 | $4^{\prime}$ | $2.5^{\prime}$ |
| 27.8 | $6^{\prime}$ | $3.8^{\prime}$ |
| 15.6 | $8^{\prime}$ | $5.0^{\prime}$ |
| 10.0 | $10^{\prime}$ | $6.3^{\prime}$ |
| 6.9 | $12^{\prime}$ | $7.5^{\prime}$ |
| 5.1 | $14^{\prime}$ | $8.8^{\prime}$ |
|  |  | Distance From Light |

HPX2

| PART NUMBER | HPX2H-5CCT-WH |
| :--- | :--- |
| BEAM SPREAD | $35.6^{\circ}$ |
| LUMENS | 1024.4 Lm |
| WATTAGE | 14.3 W |
| EFFICACY | $71.6 \mathrm{Lm} / \mathrm{W}$ |
| CCT | $2700 \mathrm{~K} / 3000 \mathrm{~K} / 3500 \mathrm{~K} / 4000 \mathrm{~K} / 5000 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| CRI | 92.6 |



| Avg. Foot Candles |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 106.1 | $4^{\prime}$ |
| 47.2 | $6^{\prime}$ |
| 26.5 | $8^{\prime}$ |
| 17.0 | $10^{\prime}$ |
| 11.8 | $12^{\prime}$ |
| 8.7 | $14^{\prime}$ |
| Distance From Light |  |
|  |  |

HP SERIES RECOMMENDED DIMMERS

| BRAND | MODEL \# | TYPE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COOPER | S106P | MLV | $0 \%-97 \%$ |
| LUTRON | CTCL-153P | TRIAC | $0 \%-93 \%$ |
| LUTRON | DV-600P | TRIAC | $0 \%-94 \%$ |
| LEVITON | DSLO6-1LZ | MLV | $2 \%-94 \%$ |
| LEVITON | 6672 | ELV | $2 \%-98 \%$ |
| LEVITON | IPLO6-10Z | MLV | $3 \%-94 \%$ |
| LUTRON | DVCL-153P | TRIAC | $3 \%-93 \%$ |
| LUTRON | PD-6WCL | ELV | $3 \%-92 \%$ |

[^19] dimmer manufacturer's instructions for more detailed information regarding performance and compatibility. Test data listed above is based on single lamp data.

## AMERICAN LIGHTING WARRANTY <br> LIMITED WARRANTY FOR LED PRODUCTS: 5 YEARS

LIMITED PRODUCT WARRANTY
Our products are warranted to be free from defects in material and workmanship for the warranty period listed. Warranty periods begin from the date of shipment from American Lighting Inc's warehouse to the original purchaser. Products that prove to be defective during their specific warranty period will be either repaired or replaced, at the sole discretion of American Lighting Inc. Claims for defective products must be submitted in writing to American Lighting Inc's RGA Department within the warranty period. Upon approval of such return, American Lighting Inc reserves the right to inspect the product for misuse or abuse. Claims for indirect or consequential damages or for product that, in American Lighting Inc's opinion, has been misused will be denied. This is a warranty of product reliability only and not a warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. American Lighting Inc shall have no liability whatsoever in any event for payment of incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitations, installation costs and/or damages for personal injury and/or property. These products may represent a possible shock or fire hazard if improperly installed or altered in any way. This warranty does not apply to any product that has not been properly installed in accordance with current local codes and/or the National Electrical Code. Products that require a transformer, driver, or power supply must be used in conjunction with American Lighting Inc's recommended power supply to ensure safety and retain product warranty.

## PRODUCT SPECIFICATIONS

For the latest product information, updates, instructions and details concerning specifications, colors, finishes, performance, installation and design, visit www.americanlighting.com. Color may vary from the color printed herein due to limitations in photographic and printing processes. American Lighting Inc. reserves the right to change product specifications without notice. Other product specifications such as color temperature, wavelength characteristics and lumen output are subject to production limitations and may vary. LED technology is changing rapidly, and not all color temperatures and performance levels can be duplicated at a later time. Best practices include purchasing 10-15\% more for a particular project on the same initial order where white LED color temperatures must be maintained over project and product life. Eventual product replacement should be considered at layout and design stages. Best practices also include testing connections and product performance prior to mounting and/or installing.

AVERAGE LIFE
Average incandescent lamp life, rated life and average life are terms used to describe the number of hours at which half of the lamps have failed. For LEDs, the hours of rated life specify the point where $70 \%$ of original lumen output is reached. Below this point, the effective life is over, however, the LED may still emit light. Individual results may vary with actual environmental conditions including, but not limited to, proper installation, ambient temperature and/or input voltage fluctuations.


## SPECIFICATION DETAILS

| Fixture Dimensions | W9-7/8" $\times$ H5-1/2" $\times$ E1-5/8" |
| :--- | :--- |
| Light Source | LED with DC Driver |
| Wattage | 13 W |
| Total Lumens | $11901 m$ |
| Delivered Lumens | BK-608Im; BN-927Im; WH-744Im; |
| Voltage | 120 V |
| Color Temperature | 3000 K |
| CRI (Ra) | 90 CRI |
| Optional Color Temps | $2700 \mathrm{~K}-5000 \mathrm{~K}$ Available, Minimum Order Quantities |
| LED Rated Life | Apply |
| Dimming | 50,000 hours |
| Glass Details | $100 \%-10 \%$, TRIAC or ELV Dimmer (Not Included) |
| ADA Compliant | Frosted Glass |
| Location | Yes |
| Illumination Direction | Wet |
| Mounting Style | Downlight |
| CEC Title 24 JA8 | All Orientation; Wall; |

* For custom options, consult factory for details.
* For warranty information, please visit www.kuzcolighting.com/warranty


## DESCRIPTION

This minimalist sleek cast-aluminum wall sconce is a beautiful addition to any indoor or outdoor application. Finished with a high-end brushed nickel, powder-coated white or black cast-aluminum, rectangular in size with smooth, sleek, rounded corners. Premium frosted optical lenses, which emits the light from the fixture evenly against the wall.


COMMENT
$\square$

Intertek

## VSR-S

SITE LIGHTING

## FORM AND FUNCTION

- Sleek, low profile housing
- Engineered for optimum thermal management
- Low depreciation rate
- Optical system designed for:
- Parking Lots
- Commercial Applications


## CONSTRUCTION

- Spun Aluminum
- Corrosion resistant external hardware
- One-piece silicone gasket ensures IP-65 seal for electronics compartment
- Two-piece silicone Micro Optic system ensures IP-67 level seal around each PCB


## FINISH

- 5 mils electrostatic powder coat.
- NLS' standard high-quality finishes prevent corrosion protects against extreme environmental conditions


## WARRANTY

Five-year limited warranty for drivers and LEDs.


## LISTINGS

- Certified to UL 1598
- CSA C22.2 No. 250.0
- IP65/ IP67 Rated
- Dark Sky Approved
- IK10 Rated





## ELECTRICAL

- 120-277 Volts (UNV) or 347-480 Volts (HV)
- 0-10V dimming driver
- Driver power factor at maximum load is $\geq$.95, THD maximum load is $15 \%$
- LED Drivers Ambient Temp. Min is $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and Ambient Temp. Max ranges from $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and, in some cases, even higher. Consult the factory for revalidation by providing the fixture catalog string before quoting and specifying it.
- All internal wiring UL certified for 600 VAC and $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
- All drivers, controls, and sensors housed in enclosed IP-65 compartment
- CRI 70, 80 or 90
- Color temperatures: $2700 \mathrm{~K}, 3000 \mathrm{~K}, 3500 \mathrm{~K}, 4000 \mathrm{~K}, 5000 \mathrm{~K}$
- Surge Protection: 20KA supplies as standard.


## OPTIONS

- BIRD SPIKES (BS)-Offers effective and humane deterrent for larger bird species and provides cost-effective long-term solution to nuisance bird infestations and protect your property.
- MARINE GRADE FINISH (MGF)-A multi-step process creating protective finishing coat against harsh environments.
- Chemically washed in a 5 stage cleaning system.
- Pre-baked
- Powder coated 3-5 mils of Zinc Rich Super Durable Polyester Primer.
- 1-2 feet inside pole coverage top and bottom.
- Oven Baked
- Finished Powder Coating of Super Durable Polyester Powder Coat 3-5 mil thickness.
- SHIELDS (HSS, AHS) - House Side Shield (HSS) is designed for full property line cut-off. Automotive House Side Shield (AHS) is a single-sided shield allowing partial cut-off on either side or front of luminaire.
- ROUND POLE ADAPTER (RPA) - When using round poles, specify Round Pole Adapter (RPA). Specify RPA4 when installing on 3"-4" round poles, and RPA5 when installing on 5 "-6" round poles.


## CONTROLS

- FSP-211 (FSP-X)-Passive infrared (PIR) sensor providing multi-level control based on motion/daylight contribution.
- All control parameters adjustable via wireless configuration remote storing and transmitting sensor profiles.
- FSP-20 mounting heights 9-20 feet
- FSP-40 mounting heights 21-40 feet.
- Includes 5 dimming event cycles, 0-10V dimming with motion sensing, reprogrammable in the field.
- NEMA 7-PIN RECEPTACLE (PE7)-An ANSI C136.41-2013 receptacle provides electrical and mechanical interconnection between photo control cell and luminaire. Dimming receptacle available two or four dimming contacts supports 0-10 VDC dimming methods or Digital Addressable Lighting Interface (DALI), providing reliable power interconnect.


## OPTICS

Silicone optics high photothermal stability and light output provides higher powered LEDs with minimized lumen depreciation LED life. UV and thermal stability with scratch resistance increases exterior application durability.

- IES Types


FSP-211


The information and specifications on this document are subject to change without any notification. All values are design, nominal, typical or prorated values when measured under internal and external laboratory conditions.

701 Kingshill Place, Carson, CA 90746
Call Us Today (310) 341-2037

## OPTICAL CONFIGURATIONS



VSR-S / 16L


VSR-S / 32L

## LUMENS

| PART NUMBER | T2 | LM/W | BUG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T3 } \\ & \text { HSS } \end{aligned}$ | LM/W | BUG | T3 | LM/W | BUG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T44 } \\ & \text { AHS } \end{aligned}$ | LM/W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T4 } \\ & \text { HSS } \end{aligned}$ | LM/W | BUG | T4 | LM/W | BUG | T5 | LM/W | BUG | W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VSR-S-16L-35-30K7 | 2142 | 119 | B1-U0-G1 | 1044 | 58 | B1-U0-G0 | 2088 | 116 | B1-U0-G1 | 1296 | 72 | 1026 | 57 | B0-U0-G0 | 2070 | 115 | B1-U0-G1 | 2160 | 120 | B2-U0-G1 | 18 |
| VSR-S-16L-35-40K7 | 2305 | 128 | B1-U0-G1 | 1116 | 62 | B1-U0-G0 | 2247 | 125 | B1-U0-G1 | 1368 | 76 | 1098 | 61 | B0-U0-G0 | 2227 | 124 | B1-U0-G1 | 2322 | 129 | B2-U0-G1 | 18 |
| VSR-S-16L-35-50K7 | 2356 | 131 | B1-U0-G1 | 1188 | 66 | B1-U0-G0 | 2297 | 128 | B1-U0-G1 | 1440 | 80 | 1170 | 65 | B0-U0-G0 | 2277 | 127 | B1-U0-G1 | 2376 | 132 | B2-U0-G1 | 18 |
| VSR-S-16L-53-30K7 | 3275 | 117 | B1-U0-G1 | 1624 | 58 | B0-U0-G0 | 3192 | 114 | B1-U0-G1 | 2016 | 72 | 1596 | 57 | B0-U0-G1 | 3165 | 113 | B1-U0-G1 | 3304 | 118 | B2-U0-G1 | 28 |
| VSR-S-16L-53-40K7 | 3524 | 126 | B1-U0-G1 | 1736 | 62 | B0-U0-G0 | 3435 | 123 | B1-U0-G1 | 2128 | 76 | 1708 | 61 | B0-U0-G1 | 3406 | 122 | B1-U0-G1 | 3556 | 127 | B2-U0-G1 | 28 |
| VSR-S-16L-53-50K7 | 3603 | 129 | B1-U0-G1 | 1848 | 66 | B0-U0-G1 | 3511 | 125 | B1-U0-G1 | 2240 | 80 | 1820 | 65 | B0-U0-G1 | 3482 | 124 | B1-U0-G1 | 3640 | 130 | B2-U0-G1 | 28 |
| VSR-S-16L-7-30K7 | 4100 | 114 | B1-U0-G1 | 2088 | 58 | B0-U0-G1 | 3996 | 111 | B1-U0-G1 | 2592 | 72 | 2052 | 57 | B0-U0-G1 | 4003 | 111 | B1-U0-G1 | 4176 | 116 | B3-U0-G1 | 36 |
| VSR-S-16L-7-40K7 | 4411 | 123 | B1-U0-G1 | 2232 | 62 | B0-U0-G1 | 4300 | 119 | B1-U0-G1 | 2736 | 76 | 2196 | 61 | B0-U0-G1 | 4308 | 120 | B1-U0-G1 | 4500 | 125 | B3-U0-G1 | 36 |
| VSR-S-16L-7-50K7 | 4510 | 125 | B1-U0-G1 | 2376 | 66 | B0-U0-G1 | 4396 | 122 | B1-U0-G1 | 2880 | 80 | 2340 | 65 | B0-U0-G1 | 4404 | 122 | B1-U0-G1 | 4608 | 128 | B3-U0-G1 | 36 |
| VSR-S-16L-1-30K7 | 5858 | 105 | B1-U0-G1 | 3248 | 58 | B0-U0-G1 | 5712 | 102 | B1-U0-G1 | 4032 | 72 | 3192 | 57 | B0-U0-G1 | 5661 | 101 | B1-U0-G2 | 5880 | 105 | B3-U0-G1 | 56 |
| VSR-S-16L-1-40K7 | 6303 | 113 | B1-U0-G1 | 3472 | 62 | B0-U0-G1 | 6146 | 110 | B1-U0-G1 | 4256 | 76 | 3416 | 61 | B0-U0-G1 | 6091 | 109 | B1-U0-G2 | 6328 | 113 | B3-U0-G1 | 56 |
| VSR-S-16L-1-50K7 | 6443 | 115 | B1-U0-G1 | 3696 | 66 | B0-U0-G1 | 6283 | 112 | B1-U0-G2 | 4480 | 80 | 3640 | 65 | B0-U0-G1 | 6227 | 111 | B1-U0-G2 | 6496 | 116 | B3-U0-G1 | 56 |
| VSR-S-32L-53-30K7 | 5858 | 105 | B1-U0-G1 | 3248 | 58 | B0-U0-G1 | 5712 | 102 | B1-U0-G1 | 4032 | 72 | 3192 | 57 | B0-U0-G1 | 5661 | 101 | B1-U0-G2 | 5880 | 105 | B3-U0-G1 | 56 |
| VSR-S-32L-53-40K7 | 6303 | 113 | B1-U0-G1 | 3472 | 62 | B0-U0-G1 | 6146 | 110 | B1-U0-G1 | 4256 | 76 | 3416 | 61 | B0-U0-G1 | 6091 | 109 | B1-U0-G2 | 6328 | 113 | B3-U0-G1 | 56 |
| VSR-S-32L-53-50K7 | 6443 | 115 | B1-U0-G1 | 3696 | 66 | B0-U0-G1 | 6283 | 112 | B1-U0-G2 | 4480 | 80 | 3640 | 65 | B0-U0-G1 | 6227 | 111 | B1-U0-G2 | 6496 | 116 | B3-U0-G1 | 56 |
| VSR-S-32L-7-30K7 | 8086 | 114 | B2-U0-G2 | 4118 | 58 | B0-U0-G1 | 7881 | 111 | B2-U0-G2 | 5112 | 72 | 4047 | 57 | B0-U0-G1 | 7896 | 111 | B2-U0-G2 | 8236 | 116 | B3-U0-G2 | 71 |
| VSR-S-32L-7-40K7 | 8700 | 123 | B2-U0-G2 | 4402 | 62 | B0-U0-G1 | 8480 | 119 | B2-U0-G2 | 5396 | 76 | 4331 | 61 | B0-U0-G1 | 8496 | 120 | B2-U0-G2 | 8875 | 125 | B3-U0-G2 | 71 |
| VSR-S-32L-7-50K7 | 8894 | 125 | B2-U0-G2 | 4686 | 66 | B0-U0-G1 | 8669 | 122 | B2-U0-G2 | 5680 | 80 | 4615 | 65 | B0-U0-G2 | 8685 | 122 | B2-U0-G2 | 9088 | 128 | B3-U0-G2 | 71 |
| VSR-S-32L-1-30K7 | 11088 | 105 | B2-U0-G2 | 6148 | 58 | B0-U0-G2 | 10812 | 102 | B2-U0-G2 | 7632 | 72 | 6042 | 57 | B0-U0-G2 | 10715 | 101 | B2-U0-G2 | 11130 | 105 | B3-U0-G2 | 106 |
| VSR-S-32L-1-40K7 | 11930 | 113 | B2-U0-G2 | 6572 | 62 | B0-U0-G2 | 11634 | 110 | B2-U0-G2 | 8056 | 76 | 6466 | 61 | B0-U0-G2 | 11529 | 109 | B2-U0-G2 | 11978 | 113 | B3-U0-G2 | 106 |
| VSR-S-32L-1-50K7 | 12196 | 115 | B2-U0-G2 | 6996 | 66 | B0-U0-G2 | 11893 | 112 | B2-U0-G2 | 8480 | 80 | 6890 | 65 | B0-U0-G2 | 11787 | 111 | B2-U0-G2 | 12296 | 116 | B3-U0-G2 | 106 |



DPX ARM LENGTH

| DPX <br> ARM LENGTH | SGL -- | D90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{z}$ | D180 - | T90** | T120 ${ }^{\circ}$ | QD $\frac{i_{6}}{}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VSR-S | 3" | $6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $3{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |

EPA

| EPA | SGL | D90 | D180 | T90 | T120 | QD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VSR-S | 0.65 | 0.99 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.39 | 1.5 |

## MOUNTING OPTIONS



DIRECT POLE MOUNT(DPX)
Standard mounting arm is extruded aluminum in lengths of 3 ", 6 ", And 10"
*Arm lengths may vary depending on configuration


WALL MOUNT (WM)
Cast Aluminum Plate for direct wall mount. 3" extruded aluminum arm mounts directly to a cast wall mount box.
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## OPTIONAL

Optional Cast Aluminum Bracket designed for quick mounting on Direct Square or Round Poles. Cleat mounts directly to pole for easily hung fixtures.


QUICK MOUNT BRACKET (QMB) DIRECT POLE (DP6/DP10)

DRILL PATTERN
(2")


RETROFIT QUICK MOUNT BRACKET (RQMB)

RQMB DRILL PATTERN


## DESCRIPTION

Architecturally designed high-power LED exterior bollard fixture. This diecast aluminum cylinder with a frosted polycarbonate diffuser delivers diffused omni directional light with a sleek powder-coated finish


* For custom options, consult factory for details.
* For warranty information, please visit www.kuzcolighting.com/warranty


## KUZCO

CANADA: 19054 28TH AVENUE - SURREY, BC V3Z 6M3
USA: 3035 E. LONE MOUNTAIN ROAD - LAS VEGAS, NV 89081

WWW.KUZCOLIGHTING.COM
© 2023 KUZCO LIGHTING. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

COMMENT
$\square$

Intertek

VSR-S
SITE LIGHTING

## FORM AND FUNCTION

- Sleek, low profile housing
- Engineered for optimum thermal management
- Low depreciation rate
- Optical system designed for:
- Parking Lots
- Commercial Applications


## CONSTRUCTION

- Spun Aluminum
- Corrosion resistant external hardware
- One-piece silicone gasket ensures IP-65 seal for electronics compartment
- Two-piece silicone Micro Optic system ensures IP-67 level seal around each PCB


## FINISH

- 5 mils electrostatic powder coat.
- NLS' standard high-quality finishes prevent corrosion protects against extreme environmental conditions


## WARRANTY

Five-year limited warranty for drivers and LEDs.


## LISTINGS

- Certified to UL 1598
- CSA C22.2 No. 250.0
- IP65/ IP67 Rated
- Dark Sky Approved
- IK10 Rated



| Mmuk | - | - | 2 | + | - |  | LISTED | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LED WATTAGE CHART |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 16L |  |  |  | 32L |
| 350 milliamps |  |  |  | 18w |  |  |  |  |
| 530 milliamps |  |  |  | 28w |  |  |  | 56w |
| 700 milliamps |  |  |  | 36 w |  |  | 106w |  |
| 1050 milliamps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Name: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Type: |  |
| Cat\# | Light Dist. | \# of LEDs | Milliamps | Kelvin | Volts | Mounting | Color | Options |
| Value Series Round Small (VSR-S) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Type } 2 \\ (\mathbf{T 2}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ \text { (16L) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 350 \\ (35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2700K, } 70 \text { CRI } \\ \mathbf{( 2 7 K 7 ) ~}^{\mathbf{6}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 120-277 \\ \text { (UNV) } \end{gathered}$ | Direct Pole 6" Arm Single, D180 (DPS6) ${ }^{3}$ | Bronze Textured <br> (BRZ) | Bird Spikes (BS) <br> Marine Grade Finish (MGF) Photocell (PC) ${ }^{-1}$ |
|  | Type 3 <br> (T3) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 32 \\ \mathbf{( 3 2 L )} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 530 \\ & (53) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2700 \mathrm{~K}, 80 \mathrm{CRI} \\ \mathbf{( 2 7 K 8}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 347-480 \\ \text { (HV) } \end{gathered}$ | Direct Pole 10" Arm D90, T90, T120, Quad (DPS10) ${ }^{3}$ | White Textured (WHT) | Nema 7-Pin Receptacle (PE7) <br> Photocell + Receptacle (PCR) <br> Receptacle + Shorting Cap (PER) |
|  | Type 4 <br> (T4) <br> Type 5 <br> (T5) |  | 700 <br> $(7)$ <br> 1050 <br> (1) | $3000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}$ <br> $\mathbf{( 3 0 K 7 )}^{\mathbf{0}}$ <br> $3000 \mathrm{~K}, 80 \mathrm{CRI}$ <br> $\mathbf{( 3 0 K 8 )}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  | (DPS10) ${ }^{3}$ <br> Wall Mount (WM) ${ }^{4}$ | Smooth White Gloss (SWT) | FSP-211 with Motion Sensor (FSP-8) ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ 8' + Below (FSP-20) ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ 9' $^{\prime}-20^{\prime}$ Heights (FSP-40) ${ }^{5}$ 21'-40' Heights <br> Quick Mount Bracket (QMB) <br> Retrofit Mount Bracket (RQMB) <br> Round Pole Adaptor 3"- 4" Pole (RPA4) |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3500 \mathrm{~K}, 80 \mathrm{CRI} \\ & \text { (35K8) } \\ & 4000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI} \\ & \mathbf{( 4 0 \mathrm { K } 7 )} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Black Textured <br> (BLK) <br> Smooth Black <br> Gloss <br> (SBK) | Round Pole Adaptor 5"- 6" Pole (RPA5) <br> Rotated Optic Left (ROL) <br> Rotated Optic Right (ROR) <br> Automotive House Side Shield (AHS) House Side Shield (HSS) |
| Notes:```(1) 16L Only 2 Consult Factory for Lead Time. Consult Factory for 90 CRI Requests. For Round Pole Specify RPA4 or RPA5 Includes 6" Bolt on Arm Universal Voltage 120-27 3000K or lower must be selected to meet International Dark Sky Association certification``` |  |  |  | 4000K, 80 CRI <br> (40K8) ${ }^{8}$ <br> 5000K, 70 CRI <br> (50K7) <br> 5000K, 80 CRI <br> (50K8) ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |  |  | Graphite Textured <br> (GPH) <br> Grey Textured <br> (GRY) <br> Custom (CS) |  |

## ELECTRICAL

- 120-277 Volts (UNV) or 347-480 Volts (HV)
- 0-10V dimming driver
- Driver power factor at maximum load is $\geq$.95, THD maximum load is $15 \%$
- LED Drivers Ambient Temp. Min is $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and Ambient Temp. Max ranges from $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and, in some cases, even higher. Consult the factory for revalidation by providing the fixture catalog string before quoting and specifying it.
- All internal wiring UL certified for 600 VAC and $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
- All drivers, controls, and sensors housed in enclosed IP-65 compartment
- CRI 70, 80 or 90
- Color temperatures: $2700 \mathrm{~K}, 3000 \mathrm{~K}, 3500 \mathrm{~K}, 4000 \mathrm{~K}, 5000 \mathrm{~K}$
- Surge Protection: 20KA supplies as standard.


## OPTIONS

- BIRD SPIKES (BS)-Offers effective and humane deterrent for larger bird species and provides cost-effective long-term solution to nuisance bird infestations and protect your property.
- MARINE GRADE FINISH (MGF)-A multi-step process creating protective finishing coat against harsh environments.
- Chemically washed in a 5 stage cleaning system.
- Pre-baked
- Powder coated 3-5 mils of Zinc Rich Super Durable Polyester Primer.
- 1-2 feet inside pole coverage top and bottom.
- Oven Baked
- Finished Powder Coating of Super Durable Polyester Powder Coat 3-5 mil thickness.
- SHIELDS (HSS, AHS) - House Side Shield (HSS) is designed for full property line cut-off. Automotive House Side Shield (AHS) is a single-sided shield allowing partial cut-off on either side or front of luminaire.
- ROUND POLE ADAPTER (RPA) - When using round poles, specify Round Pole Adapter (RPA). Specify RPA4 when installing on 3"-4" round poles, and RPA5 when installing on 5 "-6" round poles.


## CONTROLS

- FSP-211 (FSP-X)-Passive infrared (PIR) sensor providing multi-level control based on motion/daylight contribution.
- All control parameters adjustable via wireless configuration remote storing and transmitting sensor profiles.
- FSP-20 mounting heights 9-20 feet
- FSP-40 mounting heights 21-40 feet.
- Includes 5 dimming event cycles, 0-10V dimming with motion sensing, reprogrammable in the field.
- NEMA 7-PIN RECEPTACLE (PE7)-An ANSI C136.41-2013 receptacle provides electrical and mechanical interconnection between photo control cell and luminaire. Dimming receptacle available two or four dimming contacts supports 0-10 VDC dimming methods or Digital Addressable Lighting Interface (DALI), providing reliable power interconnect.


## OPTICS

Silicone optics high photothermal stability and light output provides higher powered LEDs with minimized lumen depreciation LED life. UV and thermal stability with scratch resistance increases exterior application durability.

- IES Types


FSP-211


The information and specifications on this document are subject to change without any notification. All values are design, nominal, typical or prorated values when measured under internal and external laboratory conditions.

701 Kingshill Place, Carson, CA 90746
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## OPTICAL CONFIGURATIONS



VSR-S / 16L


VSR-S / 32L

## LUMENS

| PART NUMBER | T2 | LM/W | BUG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T3 } \\ & \text { HSS } \end{aligned}$ | LM/W | BUG | T3 | LM/W | BUG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T44 } \\ & \text { AHS } \end{aligned}$ | LM/W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T4 } \\ & \text { HSS } \end{aligned}$ | LM/W | BUG | T4 | LM/W | BUG | T5 | LM/W | BUG | W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VSR-S-16L-35-30K7 | 2142 | 119 | B1-U0-G1 | 1044 | 58 | B1-U0-G0 | 2088 | 116 | B1-U0-G1 | 1296 | 72 | 1026 | 57 | B0-U0-G0 | 2070 | 115 | B1-U0-G1 | 2160 | 120 | B2-U0-G1 | 18 |
| VSR-S-16L-35-40K7 | 2305 | 128 | B1-U0-G1 | 1116 | 62 | B1-U0-G0 | 2247 | 125 | B1-U0-G1 | 1368 | 76 | 1098 | 61 | B0-U0-G0 | 2227 | 124 | B1-U0-G1 | 2322 | 129 | B2-U0-G1 | 18 |
| VSR-S-16L-35-50K7 | 2356 | 131 | B1-U0-G1 | 1188 | 66 | B1-U0-G0 | 2297 | 128 | B1-U0-G1 | 1440 | 80 | 1170 | 65 | B0-U0-G0 | 2277 | 127 | B1-U0-G1 | 2376 | 132 | B2-U0-G1 | 18 |
| VSR-S-16L-53-30K7 | 3275 | 117 | B1-U0-G1 | 1624 | 58 | B0-U0-G0 | 3192 | 114 | B1-U0-G1 | 2016 | 72 | 1596 | 57 | B0-U0-G1 | 3165 | 113 | B1-U0-G1 | 3304 | 118 | B2-U0-G1 | 28 |
| VSR-S-16L-53-40K7 | 3524 | 126 | B1-U0-G1 | 1736 | 62 | B0-U0-G0 | 3435 | 123 | B1-U0-G1 | 2128 | 76 | 1708 | 61 | B0-U0-G1 | 3406 | 122 | B1-U0-G1 | 3556 | 127 | B2-U0-G1 | 28 |
| VSR-S-16L-53-50K7 | 3603 | 129 | B1-U0-G1 | 1848 | 66 | B0-U0-G1 | 3511 | 125 | B1-U0-G1 | 2240 | 80 | 1820 | 65 | B0-U0-G1 | 3482 | 124 | B1-U0-G1 | 3640 | 130 | B2-U0-G1 | 28 |
| VSR-S-16L-7-30K7 | 4100 | 114 | B1-U0-G1 | 2088 | 58 | B0-U0-G1 | 3996 | 111 | B1-U0-G1 | 2592 | 72 | 2052 | 57 | B0-U0-G1 | 4003 | 111 | B1-U0-G1 | 4176 | 116 | B3-U0-G1 | 36 |
| VSR-S-16L-7-40K7 | 4411 | 123 | B1-U0-G1 | 2232 | 62 | B0-U0-G1 | 4300 | 119 | B1-U0-G1 | 2736 | 76 | 2196 | 61 | B0-U0-G1 | 4308 | 120 | B1-U0-G1 | 4500 | 125 | B3-U0-G1 | 36 |
| VSR-S-16L-7-50K7 | 4510 | 125 | B1-U0-G1 | 2376 | 66 | B0-U0-G1 | 4396 | 122 | B1-U0-G1 | 2880 | 80 | 2340 | 65 | B0-U0-G1 | 4404 | 122 | B1-U0-G1 | 4608 | 128 | B3-U0-G1 | 36 |
| VSR-S-16L-1-30K7 | 5858 | 105 | B1-U0-G1 | 3248 | 58 | B0-U0-G1 | 5712 | 102 | B1-U0-G1 | 4032 | 72 | 3192 | 57 | B0-U0-G1 | 5661 | 101 | B1-U0-G2 | 5880 | 105 | B3-U0-G1 | 56 |
| VSR-S-16L-1-40K7 | 6303 | 113 | B1-U0-G1 | 3472 | 62 | B0-U0-G1 | 6146 | 110 | B1-U0-G1 | 4256 | 76 | 3416 | 61 | B0-U0-G1 | 6091 | 109 | B1-U0-G2 | 6328 | 113 | B3-U0-G1 | 56 |
| VSR-S-16L-1-50K7 | 6443 | 115 | B1-U0-G1 | 3696 | 66 | B0-U0-G1 | 6283 | 112 | B1-U0-G2 | 4480 | 80 | 3640 | 65 | B0-U0-G1 | 6227 | 111 | B1-U0-G2 | 6496 | 116 | B3-U0-G1 | 56 |
| VSR-S-32L-53-30K7 | 5858 | 105 | B1-U0-G1 | 3248 | 58 | B0-U0-G1 | 5712 | 102 | B1-U0-G1 | 4032 | 72 | 3192 | 57 | B0-U0-G1 | 5661 | 101 | B1-U0-G2 | 5880 | 105 | B3-U0-G1 | 56 |
| VSR-S-32L-53-40K7 | 6303 | 113 | B1-U0-G1 | 3472 | 62 | B0-U0-G1 | 6146 | 110 | B1-U0-G1 | 4256 | 76 | 3416 | 61 | B0-U0-G1 | 6091 | 109 | B1-U0-G2 | 6328 | 113 | B3-U0-G1 | 56 |
| VSR-S-32L-53-50K7 | 6443 | 115 | B1-U0-G1 | 3696 | 66 | B0-U0-G1 | 6283 | 112 | B1-U0-G2 | 4480 | 80 | 3640 | 65 | B0-U0-G1 | 6227 | 111 | B1-U0-G2 | 6496 | 116 | B3-U0-G1 | 56 |
| VSR-S-32L-7-30K7 | 8086 | 114 | B2-U0-G2 | 4118 | 58 | B0-U0-G1 | 7881 | 111 | B2-U0-G2 | 5112 | 72 | 4047 | 57 | B0-U0-G1 | 7896 | 111 | B2-U0-G2 | 8236 | 116 | B3-U0-G2 | 71 |
| VSR-S-32L-7-40K7 | 8700 | 123 | B2-U0-G2 | 4402 | 62 | B0-U0-G1 | 8480 | 119 | B2-U0-G2 | 5396 | 76 | 4331 | 61 | B0-U0-G1 | 8496 | 120 | B2-U0-G2 | 8875 | 125 | B3-U0-G2 | 71 |
| VSR-S-32L-7-50K7 | 8894 | 125 | B2-U0-G2 | 4686 | 66 | B0-U0-G1 | 8669 | 122 | B2-U0-G2 | 5680 | 80 | 4615 | 65 | B0-U0-G2 | 8685 | 122 | B2-U0-G2 | 9088 | 128 | B3-U0-G2 | 71 |
| VSR-S-32L-1-30K7 | 11088 | 105 | B2-U0-G2 | 6148 | 58 | B0-U0-G2 | 10812 | 102 | B2-U0-G2 | 7632 | 72 | 6042 | 57 | B0-U0-G2 | 10715 | 101 | B2-U0-G2 | 11130 | 105 | B3-U0-G2 | 106 |
| VSR-S-32L-1-40K7 | 11930 | 113 | B2-U0-G2 | 6572 | 62 | B0-U0-G2 | 11634 | 110 | B2-U0-G2 | 8056 | 76 | 6466 | 61 | B0-U0-G2 | 11529 | 109 | B2-U0-G2 | 11978 | 113 | B3-U0-G2 | 106 |
| VSR-S-32L-1-50K7 | 12196 | 115 | B2-U0-G2 | 6996 | 66 | B0-U0-G2 | 11893 | 112 | B2-U0-G2 | 8480 | 80 | 6890 | 65 | B0-U0-G2 | 11787 | 111 | B2-U0-G2 | 12296 | 116 | B3-U0-G2 | 106 |



DPX ARM LENGTH

| DPX <br> ARM LENGTH | SGL -- | D90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{z}$ | D180 - | T90** | T120 ${ }^{\circ}$ | QD $\frac{i_{6}}{}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VSR-S | 3" | $6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $3{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |

EPA

| EPA | SGL | D90 | D180 | T90 | T120 | QD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VSR-S | 0.65 | 0.99 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.39 | 1.5 |

## MOUNTING OPTIONS



DIRECT POLE MOUNT(DPX)
Standard mounting arm is extruded aluminum in lengths of 3 ", 6 ", And 10"
*Arm lengths may vary depending on configuration


WALL MOUNT (WM)
Cast Aluminum Plate for direct wall mount. 3" extruded aluminum arm mounts directly to a cast wall mount box.
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## OPTIONAL

Optional Cast Aluminum Bracket designed for quick mounting on Direct Square or Round Poles. Cleat mounts directly to pole for easily hung fixtures.


QUICK MOUNT BRACKET (QMB) DIRECT POLE (DP6/DP10)

DRILL PATTERN
(2")


RETROFIT QUICK MOUNT BRACKET (RQMB)

RQMB DRILL PATTERN



## Height

## 10' - 30

## Pole Shaft

The pole shaft material is a weldable grade hot rolled commercial quality steel tubing with a minimum yield of 46,000 psi. Conforms to ASTM A500 Grade B Standards. Poles have ground lug welded inside hand-hole opposite side of the hand-hole. Pole shaft is welded to base plate on top and bottom of base plate.

## Base Plate

The Base Plate is manufactured from structural hot rolled steel that meets or exceeds a minimum yield strength of 36,000 psi, conforms the ASTM-A36 standards. Base Plate vary in size from 1" thick for poles 21 feet and over, $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ thick for poles 10 to 20 feet.

## Anchor Bolts

All anchor bolts are hot dipped galvanized steel and come with two galvanized nuts and washers per bolt. Minimum yield strength 50,000 psi. Anchor bolts are not included for Custom Bolt Circle.

## Base Cover

All base covers are fabricated two-piece 6063 aluminum and powder coated to match the pole. Square base cover comes standard, Round base cover optional.

## Hand-Hole

A reinforced hand-hole is $12^{\prime \prime}$ on center from the base plate and is constructed of $3^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime \prime}$ rectangular steel tubing which is welded to pole shaft for added strength. The hand-hole covers are provided with internal bridge support and powder coated to match pole finish.

## Pole Cap

All poles come with a removable polymer pole cap installed. All pole caps are black finish.

## Finish

All poles are treated with shot blast media for a near white finish, power blasted with 100 psi prior to powder coat application. Electrostatically applied polyester powder coat with a 3 to 5 mil thickness for maximum adherence.

## Marine Grade Finish

All poles are washed through a 5 -stage cleaning system with a deionized rinse, a 3 to 5 mils zinc rich durable polyester primer powder coat, followed by a 3 to 5 mils super durable polyester powder coat finish.

## Galvanized Finish

All poles are Hot Dipped Galvanized in a multi stage process. Galvanizing Specification, Zinc (Hot Dipped Galvanized) per ASTM A 123/A 123M - 02

Zinc coatings on threaded materials shall conform to specification A 153 /A 153 M . The coating shall be continuous and reasonably smooth and uniform in thickness and in weight.

Galvanizing Adherence - The Zinc coating shall withstand handling consistent with the nature and thickness of the coating and normal use of the article without peeling or flaking.

## Galvanized Under Powder

Galvanized Under Powder (GUP) adheres to above galvanized specification, and the second stage is a light sand blast on the outside of the pole, third stage is a 3-5 mils polyester powder coat finish for maximum adherence.

## Vibration Dampener

The Vibration Dampener is factory installed. The Vibration Dampener consists of a rugged galvanized chain coated with heavy duty polyester tubing that is factory secured at the bottom 2-3rds of the pole and field secured by contractor at the base during installation.


| Project Name: |
| :--- |
| RSSP ORDERING GUIDE |



| Max. allowable EPA - RSSP poles (per AASHTO LRFDLTS-1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catalog Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Shaft } \\ \text { Length, } \\ \mathrm{ft} \end{gathered}$ | Wall thickness, in. | Shaft dia., in. | Base Plate, in. | Bolt Circle, in. | Bolts | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 80 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Max. } \\ \text { wt. } \\ \text { (lb) } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 90 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Max. } \\ \text { wt. } \\ \text { (lb) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Max. } \\ \text { wt. (I } \\ \text { b) } \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 110 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{array}\right\|$ | Max. (b) | $\left\|\begin{array}{c\|} 115 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max. } \\ & \text { wt., } \\ & \text { lb } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \\ & \mathrm{mph} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Max. } \\ \text { wt., } \\ \text { lb } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} 130 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Max. } \\ \text { wt., } \\ \text { lb } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Max. } \\ \text { wt., } \\ \text { lb } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & \mathrm{mph} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Max. } \\ \text { wt., } \\ \text { lb, } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 160 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Max. } \\ \text { wt., } \\ \text { lb } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 170 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max. } \\ \text { wt., } \\ \text { lb } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 180 \\ & \mathrm{mph} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Max. } \\ \text { wt., } \\ \text { lb, } \end{array}$ |
| RSSP-10-4R-11G-9BC-3430 | 10 | 0.120 | 4 | 9 sq . | 9 | 3/4"x30" | 20.0 | 500 | 20.0 | 500 | 20.0 | 500 | 20.0 | 500 | 18.3 | 458 | 16.6 | 416 | 13.9 | 347 | 11.7 | 292 | 10.0 | 250 | 8.7 | 217 | 7.6 | 190 | 6.8 | 169 |
| RSSP-12-4R-11G-9BC-3430 | 12 | 0.120 | 4 | 9" sq. | 9 | 3/4"x30" | 20.0 | 500 | 20.0 | 500 | 20.0 | 500 | 16.1 | 402 | 14.5 | 363 | 13.1 | 329 | 10.8 | 270 | 9.0 | 225 | 7.6 | 190 | 6.6 | 165 | 5.7 | 143 | 5.0 | 126 |
| RSSP-14-4R-11G-9BC-3430 | 14 | 0.120 | 4 | 9" sq. | 9 | 3/4"x30" | 20.0 | 500 | 20.0 | 500 | 16.4 | 409 | 13.0 | 326 | 11.7 | 292 | 10.5 | 262 | 8.5 | 213 | 7.0 | 174 | 5.8 | 145 | 4.9 | 124 | 4.3 | 108 | 3.7 | 93 |
| RSSP-16-4R-11G-9BC-3430 | 16 | 0.120 | 4 | 9" sq. | 9 | 3/4"x30" | 20.0 | 500 | 16.3 | 408 | 12.6 | 316 | 9.9 | 247 | 8.8 | 220 | 7.8 | 196 | 6.2 | 156 | 4.9 | 124 | 4.0 | 100 | 3.4 | 85 | 2.9 | 73 | 2.5 | 63 |
| RSSP-18-4R-11G-9BC-3430 | 18 | 0.120 | 4 | 9 sq . | 9 | 3/4"x30" | 18.3 | 458 | 13.7 | 344 | 10.4 | 261 | 8.0 | 201 | 7.1 | 177 | 6.2 | 154 | 4.8 | 119 | 3.6 | 91 | 2.8 | 70 | 2.3 | 60 | 2.0 | 60 | 1.6 | 60 |
| RSSP-20-4R-11G-9BC-3430 | 20 | 0.120 | 4 | 9" sq. | 9 | 3/4"x30" | 15.7 | 393 | 11.6 | 289 | 8.6 | 216 | 6.5 | 162 | 5.5 | 139 | 4.8 | 120 | 3.5 | 88 | 2.5 | 62 | 1.8 | 60 | 1.4 | 60 | 1.1 | 60 | 0.9 | 60 |
| RSSP-20-4R-7G-9BC-3430 | 20 | 0.188 | 4 | 9 sq . | 9 | $3 / 4^{\prime \prime} \times 30$ " | 20.0 | 500 | 19.6 | 489 | 15.1 | 378 | 11.8 | 294 | 10.5 | 262 | 9.3 | 232 | 7.3 | 183 | 5.7 | 144 | 4.6 | 116 | 3.9 | 98 | 3.3 | 84 | 2.9 | 72 |
| RSSP-20-5R-11G-9BC-3430 | 20 | 0.120 | 5 | 9" sq. | 9 | 3/4"x30" | 20.0 | 500 | 19.7 | 491 | 14.9 | 374 | 11.5 | 288 | 10.1 | 253 | 9.0 | 226 | 7.4 | 186 | 6.2 | 156 | 5.2 | 131 | 4.5 | 112 | 3.8 | 96 | 3.3 | 83 |
| RSSP-20-5R-7G-9BC-3430 | 20 | 0.188 | 5 | 9 sq . | 9 | 3/4"x30" | 20.0 | 500 | 20.0 | 500 | 20.0 | 500 | 16.2 | 406 | 14.4 | 361 | 13.0 | 325 | 10.8 | 271 | 9.1 | 228 | 7.8 | 195 | 6.7 | 167 | 5.8 | 146 | 5.1 | 127 |
| RSSP-22-4R-11G-12BC-136 | 22 | 0.120 | 4 | 12" sq. | 12 | 1"x36" | 12.3 | 308 | 8.9 | 222 | 6.4 | 161 | 4.6 | 115 | 3.9 | 97 | 3.2 | 80 | 2.1 | 60 | 1.3 | 60 | 0.7 | 60 | 0.5 | 60 | 0.3 | 60 | 0.1 | 60 |
| RSSP-22-4R-7G-12BC-136 | 22 | 0.188 | 4 | 12 sq s. | 12 | 1"x36" | 20.0 | 500 | 15.6 | 389 | 11.8 | 295 | 9.0 | 226 | 8.0 | 199 | 7.0 | 174 | 5.3 | 133 | 4.0 | 100 | 3.1 | 77 | 2.6 | 64 | 2.2 | 60 | 1.8 | 60 |
| RSSP-22-5R-11G-12BC-136 | 22 | 0.120 | 5 | 12" sq. | 12 | 1"x36" | 20.0 | 500 | 15.4 | 385 | 11.5 | 287 | 8.6 | 215 | 7.5 | 187 | 6.6 | 164 | 5.4 | 134 | 4.4 | 110 | 3.7 | 92 | 3.1 | 77 | 2.6 | 65 | 2.2 | 60 |
| RSSP-22-5R-7G-12BC-136 | 22 | 0.188 | 5 | $12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{sq}$. | 12 | 1"x36" | 20.0 | 500 | 20.0 | 500 | 20.0 | 500 | 15.8 | 396 | 14.1 | 351 | 12.7 | 316 | 10.5 | 263 | 8.9 | 221 | 7.5 | 188 | 6.5 | 162 | 5.6 | 140 | 4.9 | 122 |
| RSSP-25-4R-11G-12BC-136 | 25 | 0.120 | 4 | 12" sq. | 12 | 1"x36" | 9.7 | 242 | 6.7 | 167 | 4.5 | 112 | 2.9 | 72 | 2.3 | 60 | 1.7 | 60 | 0.7 | 60 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - |
| RSSP-25-4R-7G-12BC-136 | 25 | 0.188 | 4 | 12 sq s. | 12 | 1"x36" | 17.1 | 428 | 12.5 | 313 | 9.3 | 231 | 6.8 | 170 | 5.8 | 145 | 5.0 | 124 | 3.5 | 88 | 2.4 | 60 | 1.6 | 60 | 1.2 | 60 | 0.9 | 60 | 0.7 | 60 |
| RSSP-25-5R-11G-12BC-136 | 25 | 0.120 | 5 | 12" sq. | 12 | 1"x36" | 16.9 | 423 | 12.1 | 302 | 8.7 | 217 | 6.2 | 154 | 5.1 | 128 | 4.4 | 110 | 3.5 | 87 | 2.7 | 68 | 2.2 | 60 | 1.7 | 60 | 1.4 | 60 | 1.1 | 60 |
| RSSP-25-5R-7G-12BC-136 | 25 | 0.188 | 5 | 12 " sq. | 12 | 1"x36" | 20.0 | 500 | 20.0 | 500 | 16.4 | 409 | 12.5 | 312 | 10.9 | 272 | 9.7 | 243 | 8.0 | 200 | 6.7 | 167 | 5.6 | 140 | 4.8 | 119 | 4.0 | 101 | 3.5 | 87 |
| RSSP-26-4R-11G-12BC-136 | 26 | 0.120 | 4 | 12" sq. | 12 | 1"x36" | 8.9 | 222 | 6.0 | 150 | 3.9 | 98 | 2.4 | 60 | 1.7 | 60 | 1.2 | 60 | 0.3 | 60 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - |
| RSSP-26-4R-7G-12BC-136 | 26 | 0.188 | 4 | 12 " sq. | 12 | 1"x36" | 16.1 | 401 | 11.6 | 290 | 8.5 | 212 | 6.2 | 154 | 5.2 | 130 | 4.4 | 109 | 3.0 | 74 | 1.9 | 60 | 1.2 | 60 | 0.8 | 60 | 0.6 | 60 | 0.3 | 60 |
| RSSP-26-5R-11G-12BC-136 | 26 | 0.120 | 5 | 12 sq s. | 12 | 1"x36" | 13.3 | 333 | 11.1 | 278 | 7.9 | 196 | 5.4 | 135 | 4.4 | 111 | 3.7 | 93 | 2.9 | 72 | 2.3 | 60 | 1.8 | 60 | 1.4 | 60 | 1.1 | 60 | 0.8 | 60 |
| RSSP-26-5R-7G-12BC-136 | 26 | 0.188 | 5 | 12 sq . | 12 | 1"x36" | 12.1 | 302 | 16.1 | 403 | 13.9 | 347 | 11.5 | 287 | 10.0 | 249 | 8.9 | 222 | 7.3 | 182 | 6.0 | 150 | 5.0 | 125 | 4.2 | 106 | 3.6 | 89 | 3.1 | 77 |
| RSSP-28-4R-7G-12BC-136 | 28 | 0.188 | 4 | 12" sq. | 12 | 1"x36" | 14.1 | 353 | 10.0 | 250 | 7.1 | 177 | 4.9 | 122 | 4.0 | 100 | 3.3 | 82 | 2.0 | 60 | 1.0 | 60 | 0.3 | 60 | 0.1 | 60 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - |
| RSSP-28-5R-11G-12BC-136 | 28 | 0.120 | 5 | 12 sq s. | 12 | 1"x36" | 13.6 | 341 | 9.4 | 234 | 6.3 | 158 | 4.0 | 101 | 3.1 | 78 | 2.5 | 63 | 1.8 | 60 | 1.3 | 60 | 0.9 | 60 | 0.6 | 60 | 0.4 | 60 | 0.2 | 60 |
| RSSP-28-5R-7G-12BC-136 | 28 | 0.188 | 5 | 12 sq . | 12 | 1"x36" | 20.0 | 500 | 17.8 | 445 | 13.1 | 329 | 9.7 | 242 | 8.3 | 207 | 7.2 | 181 | 5.9 | 147 | 4.8 | 120 | 3.9 | 98 | 3.2 | 81 | 2.7 | 68 | 2.3 | 60 |
| RSSP-28-6R-7G-12BC-136 | 28 | 0.188 | 6 | 12 sq . | 12 | 1"x36" | 20.0 | 500 | 20.0 | 500 | 20.0 | 500 | 17.0 | 425 | 15.4 | 385 | 14.0 | 349 | 11.6 | 290 | 9.7 | 243 | 8.2 | 206 | 7.1 | 177 | 6.1 | 152 | 5.3 | 132 |
| RSSP-30-5R-11G-12BC-136 | 30 | 0.120 | 5 | 12 sq . | 12 | 1"x36" | 11.7 | 293 | 7.8 | 194 | 4.9 | 122 | 2.8 | 69 | 1.9 | 60 | 1.4 | 60 | 0.8 | 60 | 0.4 | 60 | 0.1 | 60 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - |
| RSSP-30-6R-11G-12BC-136 | 30 | 0.120 | 6 | 12 l sq. | 12 | 1"x36" | 18.6 | 466 | 12.9 | 321 | 9.0 | 225 | 7.0 | 175 | 6.2 | 154 | 5.5 | 136 | 4.3 | 108 | 3.5 | 87 | 2.8 | 69 | 2.2 | 60 | 1.8 | 60 | 1.4 | 60 |
| RSSP-30-5R-7G-12BC-136 | 30 | 0.188 | 5 | 12" sq. | 12 | 1"x36" | 20.0 | 500 | 15.6 | 391 | 11.3 | 282 | 8.1 | 201 | 6.8 | 169 | 5.8 | 146 | 4.6 | 115 | 3.7 | 92 | 3.0 | 74 | 2.4 | 60 | 1.9 | 60 | 1.5 | 60 |
| RSSP-30-6R-7G-12BC-136 | 30 | 0.188 | 6 | 12 sq . | 12 | 1"x36" | 20.0 | 500 | 20.0 | 500 | 18.6 | 465 | 14.9 | 371 | 13.4 | 334 | 12.1 | 303 | 10.0 | 250 | 8.3 | 208 | 7.0 | 176 | 6.0 | 149 | 5.1 | 127 | 4.4 | 110 |
| *Pole Assemblies With EPA>9.0 Require Specific Review |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CAUTION: Installation of poles without luminaire(s) will compromise pole strength. Any accessories attached to the pole, or other modifications done in the field, will compromise the pole strength and may result in pole failure. Wind load evaluations and provisions for appendages such as banner arms, signage, cameras, etc., must be evaluated and approved by the factory prior to placing an order. Additional evaluation and approval should be performed by the customer's local structural engineer on the project.



FIG. 3.8-1b - 700-Year MRI Basic Wind Speed, mph (AASHTO LRFDLTS-1)

1) All wind load calculations are based on sustained wind force plus and additional 1.3 gust
2) Wind Map is to be used as a reference only. Please coordinate with local agencies for further review
3) Wind Map values are based on a 50 year mean recurrence. These values do not account for severe conditions, such as hurricanes, tornadoes, etc...
4) For review of poles with additional configurations (arms, banners, shorter/longer pole lengths, etc...), please contact factory.
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## Introduction

The modern styling of the D-Series is striking yet unobtrusive - making a bold, progressive statement even as it blends seamlessly with its environment. The D-Series distills the benefits of the latest in LED technology into a high performance, high efficacy, long-life luminaire. The outstanding photometric performance results in sites with excellent uniformity, greater pole spacing and lower power density. It is ideal for replacing up to 400 W metal halide with typical energy savings of $70 \%$ and expected service life of over 100,000 hours.


## Ordering Information

## Accessories

Ordered and shipped separately.

DLL127F 1.5 JU DLL347F 1.5 CUL JU DLL480F 1.5 CULJU DSHORT SBKU DSXOHS 2OCG1U DSXOHS 30CG1U DSXOHS 40 CG1 U DSXODDLG1U PUMBA DDBXD G1 U* KMA8 DDBXD U

Photocell - SSL twist-lock (120-277V) ${ }^{24}$ Photocell - SSL twist-lock (347V) ${ }^{24}$ Photocell - SSL twist-lock (480V) ${ }^{24}$ Shorting cap ${ }^{24}$
House-side shield for $\mathrm{P} 1, \mathrm{P} 2, \mathrm{P} 3$ and $\mathrm{P} 4{ }^{22}$ House-side shield for $\mathrm{P} 10, \mathrm{P} 11, \mathrm{P} 12$ and $\mathrm{P} 13^{22}$ House-side shield for P5,P6 and P7 ${ }^{22}$ Diffused drop lens (polycarbonate) ${ }^{22}$ Square and round pole universal mounting bracket adaptor (specify finish) ${ }^{25}$
Mast arm mounting bracket adaptor (specify
finish) ${ }^{12}$ finish) ${ }^{12}$
DSXOEGS (FINISH) G1 U External glare shield
For more control options, visit DTL and ROAM online. Link to nLight Air 2

## NOTES

1 HA not available with P4, P7, and P13.
2 P10, P11, P12 and P13 and rotated options (L90 or R90) only available together
3 Any Type 5 distribution with photocell, is not available with WBA.
4 Not available with HS or DDL.
6 MVOLT driver operates on any line voltage from 120-277V ( $50 / 60 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
Single fuse (SF) requires $120 \mathrm{~V}, 277 \mathrm{~V}$ or 347 V . Double fuse (DF) requires
XVOLT only suitable for use with P4, P7 and P13.
9 XVOLT not available with fusing (SF or DF) and not available with PIR, PIRH, PIR1FC3V, PIRH1FC3V.
10 Suitable for mounting to round poles between $3.5^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ diameter.
11 Universal mounting brackets intended for retrofit on existing pre-drilled poles only. 1.5 G vibration load rating per ANCI C136.31. Only usable when pole's drill pattern is NOT
12 Lithonia template \#8.
13 Must be ordered with PIRHN.
14 Sensor cover available only in dark bronze, black, white and natural aluminum colors
16 Must be ordered with NLTAIR2. For more information on nLight Air 2 visit this link
16 Photocell ordered and shipped as a separate line item from Acuity Brands Controls. See accessories. Shorting Cap included.
17 If ROAM ${ }^{\circledast}$ node required, it must be ordered and shipped as a separate line item from Acuity Brands Controls. Shorting Cap included
DMG not aval
19 Reference Controls Options table on page 4
20 Reference Motion Sensor Default Table on page 4 to see functionality.
21 Not available with other dimming controls options.
22 Not available with BLC, LCCO and RCCO distribution
23 Must be ordered with fixture for factory pre-drilling.
25 For retrofit use only. Only usable when pole's drill pattern is NOT Lithonia template \#8.

## EGS - External Glare Shield



## Drilling



Tenon Mounting Slipfitter

| Tenon 0.D. | Mounting | Single Unit | $\mathbf{2}$ @ 180 | 2 @ 90 | $\mathbf{3}$ @ 90 | $\mathbf{3}$ @120 | 4 @ 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2-3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | RPA | AS3-5 190 | AS3-5280 | AS3-5 290 | AS3-5 390 | AS3-5320 | AS3-5490 |
| $2-7 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ | RPA | AST25-190 | AST25-280 | AST25-290 | AST25-390 | AST25-320 | AST25-490 |
| $4 "$ | RPA | AST35-190 | AST35-280 | AST35-290 | AST35-390 | AST35-320 | AST35-490 |


|  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mounting Option | Drilling Template | Single | 2 @ 180 | 2 @ 90 | 3 @ 90 | 3 @ 120 | 4 @ 90 |
| Head Location |  | Side B | Side B \& D | Side B \& C | Side B, C \& D | Round Pole Only | Side A, B, C \& D |
| Drill Nomenclature | \#8 | DM19AS | DM28AS | DM29AS | DM39AS | DM32AS | DM49AS |
| Minimum Acceptable Outside Pole Dimension |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPA | \#8 | 2-7/8" | 2-7/8" | 3.5" | 3.5" |  | 3.5" |
| RPA | \#8 | 2-7/8" | 2-7/8" | 3.5" | 3.5" | 3" | 3.5" |
| SPUMBA | \#5 | 2-7/8" | 3" | 4" | 4" |  | 4" |
| RPUMBA | \#5 | 2-7/8" | 3.5" | 5" | $5{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 3.5" | $5{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |

## DSX0 Area Luminaire - EPA

*Includes luminaire and integral mounting arm. Other tenons, arms, brackets or other accessories are not included in this EPA data.

| Fixture Quantity \& Mounting <br> Configuration | Single DM19 | 2 @ 180 DM28 | 2@90 DM29 | 3@90 DM39 | 3@120 DM32 | 4@90 DM49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mounting Type | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| DSX0LED | 0.950 | 1.900 | 1.830 |  |  |  |

Isofootcandle plots for the DSX0 LED P6 40K G1. Distances are in units of mounting height ( $\mathbf{2 0}^{\prime}$ ).



Test No. LTL23451P25 tested in accordance
with IESNA LM-79-08.



Lumen Ambient Temperature (LAT) Multipliers
Use these factors to determine relative lumen output for average ambient temperatures from $0-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(32-104^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.

| Ambient |  | Lumen Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.04 |
| $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $41^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.04 |
| $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.03 |
| $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.02 |
| $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 1.01 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 ^ { \circ } \mathrm { C }}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |
| $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $86^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.99 |
| $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $95^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.98 |
| $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $104^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 0.97 |

## Projected LED Lumen Maintenance

Data references the extrapolated performance projections for the platforms noted in a $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ambient, based on 10,000 hours of LED testing (tested per IESNA LM-80-08 and projected per IESNA TM-21-11).
To calculate LLF, use the lumen maintenance factor that corresponds to the desired number of operating hours below. For other lumen maintenance values, contact factory.

| Operating Hours | Lumen Maintenance Factor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 25,000 | 0.96 |
| 50,000 | 0.92 |
| 100,000 | 0.85 |


| Motion Sensor Default Settings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Option | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dimmed } \\ & \text { State } \end{aligned}$ | High Level (when triggered) | Phototcell Operation | Dwell Time | Ramp-up Time | Ramp-down Time |
| PIR or PIRH | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 3V (37\%) } \\ \text { Output } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10V (100\%) } \\ \text { Output } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Enabled @ 5FC | 5 min | 3 sec | 5 min |
| *PIR1FC3V or PIRH1FC3V | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3V (37\%) } \\ & \text { Output } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10V (100\%) } \\ & \text { Output } \end{aligned}$ | Enabled @ 1FC | 5 min | 3 sec | 5 min |

## Controls Options

| Nomenclature | Description | Functionality | Primary control device | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FAO | Field adjustable output device installed inside the luminaire; wired to the driver dimming leads. | Allows the luminaire to be manually dimmed, effectively trimming the light output. | FAO device | Cannot be used with other controls options that need the $0-10 \mathrm{~V}$ leads |
| DS | Drivers wired independently for $50 / 50$ luminaire operation | The luminaire is wired to two separate circuits, allowing for $50 / 50$ operation. | Independently wired drivers | Requires two separately switched circuits. Consider nLight AIR as a more cost effective alternative. |
| PER5 or PER7 | Twist-lock photocell receptacle | Compatible with standard twist-lock photocells for dusk to dawn operation, or advanced control nodes that provide $0-10 \mathrm{~V}$ dimming signals. | Twist-lock photocells such as DLL Elite or advanced control nodes such as ROAM. | Pins $4 \& 5$ to dimming leads on driver, Pins $6 \& 7$ are capped inside luminaire |
| PIR or PIRH | Motion sensors with integral photocell. PIR for 8-15' mounting; PIRH for 15-30' mounting | Luminaires dim when no occupancy is detected. | Acuity Controls SBGR | Also available with PIRH1FC3V when the sensor photocell is used for dusk-to-dawn operation. |
| NLTAIR2 PIRHN | nLight AIR enabled luminaire for motion sensing, photocell and wireless communication. | Motion and ambient light sensing with group response. Scheduled dimming with motion sensor over-ride when wirelessly connected to the nLight Edypse. | $n$ Light Air rSDGR | nLight AIR sensors can be programmed and commissioned from the ground using the CIAIRity Pro app. |

## Lumen Output

Lumen values are from photometric tests performed in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08. Data is considered to be representative of the configurations shown, within the tolerances allowed by Lighting Facts. Contact factory for performance data on any configurations not shown here

| Forward Optics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power | LED Count | Drive | System | Dist. | $\begin{gathered} 30 \mathrm{~K} \\ (3000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \mathrm{~K} \\ (4000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \mathrm{~K} \\ (5000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW |
| P1 | 20 | 530 | 38W | T1S | 4,369 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 115 | 4,706 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 124 | 4,766 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 4,387 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 115 | 4,726 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 124 | 4,785 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 126 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 4,364 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 115 | 4,701 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 124 | 4,761 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 4,376 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 115 | 4,714 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 124 | 4,774 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 126 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 4,248 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 112 | 4,577 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 120 | 4,634 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 4,281 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 113 | 4,612 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 121 | 4,670 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 4,373 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 115 | 4,711 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 124 | 4,771 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 126 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 4,548 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 4,900 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 4,962 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 4,552 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 4,904 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 4,966 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 4,541 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 120 | 4,891 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 129 | 4,953 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 4,576 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 4,929 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 130 | 4,992 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 3,586 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 94 | 3,863 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 102 | 3,912 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 2,668 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 70 | 2,874 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 76 | 2,911 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 77 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 2,668 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 70 | 2,874 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 76 | 2,911 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 77 |
| P2 | 20 | 700 | 49W | T1S | 5,570 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 114 | 6,001 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 122 | 6,077 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 5,593 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 114 | 6,025 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 123 | 6,102 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 5,564 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 114 | 5,994 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 6,070 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 5,580 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 114 | 6,011 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 123 | 6,087 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 5,417 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 5,835 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 5,909 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 5,458 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 5,880 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 5,955 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 5,576 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 114 | 6,007 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 123 | 6,083 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 5,799 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 6,247 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 6,327 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 5,804 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 6,252 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 6,332 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 5,789 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 118 | 6,237 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 127 | 6,316 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 5,834 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 6,285 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 128 | 6,364 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 4,572 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 93 | 4,925 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 101 | 4,987 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 102 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 3,402 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 69 | 3,665 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 75 | 3,711 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 3,402 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 69 | 3,665 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 75 | 3,711 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 76 |
| P3 | 20 | 1050 | 71W | T1S | 7,833 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 110 | 8,438 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 8,545 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 7,865 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 8,473 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 8,580 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 7,825 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 110 | 8,429 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 8,536 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 7,846 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 8,452 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 8,559 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 7,617 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 107 | 8,205 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 116 | 8,309 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 7,675 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 108 | 8,269 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 116 | 8,373 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 7,841 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 110 | 8,447 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 8,554 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 8,155 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 8,785 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 8,896 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 8,162 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 115 | 8,792 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 124 | 8,904 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 8,141 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 8,770 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 124 | 8,881 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 8,204 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 116 | 8,838 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 124 | 8,950 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 126 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 6,429 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 91 | 6,926 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 98 | 7,013 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 99 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 4,784 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 67 | 5,153 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 73 | 5,218 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 4,784 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 67 | 5,153 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 73 | 5,218 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 73 |
| P4 | 20 | 1400 | 92W | T1S | 9,791 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 106 | 10,547 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 10,681 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 9,831 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 107 | 10,590 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 10,724 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 9,780 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 106 | 10,536 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 10,669 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 9,807 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 107 | 10,565 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 10,698 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 9,521 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 103 | 10,256 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 10,386 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 113 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 9,594 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 104 | 10,335 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 112 | 10,466 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 114 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 9,801 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 107 | 10,558 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 10,692 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 10,193 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 111 | 10,981 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 11,120 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 10,201 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 111 | 10,990 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 11,129 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 10,176 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 10,962 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 11,101 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 10,254 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 111 | 11,047 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 120 | 11,186 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 8,036 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 87 | 8,656 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 94 | 8,766 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 95 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 5,979 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 65 | 6,441 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 70 | 6,523 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 5,979 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 65 | 6,441 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 70 | 6,523 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 71 |

## Lumen Output

Lumen values are from photometric tests performed in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08. Data is considered to be representative of the configurations shown, within the tolerances allowed by Lighting Facts. Contact factory for performance data on any configurations not shown here

| Forward Optics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power | LED Count | Drive | System | Dist. | $\begin{gathered} 30 \mathrm{~K} \\ (3000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \mathrm{~K} \\ (4000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \mathrm{~K} \\ (5000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW |
| P5 | 40 | 700 | 89 W | T1S | 10,831 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 11,668 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 131 | 11,816 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 10,876 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 11,716 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 132 | 11,864 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 10,820 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 11,656 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 131 | 11,803 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 10,849 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 11,687 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 131 | 11,835 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 10,532 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 118 | 11,346 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 127 | 11,490 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 10,613 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 119 | 11,434 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 128 | 11,578 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 10,842 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 122 | 11,680 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 131 | 11,828 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 11,276 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 127 | 12,148 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 136 | 12,302 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 138 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 11,286 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 127 | 12,158 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 137 | 12,312 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 138 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 11,257 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 126 | 12,127 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 136 | 12,280 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 138 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 11,344 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 127 | 12,221 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 137 | 12,375 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 139 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 8,890 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 9,576 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 108 | 9,698 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 109 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 6,615 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 74 | 7,126 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 80 | 7,216 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 81 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 6,615 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 74 | 7,126 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 80 | 7,216 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 81 |
| P6 | 40 | 1050 | 134W | T1S | 14,805 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 110 | 15,949 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 119 | 16,151 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 14,865 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 111 | 16,014 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 120 | 16,217 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 14,789 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 110 | 15,932 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 119 | 16,134 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 14,829 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 111 | 15,975 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 119 | 16,177 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 14,396 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 107 | 15,509 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 116 | 15,705 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 14,507 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 15,628 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 15,826 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 14,820 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 111 | 15,965 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 119 | 16,167 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 15,413 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 115 | 16,604 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 124 | 16,815 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 15,426 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 115 | 16,618 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 124 | 16,828 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 126 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 15,387 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 16,576 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 124 | 16,786 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 15,506 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 116 | 16,704 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 125 | 16,915 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 126 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 12,151 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 91 | 13,090 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 98 | 13,255 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 99 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 9,041 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 67 | 9,740 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 73 | 9,863 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 74 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 9,041 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 67 | 9,740 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 73 | 9,863 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 74 |
| P7 | 40 | 1300 | 166W | T1S | 17,023 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 103 | 18,338 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 110 | 18,570 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 17,092 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 103 | 18,413 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 111 | 18,646 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 17,005 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 102 | 18,319 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 110 | 18,551 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 17,051 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 103 | 18,369 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 111 | 18,601 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 16,553 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 17,832 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 107 | 18,058 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 109 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 16,681 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 17,969 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 18,197 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 110 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 17,040 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 103 | 18,357 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 18,590 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 17,723 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 107 | 19,092 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 115 | 19,334 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 17,737 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 107 | 19,108 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 19,349 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 17,692 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 107 | 19,059 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 19,301 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 17,829 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 107 | 19,207 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 116 | 19,450 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 13,971 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 84 | 15,051 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 91 | 15,241 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 92 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 10,396 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 63 | 11,199 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 67 | 11,341 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 68 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 10,396 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 63 | 11,199 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 67 | 11,341 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 68 |

## Lumen Output

Lumen values are from photometric tests performed in accordance with IESNA LM-79-08. Data is considered to be representative of the configurations shown, within the tolerances allowed by Lighting Facts. Contact factory for performance data on any configurations not shown here.

| Rotated Optics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power | LED Count | Drive | System | Dist. | $\begin{gathered} 30 \mathrm{~K} \\ (3000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \mathrm{~K} \\ (4000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \mathrm{~K} \\ (5000 \mathrm{~K}, 70 \mathrm{CRI}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW | Lumens | B | U | G | LPW |
| P10 | 30 | 530 | 53W | T1S | 6,727 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 127 | 7,247 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 137 | 7,339 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 138 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 6,809 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 128 | 7,336 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 138 | 7,428 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 140 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 6,689 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 126 | 7,205 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 136 | 7,297 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 138 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 6,805 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 128 | 7,331 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 138 | 7,424 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 140 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 6,585 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 124 | 7,094 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 134 | 7,183 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 6,677 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 126 | 7,193 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 136 | 7,284 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 6,850 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 129 | 7,379 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 139 | 7,472 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 141 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 6,898 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 7,431 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 7,525 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 142 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 6,840 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 129 | 7,368 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 139 | 7,461 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 141 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 6,838 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 129 | 7,366 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 139 | 7,460 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 141 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 6,777 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 128 | 7,300 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 138 | 7,393 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 139 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 5,626 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 106 | 6,060 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 114 | 6,137 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 116 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 4,018 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 76 | 4,328 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 82 | 4,383 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 83 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 4,013 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 76 | 4,323 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 82 | 4,377 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 83 |
| P11 | 30 | 700 | 72W | T1S | 8,594 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 119 | 9,258 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 129 | 9,376 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 8,699 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 | 9,371 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 130 | 9,490 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 8,545 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 119 | 9,205 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 128 | 9,322 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 8,694 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 | 9,366 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 130 | 9,484 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 8,412 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 9,062 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 126 | 9,177 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 127 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 8,530 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 9,189 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 128 | 9,305 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 8,750 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 122 | 9,427 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 131 | 9,546 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 8,812 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 9,493 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 9,613 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 8,738 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 121 | 9,413 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 131 | 9,532 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 8,736 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 121 | 9,411 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 131 | 9,530 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 8,657 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 9,326 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 130 | 9,444 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 7,187 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 7,742 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 7,840 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 109 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 5,133 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 71 | 5,529 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 77 | 5,599 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 78 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 5,126 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 5,522 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 77 | 5,592 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 78 |
| P12 | 30 | 1050 | 104W | T1S | 12,149 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 13,088 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 126 | 13,253 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 127 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 12,297 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 13,247 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 127 | 13,415 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 12,079 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 116 | 13,012 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 125 | 13,177 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 127 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 12,290 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 13,239 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 127 | 13,407 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 11,891 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 114 | 12,810 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 123 | 12,972 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 12,058 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 116 | 12,990 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 125 | 13,154 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 126 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 12,369 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 119 | 13,325 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 128 | 13,494 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 12,456 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 120 | 13,419 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 129 | 13,589 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 12,351 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 119 | 13,306 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 128 | 13,474 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 12,349 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 13,303 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 128 | 13,471 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 12,238 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 13,183 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 127 | 13,350 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 128 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 10,159 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 98 | 10,944 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 11,083 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 7,256 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 70 | 7,816 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 75 | 7,915 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 7,246 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 70 | 7,806 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 75 | 7,905 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 76 |
| P13 | 30 | 1300 | 128W | T1S | 14,438 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 15,554 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 122 | 15,751 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | T2S | 14,614 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 114 | 15,744 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 123 | 15,943 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T2M | 14,355 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 112 | 15,465 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 15,660 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | T3S | 14,606 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 114 | 15,735 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 123 | 15,934 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | T3M | 14,132 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 110 | 15,224 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 119 | 15,417 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | T4M | 14,330 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 112 | 15,438 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 15,633 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | TFTM | 14,701 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 115 | 15,836 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 124 | 16,037 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5VS | 14,804 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 116 | 15,948 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 125 | 16,150 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 126 |
|  |  |  |  | T5S | 14,679 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 115 | 15,814 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 124 | 16,014 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5M | 14,676 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 15,810 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 124 | 16,010 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | T5W | 14,544 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 114 | 15,668 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 122 | 15,866 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | BLC | 7919 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 62 | 8531 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 67 | 8639 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  | LCCO | 5145 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 5543 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 43 | 5613 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  | RCCO | 5139 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 40 | 5536 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 43 | 5606 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 44 |

## FEATURES \& SPECIFICATIONS

## INTENDED USE

The sleek design of the D-Series Size 0 reflects the embedded high performance LED technology. It is ideal for many commercial and municipal applications, such as parking lots, plazas, campuses, and pedestrian areas.

## CONSTRUCTION

Single-piece die-cast aluminum housing has integral heat sink fins to optimize thermal management through conductive and convective cooling. Modular design allows for ease of maintenance and future light engine upgrades. The LED driver is mounted in direct contact with the casting to promote low operating temperature and long life. Housing is completely sealed against moisture and environmental contaminants (IP65). Low EPA ( $0.95 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) for optimized pole wind loading.

## FINISH

Exterior parts are protected by a zinc-infused Super Durable TGIC thermoset powder coat finish that provides superior resistance to corrosion and weathering. A tightly controlled multi-stage process ensures a minimum 3 mils thickness for a finish that can withstand extreme climate changes without cracking or peeling. Available in both textured and non-textured finishes.

## OPTICS

Precision-molded proprietary acrylic lenses are engineered for superior area lighting distribution, uniformity, and pole spacing. Light engines are available in 3000 K, 4000 K or 5000 K (70 CRI) configurations. The D-Series Size 0 has zero uplight and qualifies as a Nighttime Friendly ${ }^{\top M}$ product, meaning it is consistent with the LEED ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Green Globes ${ }^{\top M}$ criteria for eliminating wasteful uplight.

## ELECTRICAL

Light engine(s) configurations consist of high-efficacy LEDs mounted to metalcore circuit boards to maximize heat dissipation and promote long life (up to L85/100,000 hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Class 1 electronic drivers are designed to have a power factor $>90 \%$, THD $<20 \%$, and an expected life of 100,000 hours with $<1 \%$ failure rate. Easily serviceable 10 kV surge protection device meets a minimum Category C Low operation (per ANSI/IEEE C62.41.2).

## STANDARD CONTROLS

The DSXO LED area luminaire has a number of control options. DSX Size 0, comes standard with 0-10V dimming driver. Dusk to dawn controls can be utilized via optional NEMA twist-lock photocell receptacles. Integrated motion sensors with on-board photocells feature field-adjustable programing and are suitable for mounting heights up to 30 feet.

## nLIGHT AIR CONTROLS

The DSX0 LED area luminaire is also available with nLight $®$ AIR for the ultimate in wireless control. This powerful controls platform provides out-of-the-box basic motion sensing and photocontrol functionality and is suitable for mounting heights up to 40 feet. Once commissioned using a smartphone and the easy-touse CLAIRITY app, nLight AIR equipped luminaries can be grouped, resulting in motion sensor and photocell group response without the need for additional equipment. Scheduled dimming with motion sensor over-ride can be achieved when used with the nLight Eclypse. Additional information about nLight Air can be found here.

## INSTALLATION

Included mounting block and integral arm facilitate quick and easy installation. Stainless steel bolts fasten the mounting block securely to poles and walls, enabling the D-Series Size 0 to withstand up to a 3.0 G vibration load rating per ANSI C136.31. The D-Series Size 0 utilizes the AERISTM series pole drilling pattern (template \#8). Optional terminal block and NEMA photocontrol receptacle are also available.

## LISTINGS

UL listed to meet U.S. and Canadian standards. UL Listed for wet locations. Light engines are IP66 rated; luminaire is IP65 rated. Rated for $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ambient with HA option. U.S. Patent No. D672,492 S. International patent pending.
DesignLights Consortium® (DLC) Premium qualified product and DLC qualified product. Not all versions of this product may be DLC Premium qualified or DLC qualified. Please check the DLC Qualified Products List at www.designlights.org/ QPL to confirm which versions are qualified.

International Dark-Sky Association (IDA) Fixture Seal of Approval (FSA) is available for all products on this page utilizing 3000K color temperature only.

## BUY AMERICAN ACT

Product with the BAA option is assembled in the USA and meets the Buy America(n) government procurement requirements under FAR, DFARS and DOT regulations. Please refer to www.acuitybrands.com/buy-american for additional information.

## WARRANTY

5 -year limited warranty. This is the only warranty provided and no other statements in this specification sheet create any warranty of any kind. All other express and implied warranties are disclaimed. Complete warranty terms located at: www.acuitybrands.com/support/warranty/terms-and-conditions

Note: Actual performance may differ as a result of end-user environment and application.
All values are design or typical values, measured under laboratory conditions at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Specifications subject to change without notice.

## FEATURES \& SPECIFICATIONS

INTENDED USE - These specifications are for USA standards only. Round Straight Steel is a general purpose light pole for up to 30 -foot mounting heights. This pole provides a robust yet cost effective option for mounting area lights and floodlights.

## CONSTRUCTION -

Pole Shaft: The pole shaft is of $0.120^{\prime \prime}$ uniform wall thickness and is made of a weldable-grade, hot-rolled, commercial-quality steel tubing with a minimum yield of 42,000 psi. Shaft is one-piece with a full-length longitudinal high-frequency electric resistance weld. Uniformly round in cross-section down length of shaft with no taper. Standard shaft diameters are 3 ", 4 " 4.5 " and 5 ". 6 " diameter shaft available by quote. Shaft wall thickness of .180 " is available with certain tube diameters.
Pole Top: Options include tenon top, drilled for side mount fixture, 4" tenon with drilling (includes extra handhole) and open top. Side drilled and open top poles include a removable press-fit, black, low density polyethylene top cap.
Handhole: A reinforced handhole with grounding provision is provided at 12 " or 18 " from the base end of the pole assembly on side A. Every handhole includes a cover and cover attachment hardware. 2.5" $x 5$ " rectangular handhole is provided on pole.
Base Cover: A two-piece ABS round plastic full base cover is provided with each pole assembly. Additional base cover options are available upon factory request. Options include fabricated two-piece sheet steel. All base covers are finished to match pole.
Anchor Base/Bolts: Anchor base is fabricated from hot-rolled carbon steel plate that conforms with ASTM A36. Anchor bolts conform to ASTM F1554 Grade 55 and are provided with two hex nuts and two flat washers. Bolts have an "L" blend on one end. All anchor bolts are hot-dipped galvanized a minimum of 12" nominal on the threaded end. Anchor bolts are made of steel rod having a minimum yield strength of $55,000 \mathrm{psi}$ and a yield strength of 75,000 psi to $95,000 \mathrm{psi}$.
HARDWARE - All structural fasteners are high-strength galvanized carbon steel. All non-structural fasteners are galvanized or zinc-plated carbon steel or stainless steel.
FINISH - Extra durable standard powder-coat finishes include Dark Bronze, White, Black, Medium Bronze and Natural Aluminum colors. Classic finishes include Sandstone, Charcoal Gray, Tennis Green, Bright Red and Steel Blue colors. Architectural Colors and Special Finishes are available by quote and include, but are not limited to Hot-dipped Galvanized, Paint over Hot-dipped Galvanized, RAL Colors, Custom Colors and Extended Warranty Finishes. Factory-applied primer paint finish is available for customer field-paint applications.
BUY AMERICAN ACT - Product with the BAA option is assembled in the USA and meets the Buy America(n) government procurement requirements under FAR, DFARS and DOT regulations.
Please refer to $\underline{w w w . a c u i t y b r a n d s . c o m / b u y-a m e r i c a n ~ f o r ~ a d d i t i o n a l ~ i n f o r m a t i o n . ~}$
WARRANTY - 1 -year limited warranty. This is the only warranty provided and no other statements in this specification sheet create any warranty of any kind. All other express and implied warranties are disclaimed. Complete warranty terms located at: www.acuitybrands.com/support/warranty/terms-and-conditions
NOTE: Actual performance may differ as a result of end-user environment and application. Specifications subject to change without notice.


Notes:

1. Wall thickness will be signified with a "B" (11 Gauge) or a "F" (7-Gauge) in nomenclature. "B" - . 120 " $\mid$ "F" - . 180 "
2. PT open top poles include top cap. When ordering tenon mounting and drill mounting for the same pole, follow this example: DM28/T20. The combination includes a required extra handhole.
3. Refer to the fixture spec sheet for the correct drilling template pattern and orientation compatibility.
4. DM19RAD, DM28RAD and DM32RAD require a minimum top $0 . D$. of 4 ". DM29RAD, DM39RAD and DM49RAD require a minimum top 0.D of 4.25".
5. Insert " 1 " or "2" to designate fixture size; e.g. DM19AST2.
6. VD not available with 3 " pole. On 4" and 5 " poles, VD cannot be installed if provisions (EHH, FDL, NPL, CPL) are located higher than $2 / 3$ of the pole's total height. Example: Pole height is 25 ft , A provision cannot be placed above 16ft.
7. Specify location and orientation when ordering option.

For "x": Specify the height above the base of pole in feet or feet and
inches; separate feet and inches with a "-".
Example: $5 \mathrm{ft}=5$ and $20 \mathrm{ft} 3 \mathrm{in}=20-3$
For " y ": Specify orientation from handhole ( $A, B, C, D$ ) Refer to the
Handhole Orientation diagram below.
Example: $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ coupling at $5^{\prime \prime} 8$ ", orientation $C=C P L 12 / 5-8 C$
8. Horizontal arm is $18^{\prime \prime} \times 2-3 / 8^{\prime \prime} 0 . D$. tenon standard with radius curve providing $12^{\prime}$ rise. If ordering two horizontal arm at the same height, specify with HAxyy. Example: HA2OBD
9. FDL does not come with GFCl outlet or handhole cover. These must be supplied by contractor or electrician.
10. Combination of tenon-top and drill mount includes extra handhole.
11. Provides enhanced corrosion resistance. Not available with GALV.
12. Use when mill certifications are required.
13. Finish must be specified. Additional colors available; see Architectural Colors brochure linked here (Form No. 794.3).

| TECHNICAL INFORMATION - EPA (ft ${ }^{2}$ ) with 1.3 gust |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catalog number | Nominal shaft length (ft)* | Pole shaft size (in ft ) | Wall thickness (in) | 80 mph | Max weight | 90 mph | Max weight | 100 mph | Max weight | Bolt size (in. xin. x in.) | Approximate ship weight (lbs.) |
| RSS 8 4-5B | 8 | $4.5 \times 8.0$ | 0.120 | 24.7 | 630 | 19.7 | 495 | 16.0 | 430 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 55 |
| RSS 10 3B | 10 | $3.0 \times 10.0$ | 0.120 | 10.0 | 250 | 7.7 | 190 | 6.0 | 175 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 55 |
| RSS 10 4B | 10 | $4.0 \times 10.0$ | 0.120 | 19.1 | 480 | 15 | 375 | 12.2 | 305 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 70 |
| RSS 10 4-5B | 10 | $4.5 \times 10.0$ | 0.120 | 24.5 | 615 | 19.5 | 490 | 15.8 | 395 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 75 |
| RSS 12 3B | 12 | $3.0 \times 12.0$ | 0.120 | 7.7 | 195 | 5.8 | 145 | 4.4 | 130 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 60 |
| RSS 12 4B | 12 | $4.0 \times 12.0$ | 0.120 | 15.0 | 390 | 11.8 | 300 | 9.5 | 240 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 80 |
| RSS 12 4-5B | 12 | $4.5 \times 12.0$ | 0.120 | 19.8 | 495 | 15.7 | 395 | 12.7 | 320 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 85 |
| RSS 14 3B | 14 | $3.0 \times 14.0$ | 0.120 | 6.0 | 175 | 4.4 | 130 | 3.3 | 90 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 70 |
| RSS 14 4B | 14 | $4.0 \times 14.0$ | 0.120 | 12.2 | 305 | 9.4 | 250 | 7.6 | 195 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 90 |
| RSS 144-5B | 14 | $4.5 \times 14.0$ | 0.120 | 16.2 | 405 | 12.8 | 320 | 10.3 | 260 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 95 |
| RSS 15 4-5B | 15 | $4.5 \times 15.0$ | 0.120 | 12.0 | 300 | 9.5 | 250 | 7.5 | 200 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 96 |
| RSS 16 3B | 16 | $3.0 \times 16.0$ | 0.120 | 4.6 | 125 | 3.2 | 100 | 2.3 | 60 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 80 |
| RSS 16 4B | 16 | $4.0 \times 16.0$ | 0.120 | 9.6 | 250 | 7.4 | 185 | 5.9 | 150 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 100 |
| RSS 16 4-5B | 16 | $4.5 \times 16.0$ | 0.120 | 13.1 | 330 | 10.2 | 265 | 8.2 | 205 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 105 |
| RSS 18 3B | 18 | $3.0 \times 18.0$ | 0.120 | 3.4 | 90 | 2.3 | 60 | 1.4 | 70 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 90 |
| RSS 18 4B | 18 | $4.0 \times 18.0$ | 0.120 | 7.6 | 190 | 5.7 | 180 | 4.5 | 130 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 110 |
| RSS 18 4-5B | 18 | $4.5 \times 18.0$ | 0.120 | 10.5 | 265 | 8.2 | 210 | 6.5 | 165 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 115 |
| RSS 20 3B | 20 | $3.0 \times 20.0$ | 0.120 | 2.4 | 100 | 1.4 | 75 | -- | -- | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 100 |
| RSS 20 4B | 20 | $4.0 \times 20.0$ | 0.120 | 6.0 | 150 | 4.45 | 150 | 3.45 | 125 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 120 |
| RSS 20 4-5B | 20 | $4.5 \times 20.0$ | 0.120 | 8.5 | 215 | 6.6 | 165 | 5.2 | 130 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 130 |
| RSS 20 5B | 20 | $5.0 \times 20.0$ | 0.120 | 11.75 | 300 | 9.1 | 230 | 7.25 | 180 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 145 |
| RSS 22 4-5B | 22 | $4.5 \times 22.0$ | 0.120 | 6.0 | 150 | 4.5 | 125 | 3.75 | 100 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 134 |
| RSS 25 4B | 25 | $4.0 \times 25.0$ | 0.120 | 2.85 | 100 | 1.95 | 75 | 1.35 | 75 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 145 |
| RSS 25 4-5B | 25 | $4.5 \times 25.0$ | 0.120 | 4.8 | 130 | 3.6 | 90 | 2.7 | 90 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 145 |
| RSS 25 5B | 25 | $5.0 \times 25.0$ | 0.120 | 7.25 | 180 | 5.5 | 150 | 4.25 | 150 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 180 |
| RSS 30 4-5B | 30 | $4.5 \times 30.0$ | 0.120 | 2.3 | 80 | 1.5 | 75 | 1.0 | 60 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 185 |
| RSS 30 5B | 30 | $5.0 \times 30.0$ | 0.120 | 4.2 | 150 | 3 | 125 | 2.25 | 100 | $3 / 4 \times 18 \times 3$ | 210 |

NOTE: EPA values are based ASCE $7-93$ wind map.
*For $1 / 2 \mathrm{ft}$ increments, add -6 to the pole height. Ex: 20-6 equals 20 ft 6 in .

| TECHNICAL INFORMATION — EPA (ft ${ }^{2}$ ) WITH 3-SECOND GUST PER AASHTO 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Series | Mounting Height (ft)* | Shaft Base Size | 90 MPH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max. } \\ & \text { weight } \end{aligned}$ | 100 MPH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max. } \\ & \text { weight } \end{aligned}$ | 110 MPH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max. } \\ & \text { weight } \end{aligned}$ | 120 MPH | Max. weight | 130 MPH | Max. weight | 140 MPH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max. } \\ & \text { weight } \end{aligned}$ | 150 MPH | Max. weight | Approximate ship weight (lbs.) |
| RSS | 8 | 4-5B | 18.5 | 463 | 15 | 375 | 13 | 325 | 11 | 275 | 9.5 | 238 | 8 | 200 | 7 | 175 | 55 |
| RSS | 10 | 3B | 6 | 150 | 5 | 125 | 4 | 100 | 3.5 | 88 | 2.5 | 63 | 2 | 50 | 2 | 50 | 55 |
| RSS | 10 | 4B | 12 | 300 | 9.5 | 238 | 8 | 200 | 6.5 | 163 | 5.5 | 138 | 5 | 125 | 4.5 | 113 | 70 |
| RSS | 10 | 4-5B | 15.5 | 388 | 12.5 | 313 | 10.5 | 263 | 9 | 225 | 7.5 | 188 | 6.5 | 163 | 6 | 150 | 75 |
| RSS | 12 | 3B | 5 | 125 | 4 | 100 | 3 | 75 | 2.5 | 63 | 2 | 50 | 1.5 | 38 | 1 | 25 | 60 |
| RSS | 12 | 4B | 10 | 250 | 8 | 200 | 6.5 | 163 | 5.5 | 138 | 4.5 | 113 | 4 | 100 | 3.5 | 88 | 80 |
| RSS | 12 | 4-5B | 13 | 325 | 10.5 | 263 | 9 | 225 | 7.5 | 188 | 6.5 | 163 | 5.5 | 138 | 4.5 | 113 | 85 |
| RSS | 14 | 3B | 4 | 100 | 3 | 75 | 2.5 | 63 | 2 | 50 | 1.5 | 38 | 1 | 25 | 0.5 | 13 | 70 |
| RSS | 14 | 4B | 8.5 | 213 | 6.5 | 163 | 5.5 | 138 | 4 | 100 | 3.5 | 88 | 3 | 75 | 2.5 | 63 | 90 |
| RSS | 14 | 4-5B | 11 | 275 | 9 | 225 | 7 | 175 | 6 | 150 | 5 | 125 | 4.5 | 113 | 4 | 100 | 95 |
| RSS | 15 | 4-5B | 10 | 250 | 8 | 200 | 6.5 | 163 | 5.5 | 138 | 4.5 | 113 | 4 | 100 | 3.5 | 88 | 96 |
| RSS | 16 | 3B | 3 | 75 | 2.5 | 63 | 1.5 | 38 | 1 | 25 | 0.5 | 13 | 0.5 | 13 | - | - | 80 |
| RSS | 16 | 4B | 7 | 175 | 5.5 | 138 | 4 | 100 | 3 | 75 | 2.5 | 63 | 2 | 50 | 2 | 50 | 100 |
| RSS | 16 | 4-5B | 9 | 225 | 7 | 175 | 6 | 150 | 5 | 125 | 4 | 100 | 3.5 | 88 | 3 | 75 | 105 |
| RSS | 18 | 3B | 2.5 | 63 | 1.5 | 38 | 1 | 25 | 0.5 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 90 |
| RSS | 18 | 4B | 5.5 | 138 | 4 | 100 | 3 | 75 | 2.5 | 63 | 2 | 50 | 1.5 | 38 | 1 | 25 | 110 |
| RSS | 18 | 4-5B | 7.5 | 188 | 6 | 150 | 4.5 | 113 | 4 | 100 | 3 | 75 | 2.5 | 63 | 2 | 50 | 115 |
| RSS | 20 | 3B | 2 | 50 | 1 | 25 | 0.5 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100 |
| RSS | 20 | 4B | 4.5 | 113 | 3 | 75 | 2 | 50 | 1.5 | 38 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 25 | 0.5 | 13 | 120 |
| RSS | 20 | 4-5B | 6 | 150 | 4.5 | 113 | 3.5 | 88 | 3 | 75 | 2.5 | 63 | 2 | 50 | 1.5 | 38 | 130 |
| RSS | 20 | 5B | 8 | 200 | 6.5 | 163 | 5.5 | 138 | 4.5 | 113 | 3.5 | 88 | 3 | 75 | 2.5 | 63 | 145 |
| RSS | 22 | 4-5B | 5 | 125 | 3.5 | 88 | 2.5 | 63 | 2 | 50 | 1.5 | 38 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 25 | 134 |
| RSS | 25 | 4B | 2.5 | 63 | 1 | 25 | 0.5 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 145 |
| RSS | 25 | 4-5B | 3.5 | 88 | 2 | 50 | 1.5 | 38 | 1 | 25 | 0.5 | 13 | - | - | - | - | 145 |
| RSS | 25 | 5B | 5 | 125 | 3.5 | 88 | 3 | 75 | 2 | 50 | 1.5 | 38 | 1.5 | 38 | 1 | 25 | 180 |
| RSS | 30 | 4-5B | 1.5 | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 185 |
| RSS | 30 | 5B | 2.5 | 63 | 1.5 | 38 | 1 | 25 | 0.5 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 210 |

NOTE: AASHTO 2013 criteria is the most conservative existing EPA calculation. For poles not showing EPA values under AASHTO 2013, EPA values may exist under commercial criteria (see table above).
*For $1 / 2 \mathrm{ft}$ increments, add -6 to the pole height. Ex: 20-6 equals 20 ft 6 in.

## BASE DETAIL



| POLE DATA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shaft base <br> size | Bolt circle <br> A | Bolt <br> projection <br> B | Base <br> square <br> C | Template description | Anchor bolt <br> description |  |
| $3 "$ | $7.5^{\prime \prime}-8.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.50^{\prime \prime}-3.75^{\prime \prime}$ | $10.50^{\prime \prime}$ | ABTEMPLATE PJ50041 | AB18-0 |  |
| $4 "$ | $7.5^{\prime \prime}-8.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.50^{\prime \prime}-3.75^{\prime \prime}$ | $10.50^{\prime \prime}$ | ABTEMPLATE PJ50041 | AB18-0 |  |
| $4.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $7.5^{\prime \prime}-8.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.50^{\prime \prime}-3.75^{\prime \prime}$ | $10.50^{\prime \prime}$ | ABTEMPLATE PJ50041 | AB18-0 |  |
| $5^{\prime \prime}$ | $7.5^{\prime \prime}-8.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $3.50^{\prime \prime}-3.75^{\prime \prime}$ | $10.50 "$ | ABTEMPLATE PJ50041 | AB18-0 |  |

## HANDHOLE ORIENTATION



## IMPORTANT INSTALLATION NOTES:

- Do not erect poles without having fixtures installed.
- Factory-supplied templates must be used when setting anchor bolts. Lithonia Lighting will not accept claim for incorrect anchorage placement due to failure to use factory template.
- If poles are stored outside, all protective wrapping must be removed immediately upon delivery to prevent finish damage.
- Lithonia Lighting is not responsible for the foundation design.

CAUTION: These specifications are intended for general purposes only. Lithonia Lighting reserves the right to change material or design, without prior notice, in a continuing effort to upgrade its products.

## Public Comment Card Contour Place Workshop

Which site concept(s) are you commenting on? Check one
A $\square$
B $\square$ Both
D

What comments or questions do you have on proposed Site or Building


What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?


Be vo minor Imposer.
wail IMProve the oversu
AESMAKTVC.
How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three
blocks) in the past six months?
Daily Weekly $\square$ Monthly $\square \quad$ Not Regularly $\quad \square$

## General Comments/Questions


$\qquad$

Which site concepts) are you commenting on? Check one
A $\square$ BBoth

What comments or questions do you have on proposed Site or Building
Design? $\qquad$ development.

What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?


How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months?


General Comments/Questions

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## BES <br> PLANES <br> ILLINOIS

## Public Comment Card Contour Place Workshop

Which site concepts) are you commenting on? Check one
A
B $\square$ Both
X

What comments or questions do you have on proposed Site or Building
Design?
hooks like just another big box-Mone Brick, not cementations siding - .

What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from
this project?
 how often have you been near or by his propend (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months?


## General Comments/Questions



## Public Comment Card Contour Place Workshop

Which site concepts) are you commenting on? Check one
A $\square$
B
Both
8

What comments or questions do you have on proposed Site or Building

## Design?

The latest trend of ugly architecture!
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?
Terrible impact!

How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months?
Daily $\square$ Weekly $\square$ Monthly $\square$ Not Regularly $\square$

## General Comments/Questlons

Bes Plaines does not need more rental buildings, cheaply constructed and possibly turned into low-
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Public Comment Card Contour Place Workshop

Which site concepts) are you commenting on? Check one
A $\square$
B
Both

What comments or questions do you have on proposed Site or Building Design? cannot They Look For
Why cir l Commericat Buhr.
Anochas, Retail STokes

What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?


How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months?
Daily $\square$ Weekly $\square$ Monthly $\square \quad$ Not Regularly $\quad \square$

## General Comments/Questions


$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Public Comment Card Contour Place Workshop

Which site concept(s) are you commenting on? Check one
A $\square$

BBoth


What comments or questions do you have on proposed Site or Building


What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from


How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months?
Daily Weekly $\square$ Monthly $\square$ Regularly $\square$

## General Comments/Questions



## Public Comment Card Contour Place Workshop

Which site concepts) are you commenting on? Check one
A $\square$ B $\square$ Both $\square$

What comments or questions do you have on proposed Site or Building

## Design?

winy mode ADPRernuns? way mar Causes Oe Townsiomes?
 City, Nor TRAnsient TEnaNTS

What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from
this project?
which express ~ 200 sTupants Drily. Deal Dits

Impact of The whidine 622 enncubnng, Twa School,
How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three
blocks) in the past six month?
Daily


Monthly $\square$ Not Regularly

## General Comments/Questions

Amd Now This win bu Siumfichnt
This was A Complaincy inatractiva andy to
 Hive MADA Thebuatntions find Then Questions

- tint evalyone cover Ha Al


## Public Comment Card Contour Place Workshop

Which site concepts) are you commenting on? Check one
A


BBoth


What comments or questions do you have on proposed Site or Building Design?
Parking Area
Move the garages closer corners instead of having multi ple entrances. Put land parking in the middle
What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from
this project?
Entering है EXISTING
Graceland is onewaystreet dunt need to give an option totwrn the wrong way,
How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months?
Daily $\square$


Monthly $\square$ Not Regularly $\qquad$

## General Comments/Questions



## Public Comment Card Contour Place Workshop

Which site concept(s) are you commenting on? Check one
A
B
Both


What comments or questions do you have on proposed Site or BuIlding

## Design?



What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?
 currently $r$ coming soon. Please consider condos.

How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months?

Daily $\square$ Weekly Monthly $\square$ Not Regularly

## General Comments/Questions



## Public Comment Card Contour Place Workshop

Which site concept(s) are you commenting on? Check one
A $\square$
B $\square$
Both
X

What comments or questions do you have on proposed Site or Building

## Design?

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?
(1) AppeaRs TO BE SImilAR USE TYPR TO other ExISTING pROPERTIES
(2) WHAT IS * OF DWELLNGS NNITS/ACRE FOU TUIC SITE ANIN ExISTING AOJACEATI STIE

How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months?
Daily $\square$ Weekly $\square$ Monthly X Not Regularly

## General Comments/Questions

(1) How manly GUES? PAREInla SPACES ARE PRONDED (2) PERCEMT OF IMPERVIULS COUERAGE; WHERE WII STORM WATER DETEATTIUN BE PRODDED
$\qquad$

## Public Comment Card Contour Place Workshop

Which site concepts) are you commenting on? Check one
A X
B Both

What comments or questions do you have on proposed Site or Building

blocks) in the past six months?
Daily $\square$ Weekly $\square$ Monthly $\square \quad$ Not Regularly $\quad \square$


## Samantha Redman

| From: | Maureen Stern |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, June 9, 2023 10:28 AM |
| To: | Samantha Redman; John Carlisle |
| Subject: | FW: Feedback for Des Plaines, IL |

This came in through the feedback button on the website.
See below.

From: Media Services [media@desplaines.org](mailto:media@desplaines.org)
Sent: Friday, June 9, 2023 10:24 AM
To: Maureen Stern [mstern@desplaines.org](mailto:mstern@desplaines.org)
Subject: FW: Feedback for Des Plaines, IL

From: Des Plaines, IL [media@desplaines.org](mailto:media@desplaines.org)
Sent: Friday, June 9, 2023 10:23:44 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US \& Canada)
To: Media Services [media@desplaines.org](mailto:media@desplaines.org)
Subject: Feedback for Des Plaines, IL

https://www.desplaines.org/access-your-government/boards-and-commissions/planning-and-zoning-board
My concern is the development of the saw company at Thacker and Graceland. I attended the meeting on June 6. I don't think the city realizes the total picture. I would like to see another meeting set with more notice given to residents in the area. There are more residents who were not advised in writing who do not have the Des Plaines internet access We don't need more apts especially if they accept vouchers. The complex will be mostly vouchers. . parking is not adequate now. The argument that most potential renters will not have cars is unrealistic. There is nothing close by a car will be necessary for shopping. Argument that it is close to the train is unrealistic. Most young people work from home and the walk to the train is not that convenient especially in bad weather . I did it for 10 years. There is nothing in Des Plaines close by to entice young people to live here. There were board members that get it. The demeanor of one disappointinglike he didn't care I neglected to get names unfortunately. I remember faces Shame Des Plaines headed in wrong direction with apts

## From:

Sent:
To:
Cc:

Subject:
Attachments:

Tuesday, June 13, 2023 3:48 PM
Andrew Goczkowski; Jessica Mastalski; Mark Lysakowski; Colt Moylan; Sean Oskerka
Samantha Redman; Dick Sayad; Carla Brookman; mwalster@desplaines.org; Patricia Smith; Mike
Charewicz; jcatallano@desplaines.org; rfowler@desplaines.org; Rhoferr@desplaines.org;
psaletnik@desplaines.org; Jszabo@desplaines.org; Cveremis@desplaines.org; tweaver@desplaines.org; Joanne Mendoza
Fw: Graceland and Thacker -- Maybe Someone will respond IMG_6425.PNG

Good Afternoon $\qquad$ .I am writing this for myself, and other residents in the area. I have not gotten one response regarding previous emails. Very disappointing.

I can only hope this development is for reconsideration. There is no parking. Not a good location for apartments, especially since the new downtown apartments are not even rented. Knowing how the drill is, this complex will become low income housing which will destroy Des Plaines. Common sense would tell you this. I'd like to see Des Plaines work harder to build up retail, rather than apartments. All of us would. I take advantage of At7 and the Theatre.

There is not enough retail around to even entice people to live here. I have to drive outside of Des Plaines for most shopping.

Developer's arguments:
Young people want to live near the train. Downtown Des Plaines is different and they can't even rent those apartments close by. This is not Downtown Chicago where everything is in walking distance (restaurants, stores, drug stores, etc.) I traveled over 10 years to the train from this location, and during bad weather - not an easy hike. Even as he says young people don't need cars, there is no shopping convenient here. THEY WILL NEED CARS -- and the parking situation. Parking is limited in this location as it is.

He is never going to get the high rents he thinks he is - very delusional thinking. $\qquad$ So lower the rents and accept vouchers. I'm beginning to think that's the plan

## DO NOT APPROVE THEIR BUILDING PLANS

Redraw the plans of the building Push back the building so there is a parking lot in front of the proposed building on Graceland.
Make the building residents 50 years and older -- there are more elderly people who would be interested Do condos/townhouses - people who would have more of a personal stake in Des Plaines.
But, I'm not hopeful as from experience (I worked for attorneys and a lobbyist), and usually by the time residents are notified - too late. Just like the Journal site (more apartments) I hope Des Plaines wakes up.

I would like information to pass on to the residents in the area.
----- Forwarded Message -----

Cc: "dsayad@desplaines.og" [dsayad@desplaines.og](mailto:dsayad@desplaines.og)
Sent: Friday, June 9, 2023 at 12:49:13 PM CDT
Subject: Graceland and Thacker

## Good Afternoon

I sent the following email. FYI

You can see how upset some of us are about this development and the ramifications that are in the future It's not a good location with the arguments the developer had didn't fly

I'm not sure if you were at the meeting. Missed introductions if there were any.
I don't think residents given enough time to understand I had reached out awhile back to someone in Des Plaines. Never got a reply.

I hope you can do something More rentals Not a good thing for Des Plaines. Hoping city wakes up
Condos/townhomes would be
Mr Sayad - I think you were at this meeting ?
Thank you

Sent from my iPhone

| From: | Des Plaines, IL [media@desplaines.org](mailto:media@desplaines.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, June 20, 2023 9:58 AM |
| To: | Samantha Redman |
| Subject: | *NEW SUBMISSION* Contour Place Public Input |

## Contour Place Public Input

Submission \#: 2513920
IP Address: 149.75.158.58
Submission Date: 06/20/2023 9:57
Survey Time: 3 minutes, 29 seconds

You have a new online form submission.
Note: all answers displaying "*****" are marked as sensitive and must be viewed after your login.

## Read-Only Content

## Section Break

Which site concept(s) are you commenting on?

## Both

What comments or questions do you have on the proposed Site or Building Design
please ignore the NIMBYs and permit this and all other residential housing projects.
What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?
Des Plaines is great am I am excited to share it with more people
How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months?
Daily

## General Comments/Questions

I encourage displays to approve this and all residential building projects. there are a couple of NIMBYs running around the neighborhood complaining about this and I think you should ignore them. building more housing will help. Des Plaines and make it a more robust and vibrant community. I live very close to the site, and I look forward to new neighbors. Nick Hantel 719 Laurel Ave

## Email (optional)

## Read-Only Content

Thank you,
Des Plaines, IL

This is an automated message generated by Granicus. Please do not reply directly to this email.

## Samantha Redman

## From:

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2023 10:01 AM
To: Andrew Goczkowski; Jessica Mastalski; Mark Lysakowski; Colt Moylan; Sean Oskerka
Cc: Samantha Redman; Dick Sayad; Carla Brookman; mwalster@desplaines.org; Patricia Smith; Mike
Charewicz; jcatallano@desplaines.org; rfowler@desplaines.org; Rhoferr@desplaines.org;
psaletnik@desplaines.org; Jszabo@desplaines.org; Cveremis@desplaines.org;
tweaver@desplaines.org; Joanne Mendoza
Subject:
Re: Graceland and Thacker

I was at the city council meeting last night. I didn't expect to be able to speak. I wasn't prepared and left out my main concern about so many rentals in Des Plaines. This email is repetitive to my original email below.

Also I'm speaking for residents in the area. Not just myself

I dread that Des Plaines is going down this path. I think in the long run federal aid (we are not stupid people who don't realize this is behind all this) given to the city for these so called rentals will not be worth it in the end. Build condos or townhouses where people will have a personal and financial stake in their property

I had asked the developer at the June 6 meeting about what happens when these apts cannot be rented. $\qquad$ .asked about vouchers. He then stated they cannot turn away voucher requests. This development will end up be low income housing.

With the huge rental buildings downtown and the Webford project (more apts) Des Plaines will end up being a disaster down the road

I'd like to see more retail. I have a granddaughter who I would love to take downtown and see shops catered to kids......not high end stores. There are a lot of kids in Des Plaines Choo Choo is one option but shame it's so small. Sometimes you can't get in.

I think you are making a mistake not agreeing to that gentleman's proposal re snack shop whatever. ....even if not a sit down restaurant. Des Plaines is not a high end city. Seems you lost many opportunities with these restaurants going other places. A good hamburger place would have been great

You made a big mistake about the dispensary. If In the right location downtown you lost a lot of money. There are a lot of people who have medical cards and recreation Now Give their money to Niles and Rosemont

Below is my original email sent to as many people I could find. I hope Mr Mendoza forwarded it to the zoning board. No one could give me any contact information for the Board

Could someone confirm date of the next zoning meeting. We were told June 25 .....which is a Sunday
Thank you for your consideration

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

Good Afternoon..........I am writing this for myself, and other residents in the area. I have not gotten one response regarding previous emails. Very disappointing.

I can only hope this development is for reconsideration. There is no parking. Not a good location for apartments, especially since the new downtown apartments are not even rented. Knowing how the drill is, this complex will become low income housing which will destroy Des Plaines. Common sense would tell you this. I'd like to see Des Plaines work harder to build up retail, rather than apartments. All of us would. I take advantage of At7 and the Theatre.

There is not enough retail around to even entice people to live here. I have to drive outside of Des Plaines for most shopping.

Developer's arguments:
Young people want to live near the train. Downtown Des Plaines is different and they can't even rent those apartments close by. This is not Downtown Chicago where everything is in walking distance (restaurants, stores, drug stores, etc.) I traveled over 10 years to the train from this location, and during bad weather - not an easy hike. Even as he says young people don't need cars, there is no shopping convenient here. THEY WILL NEED CARS -- and the parking situation. Parking is limited in this location as it is.

He is never going to get the high rents he thinks he is - very delusional thinking. $\qquad$ So lower the rents and accept vouchers. I'm beginning to think that's the plan

## DO NOT APPROVE THEIR BUILDING PLANS

Redraw the plans of the building Push back the building so there is a parking lot in front of the proposed building on Graceland.
Make the building residents 50 years and older -- there are more elderly people who would be interested Do condos/townhouses - people who would have more of a personal stake in Des Plaines.
But, I'm not hopeful as from experience (I worked for attorneys and a lobbyist), and usually by the time residents are notified - too late. Just like the Journal site (more apartments) I hope Des Plaines wakes up.

I would like information to pass on to the residents in the area.


Sent: Friday, June 9, 2023 at 12:49:13 PM CDT
Subject: Graceland and Thacker
Good Afternoon

I sent the following email. FYI
You can see how upset some of us are about this development and the ramifications that are in the future It's not a good location with the arguments the developer had didn't fly

I'm not sure if you were at the meeting. Missed introductions if there were any.
I don't think residents given enough time to understand I had reached out awhile back to someone in Des Plaines. Never got a reply.

I hope you can do something More rentals Not a good thing for Des Plaines. Hoping city wakes up

Condos/townhomes would be
Mr Sayad - I think you were at this meeting ?
Thank you

Sent from my iPhone

| From: | Des Plaines, IL [media@desplaines.org](mailto:media@desplaines.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, June 26, 2023 4:01 PM |
| To: | Samantha Redman |
| Subject: | *NEW SUBMISSION* Contour Place Public Input |

## Contour Place Public Input

Submission \#: 2528158
IP Address: 99.93.196.68
Submission Date: 06/26/2023 4:01
Survey Time: 55 seconds

You have a new online form submission.
Note: all answers displaying "*****" are marked as sensitive and must be viewed after your login.

## Read-Only Content

## Section Break

Which site concept(s) are you commenting on?
Both
What comments or questions do you have on the proposed Site or Building Design not a good option in DP. there are so many vacant rentals already
What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?
How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months?
Weekly

## General Comments/Questions

Email (optional)
Read-Only Content

Thank you,
Des Plaines, IL

This is an automated message generated by Granicus. Please do not reply directly to this email.

| From: | Des Plaines, IL [media@desplaines.org](mailto:media@desplaines.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, July 5, 2023 12:53 PM |
| To: | Samantha Redman |
| Subject: | *NEW SUBMISSION* Contour Place Public Input |

## Contour Place Public Input

| Submission \#: | 2546548 |
| :--- | :--- |
| IP Address: | 73.208 .12 .61 |

Submission Date: 07/05/2023 12:53
Survey Time: 11 minutes, 5 seconds

You have a new online form submission.
Note: all answers displaying "*****" are marked as sensitive and must be viewed after your login.

## Read-Only Content

## Section Break

Which site concept(s) are you commenting on?
Both
What comments or questions do you have on the proposed Site or Building Design
Site A - I feel the open land parking lots should be moved to the middle of the area where the garage buildings are. Moving the garage buildings over towards the street is better. We don't need 4 exits from these parking areas with one being so close to the curve in the street on Thacker by the railroad tracks where vision could be blocked. The other exit on Graceland is giving the cars the opportunity to turn left on a one way street.

## What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?

Parking will become an issue if the residence of the complex have to pay for a parking space. Each unit should already have that built into their rent. Visitor parking should be closer to the main entrance and enough to cover visitors at an equal amount since street parking is very limited.

How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months?
Weekly

## General Comments/Questions

Parking redesign should be investigated as previously noted. For the site $A$ building there are less 2 bedroom units per floor than in the Site B design. Considere making 2 more 2 bedroom units perform at the middle of each floor and eliminate 3 one bedroom units and one studio. Also a more define entrance should be visible at the corner of Graceland and Thacker even though this is not the main entrance. For Site B also a more define entrance should be visible along Graceland. Concerns over at Site B is Oakwood Street capable of handling all this new traffic and parking?

## Email (optional)

Read-Only Content

Thank you,
Des Plaines, IL

| From: | Des Plaines, IL [media@desplaines.org](mailto:media@desplaines.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, July 5, 2023 7:11 PM |
| To: | Samantha Redman |
| Subject: | *NEW SUBMISSION* Contour Place Public Input |

## Contour Place Public Input

Submission \#: 2547791
IP Address: 76.136.228.9
Submission Date: 07/05/2023 7:11
Survey Time: 6 minutes, 59 seconds

You have a new online form submission.
Note: all answers displaying "*****" are marked as sensitive and must be viewed after your login.

## Read-Only Content

## Section Break

Which site concept(s) are you commenting on?
Site B
What comments or questions do you have on the proposed Site or Building Design
Should redevelop site with Townhome/Condos only with on-site.parking only
What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?
Parking is presently severely limited in the neighborhood at the time being! An apartment building would ONLY SERVE TO IMPACT parking and MAKE IT MUCH WORSE!
How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months? Daily

## General Comments/Questions

Develop Site B with Condo/Townhouse ONLY with on-site parking
Email (optional)

Read-Only Content

Thank you,
Des Plaines, IL

This is an automated message generated by Granicus. Please do not reply directly to this email.

| From: | Des Plaines, IL [media@desplaines.org](mailto:media@desplaines.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, July 10, 2023 4:46 PM |
| To: | Samantha Redman |
| Subject: | *NEW SUBMISSION* Contour Place Public Input |

## Contour Place Public Input

| Submission \#: | 2557607 |
| :--- | :--- |
| IP Address: | 73.45 .169 .154 |

Submission Date: 07/10/2023 4:46
Survey Time: 25 minutes, 22 seconds

You have a new online form submission.
Note: all answers displaying "*****" are marked as sensitive and must be viewed after your login.

## Read-Only Content

## Section Break

Which site concept(s) are you commenting on?
Both
What comments or questions do you have on the proposed Site or Building Design
i'm a owner of 915 Graceland ave. I don't agree with new zoning: R-4 Central Core Residential Case number:23-040-MAP.
What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?
No more rentals in this neigborhood!! We already have 136 rentals right one block douwn!!Maybe more at Ellison Apartaments. This is a quite and peacefull area!!

How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months? Daily

## General Comments/Questions

Take in consideretion our concern about rentals. I would rather see condos/townhomes where people have a personal and financial stake in their property

## Email (optional)

Read-Only Content

Thank you,
Des Plaines, IL

This is an automated message generated by Granicus. Please do not reply directly to this email.

| From: | Des Plaines, IL [media@desplaines.org](mailto:media@desplaines.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, July 13, 2023 9:52 AM |
| To: | Samantha Redman |
| Subject: | *NEW SUBMISSION* Contour Place Public Input |

## Contour Place Public Input

| Submission \#: | 2564260 |
| :--- | :--- |
| IP Address: | 75.58 .27 .199 |

Submission Date: 07/13/2023 9:52
Survey Time: 4 minutes, 11 seconds

You have a new online form submission.
Note: all answers displaying "*****" are marked as sensitive and must be viewed after your login.

## Read-Only Content

## Section Break

Which site concept(s) are you commenting on?
Both
What comments or questions do you have on the proposed Site or Building Design
Don't build these, too many buildings to close to each other
What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?
Do we need extra rentals in Des Planes?
How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months? Daily

## General Comments/Questions

Please build your buildings somewhere else

## Email (optional)

Read-Only Content

Thank you,
Des Plaines, IL

This is an automated message generated by Granicus. Please do not reply directly to this email.

| From: | Des Plaines, IL [media@desplaines.org](mailto:media@desplaines.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, July 12, 2023 5:49 PM |
| To: | Samantha Redman |
| Subject: | *NEW SUBMISSION* Contour Place Public Input |

## Contour Place Public Input

| Submission \#: | 2563308 |
| :--- | :--- |
| IP Address: | 75.58 .27 .199 |

Submission Date: 07/12/2023 5:48
Survey Time: 2 minutes, 11 seconds

You have a new online form submission.
Note: all answers displaying "*****" are marked as sensitive and must be viewed after your login.

## Read-Only Content

## Section Break

Which site concept(s) are you commenting on?
Both
What comments or questions do you have on the proposed Site or Building Design
We don't need this extra buildings and noises over here. Its nice place to do the park.
What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?
will be any voting on this project? Many neighbors don't like this idea.
How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months? Daily

## General Comments/Questions

Move your project to more open area
Email (optional)

Read-Only Content

Thank you,
Des Plaines, IL

This is an automated message generated by Granicus. Please do not reply directly to this email.

| From: | Des Plaines, IL [media@desplaines.org](mailto:media@desplaines.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, July 18, 2023 8:11 AM |
| To: | Samantha Redman |
| Subject: | *NEW SUBMISSION* Contour Place Public Input |

## Contour Place Public Input

Submission \#: 2573662
IP Address: 173.15.39.78
Submission Date: 07/18/2023 8:10
Survey Time: 6 minutes, 45 seconds

You have a new online form submission.
Note: all answers displaying "*****" are marked as sensitive and must be viewed after your login.

## Read-Only Content

## Section Break

Which site concept(s) are you commenting on?
Both
What comments or questions do you have on the proposed Site or Building Design
What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?
How will this project affect traffic patterns, parking for all the units and emergency vehicles access.
How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months?
Daily

## General Comments/Questions

How many units are subject to low income tenants
Email (optional)

Read-Only Content

Thank you,
Des Plaines, IL

This is an automated message generated by Granicus. Please do not reply directly to this email.

* Which site concept(s) are you commenting on?


## A \& B Contour Project (Thicker and Graceland)

What comments or questions do you have on the proposed Site or Building Design

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Too Many Rentals in Does Plainest As it is } \\
& \text { Potential of being low income housing }
\end{aligned}
$$

What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?
PARKING


* How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months?


Email (optional) $m$
Questions about the project should be sent to sredmanadesplaines.org.

1. To receive a copy of your submission, please fill out your email address below and submit.
2. Email Address : NOTES.
thank you. Please leave the pen
mary Dun zoos
Teri Pudlo 302
CAVing anderm 6501
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Marge t Tom Divine
\#. 506

Mary AnnAtes Joe Ales 303
$\begin{array}{lc}\text { Preethe Thomas } & 503 \\ \text { Therese A Durante } & 401 \\ \text { Chuck Durance } & 401 \\ \text { Kans in gan } & 502 \\ \text { Bijoy Thomas } & 503 \\ \text { Sion Whblinser } & 502\end{array}$
Nancy Nrencialk-206
Mine a. been 202

glary 403
Attachment 15 au er avi 405
(cpage259) 275

* Which site concept(s) are you commenting on?
A \& B Contruer Project (Whacker and Graceland)

What comments or questions do you have on the proposed Site or Building Design


Too Many Rentals in Dee Planes As it is Potential of being low income housing

What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?
PARKiN $\Leftrightarrow$

* How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months?

D $A+L Y$
General Comments/Questions
No retail) close by frrishoppingj no f close to Schroupportaton are crowded whet) aspecully bun bud weather Email (optional) $n$

Questions about the project should be sent to sredmanadesplaines.ong.

1. To receive a copy of your submission, please fill out your email address below and submit.
2. Email Address: NOTES.
thank you. Please leave the pen!
mary Doris zo3
Their Pudlo 302
civil anderm 5501
DAVID AND LINDA SCHULTZ-\#306
CONSveLOBalagveramH3OS
Marge Tom Himive

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \# 506 \\
& 4303 \\
& 303
\end{aligned}
$$
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Preetlue Thomas
Therese A durante

$$
303
$$
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Kans Fenoul

$$
401
$$
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502
$$
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$$
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Tiny Nreencull-206
Mine a. Olen 202
Ride Pill 204
The gearlore 403
Attachments \& David
405
(Otagerat) of 275

## From:

Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:

Monday, August 7, 2023 12:58 PM
John Carlisle; Samantha Redman; Joanne Mendoza; Margaret Mosele
Andrew Goczkowski; Jessica Mastalski; Mark Lysakowski; Mark Walsten; Colt Moylan;
Sean Oskerka; Mike Charewicz; Dick Sayad; Carla Brookman; Patricia Smith
For your consideration: Please pass these comments on to the zoning board re Contour Project

At the meeting on July 25 re rezoning of Contour Saw project. I hope all of you sit back, read the concerns, and consider what will eventually may happen. I'm glad any decision was postponed at this meeting.

Why not just rezone the properties for private homes /townhomes also. Better yet, a school and/or park - I have heard the schools are overcrowded. Also, Give other developers the opportunity for the sites. Maybe this developer would be interested going that route. You would get more interest in the property and hopefully a better plan for the neighborhood if the rezoning included private homes / townhomes.

Eventually the inflation has to improve although it might take a while. So why rush into this.

It was almost a relief about possible townhomes at Site A. After the bombshell that townhomes would be rentals, and reality set in - along with discussions with area residents- this is a worse scenario than the apartments . You would never be able to control the amount of residents living in a townhouse. Property values will go down, not up.

Parking would still be a problem.

If you had to keep apartments at Site B. You would have that money generated - and would be more reasonable for the discussion of future and present housing for seniors which was mentioned. The reality is there is a need for this now. The apartments would work at Site B. I agree.

I'm all for senior housing. There are 3-5 year waiting lists for senior housing. I have friends who are on waiting lists.

They would have additional parking for apartments if they moved the building back further to the west of Graceland. Reconfigure their plans. It would look nicer on Graceland if they did something like the Waterford Condos on Graceland did in front of their condo building.


And learning the City took the first offer from one developer, this doesn't seem like a good business plan. Why the rush when you don't even know what's going to happen at the journal building site, or the rentals from Welkin and Ellison developments. I'm not certain, but aren't there other developments in the works in Des Plaines.

I saw the figures about tax revenue -
Approx. 43,000 taxes received now for properties
Taxes from federal funding. Approx. 490,000
If it was private property- if 40 townhomes. Generate at least 10,000 -12,000 yearly taxes per unit. 480,000 for the property at Site A

If townhomes were privately owned you would generate more taxes in the long run and not compromise the neighborhood. I walked this neighborhood with my granddaughter this past weekend. Such a great safe area with Centennial Park close by. Beautiful.
People who own have a stake in the property take care of it.
Seeing the townhomes around Mannheim and Touhy shows the future of what might eventually happen.

Why not check with surrounding municipals (Schaumburg, Arlington Heights, Rolling Meadows and other neighboring municipalities) if they have problems with this kind of a development in the middle of a quiet residential neighborhood.

Have you looked into this builder's credentials? I see one project pending. Talked to Skokie Rezoning....his development was approved but nothing has been done yet. I
could not find any building developments he has completed. Wouldn't it be a good idea if this was postponed until you see how Skokie makes out with his development. With all the developments planned in Des Plaines, what is the rush.

Right now, You have no idea about the quality and knowledge of the builder's building developments. I do know he was denied building in the City of Chicago at 2835-45 West Belden. The alderman at the time did not want the project. I could not get a reason for the denial.

Hopefully, you have more information on the builder.
There are other ways to get revenue for the city. Focus on downtown retail. Small shops, restaurants, snack shop would be a good thing close to train. Would love to see a dollar store

These are my thoughts along with others. There are so many area residents who have no idea of what is going on, and many who do not have access to internet.

I hope you all read next door. When these conversations come up, people have a lot to say but give up. Talking with residents re Webford project. - seems like they feel the resident's opinions in Des Plaines do not matter. Shame so many residents feel that way.

Saw that with Kimchi project. Pushed it through because of a potential lawsuit....... Is that how Des Plaines works? Telling developers they are good to go before anything approved and finding out how residents feel about it. I was able to talk to the attorney and owners of the Kimchi project when I left the meeting. I wished them good
luck. Very responsible and respectful.......hope it works out for them and Des Plaines residents nearby.

Thank you for your consideration.
And I hope your decisions don't reflect the term limits set that many of these decisions are made in haste. I am sorry this happened.

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone
Chris at

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:
Attachments:

Caryssa Buchholz
Monday, August 7, 2023 10:47 PM
Samantha Redman
Re: Contour Saws Site A
1924 Graceland-Thacker.jpg

Samantha,
Thank you for forwarding:
I do have a few comments in regards to Site A:

1. With the newly proposed plan as townhomes, I believe this is even more a great opportunity than before to utilize a portion of the existing building on-site through re-use for planned components such as the club house. Despite several additions, there is a portion that appears more of the scale of a single family residence. This building dates back to the 1920s - back when the Contour Saws site was primarily single family residence - see attached Sanborn map. In addition, as you can see from the below newspaper clip and if one were to pull the original plat for the Des Plaines Manor subdivision, the triangle plots at the end of Laurel just above the article title is the site in question, which makes it a part of the original single family Garden City-esque subdivision layout. By preserving this single family structure already on the site, it not only honors the history of the city, it maintains the design or even returns the subdivision closer to its original intent and it creates a unique project that will set it apart from residential developments across our own city and every other neighboring city.
2. As for the new construction component, I would like to see more movement in the facades of the townhome designs. I believe them to be too minimalist per the concept renderings. The condominiums kiddy korner to them have stone lintels and ornamentation and the single family residences in the subdivision are very much craftsman in nature, each bearing their own unique character. While it is often cheaper to design a straight facade, I feel if there is not enough detail added in other manners, they can get stagnant/flat. I'd like to see more than just a slight dip at the roofline between units and a material transition to create that movement. I'd like to see detail added with things such as a cornice or window/door trim or juliet balconies or pilasters - minor things that could break the plane while still structurally maintaining a straight facade at a minimum. I also would like to see material choices become a bit more concise. Right now, the rendering indicates 2 colors of face brick, a veneer stone, and a fiber cement panel. I'd prefer to see this brought down to 2 material choices with a contrasting color palette of 2 colors.


(Orange outlines buildings originally shown in attached 1924 Sanborn)
Thanks in advance for your time,

## Caryssa Buchholz

On Mon, Aug 7, 2023 at 3:22 PM Samantha Redman [sredman@desplaines.org](mailto:sredman@desplaines.org) wrote:
Hi Caryssa,

Attached are the presentation documents from the discussion on $7 / 25$. There is an issue uploading to the website, I'm investigating right now. Thanks for bringing this to my attention.

Attached is the conceptual plan and rendering. Please note the developer has not submitted an application yet for Site A and they are intending to submit later this month. Once submitted, any person is able to examine the application upon request, per section 12-3-1.D (i.e. we will email all plans to you if you ask). Prior to the Planning and Zoning Board meeting, all materials will be available on the website along with the staff report.

Note: Site B was recommended for approval on $7 / 25$. However, the petitioner has requested to postpone the City Council meeting for Site B until Site A has been through the Planning and Zoning Board so that both applications can be considered by City Council simultaneously.

If you or another community member have comments, please send to me either through email or through the public input form on desplaines.org/contourplace. All comments go directly to our staff so we can incorporate them with our staff review and all public comments are included into the PZB staff report packet.

Let me know if you have any questions, thank you.

How are we doing? Our department wants your feedback. Based on your recent experience with us, please take a few moments to complete this customer satisfaction survey.

SAMANTHA REDMAN

PLANNER

City of Des Plaines
1420 Miner Street, Des Plaines, IL 60016
P: 847.391.5384 W: desplaines.org

COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

From: Caryssa Buchholz
Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 2:21 PM
To: Samantha Redman [sredman@desplaines.org](mailto:sredman@desplaines.org)
Subject: Contour Saws Site A

Good Afternoon Samantha,

I was just catching up on the Contour Saws Development Proposal and based on the audio from the Site B Planning and Zoning meeting held in July 25th, I believe there was mention that Site A was now being looked at for Townhome development and imagery was presented at the meeting. I didn't see any presentation documents online for Site A.

Is that located somewhere where I could see the current proposal for Site A?

Thanks,

Caryssa Buchholz, AIA, LEED Green Assoc.

| From: | Des Plaines, IL [media@desplaines.org](mailto:media@desplaines.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, September 13, 2023 10:05 PM |
| To: | Samantha Redman |
| Subject: | *NEW SUBMISSION* Contour Place Public Input |

Contour Place Public Input

| Submission \#: | 2699455 |
| :--- | :--- |
| IP Address: | 174.192 .69 .24 |

Submission Date: 09/13/2023 10:04
Survey Time: 40 minutes, 36 seconds

You have a new online form submission.
Note: all answers displaying "*****" are marked as sensitive and must be viewed after your login.

## Read-Only Content

## Section Break

Which site concept(s) are you commenting on?
Both
What comments or questions do you have on the proposed Site or Building Design
If Welkin is only $50 \% /$ not fully occupied (as well as more units coming on Webford)? Is the market telling you there is already enough units available? If these units are not fully occupied, I fear HUD units coming. Des Plaines needs patrons with HIGHER disposable income, not LOWER! Existing home sales are stagnant while new home construction is doing well. Why aren't we building townhouses? The Lee/Center downtown townhouses appear sold-out while Welkin $1 / 2$ empty! How secure is bank line?

## What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?

The green argument to charge for parking is just a diguise. It's just another way to upcharge the renter. If you live in the burbs, $95 \%$ of people have at least one car. Milenials are an increasing part of the first time home buying market which would support new townhome rationale. In terms of parking enforcement- Forget it. I've called into the DP police to enforce a Stop sign at my intersection. Dozens of cars run through it every day as no one cares. Welkin many cars park on Elin all day.
How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months? Daily

## General Comments/Questions

DP seems to be hitting the first and only real bid for the development. New home sales are is the only thing moving right now (existing homeowners with low mortgage rates are reluctant to move). Rental units feel saturated and am worried about units going HUD to fill them in the years ahead.

## Email (optional)

Read-Only Content

Thank you,
Des Plaines, IL


| From: | Des Plaines, IL [media@desplaines.org](mailto:media@desplaines.org) <br> Sent: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wednesday, September 27, 2023 11:02 PM |  |
| To: | Samantha Redman <br> Subject: |
|  |  |
| *NEW SUBMISSION* Contour Place Public Input |  |

You have a new online form submission.
Note: all answers displaying $" * * * * * "$ are marked as sensitive and must be viewed after your login.

## Read-Only Content

## Section Break

Which site concept(s) are you commenting on?
Both
What comments or questions do you have on the proposed Site or Building Design
While I do think these buildings would look much nicer than the current factory and empty lot, please do not build anymore rentals. We need more home OWNERSHIP in Des Plaines. Condos are better than apartments. Empty rentals brings in low income housing which leads to increased crime and uneasy vibes residents do not want introduced. This will lead to residents choosing to leave Des Plaines, when the goal of the city is to bring people in.

## What comments or questions do you have on Neighborhood Impact from this project?

Residents of Des Plaines want more than just housing. We need to keep the suburb vibe and not turn into a "city." We need eateries, parks, and entertainment options. Des Plaines does not need to put a condo or apartment building in any space we can squeeze. With that said, if you just choose to put housing here, do condos and NOT apartments. Townhomes are too expensive for many homebuyers in this current market.
How often have you been near or by this property (within approx. three blocks) in the past six months?

## Monthly

## General Comments/Questions

Give the name "Des Plaines" a good name for other neighboring suburbs. We have bigger fish to fry...let's make Des Plaines' downtown compete with our neighbors, focus on crime, and work on getting more green space.

## Email (optional)

Read-Only Content

Thank you,
Des Plaines, IL

## MEMORANDUM

Date: $\quad$ October 19, 2023
To: Planning and Zoning Board (PZB)
From: Jonathan Stytz, AICP, Senior Planner JS
Cc: Ryan Johnson, Assistant Director of Community and Economic Development RJ.
Subject: Zoning Text Amendments Regarding Landscape Buffer Requirements in C-4 District

Issue: The petitioner is proposing to modify Section 12-10-9.C to require properties located in the C-4 Regional Shopping district that abut residential properties to comply with landscape buffer requirements in Section 12-10-9 of the Zoning Ordinance.

## PIN:

Citywide

Petitioner:

Case Number:
Request Description:

City of Des Plaines, 1420 Miner Street, Des Plaines, IL 60016
\#23-061-TA
The City of Des Plaines is proposing amending the Zoning Ordinance to clarify regulations for landscape buffers on properties located in the C-4 district that abut residential properties.

## Background

Chapter 10 of the Zoning Ordinance, "Landscaping and Screening," was created to:
"preserve and enhance the appearance, character, health, safety, and general welfare of the community by fostering aesthetically pleasing development..." [and] "...increase the compatibility of adjacent uses, and minimize the adverse impact of noise, dust, motor vehicle headlight glare or other artificial light intrusions, and other objectionable activities or impacts conducted on or created by adjoining or neighboring uses." (Section 12-10-1 of the Zoning Ordinance)

To achieve this purpose, Section 12-10-9 of the Zoning Ordinance was created to specify landscape buffer requirements for properties with more intensive uses such as higher density residential districts and properties in non-residential districts that abut properties in the R-1 Single Family Residential and R-2 Two-Family Residential districts to provide screening in between the two districts. The landscape buffer/screening requirements vary based on the type of zoning district that abuts an R-1 or R-2 district as indicated on the following table. However, the landscape buffer/screening requirements include the installation of a minimum five-foot-wide non-paved landscape buffer and opaque fence for the entire length of the property line of the more intensive district that abuts the R-1 or R-2 district.

Section 12-10-9.C - Landscape Buffer Requirements

| Zoning District Abutting a R-1 or R-2 district | Buffer <br> Width | Buffer Improvements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R-3 Townhouse Residential, R-4 Central Core Residential, or MH-1 Mobile Home Park districts | 5 feet | - Solid wood, vinyl, or masonry fence not exceeding six feet in height. <br> - Remaining landscape buffer not covered by the fence must be maintained as turf or other ground cover. |
| C-1 Neighborhood Shopping and C-2 Limited Office Commercial districts | 5 feet | - Shade trees, a minimum of two and one-half inches in caliper, must be planted on an average of one tree for every 30 feet of the yard length. <br> - A solid wood, vinyl, or masonry fence eight feet in height shall be erected along one 100 percent of the yard length. <br> - The remaining landscape buffer area not planted with trees shall be maintained as turf or other ground cover. |
| C-3 General Commercial, M-1 Limited Manufacturing, M-2 General Manufacturing, or M-3 Special Manufacturing districts | 5 feet | - Shade trees, a minimum of two and one-half inches in caliper, shall be planted on an average of one tree for every 30 feet of the yard length. <br> - A solid wood, vinyl, or masonry fence eight feet in height shall be erected along one 100 percent of the yard length. <br> - A solid wood, vinyl, or masonry fence eight feet in height shall be erected along one 100 percent of the yard length. |
| I-1 Institutional district | 5 feet | - The landscape buffer shall contain the following improvements: Shade trees, a minimum of two and one-half inches in caliper, shall be planted on an average of one tree for every 30 feet of the yard length. <br> - A solid wood, vinyl, or masonry fence eight feet in height shall be erected along one 100 percent of the yard length. <br> - The Landscape buffer area not planted with trees shall be maintained as turf or other ground cover. |

Currently, properties in the C-4 Regional Shopping district are exempt from the landscape buffer requirements. However, many properties in the C-4 district directly abut or are adjacent to properties in the R-1 or R-2 districts. Staff has also received complaints regarding existing fences on some C-4-zoned properties that are in disrepair and are not providing proper screening between different districts. As such, staff is proposing to adjust the landscape buffer table above to add the C-4 district, requiring properties in this district to comply with the landscape buffer regulations currently in place for properties in the C-3, M-1, M2 , and M-3 districts.

## Proposed Amendments

The full proposed amendments are attached and are summarized below:
Section 12-10-9, Landscape Buffers: Adjust subsection C of this section to regulate landscape buffers for properties located in the C-4 Regional Shopping district the same way as currently regulated for properties in the C-3, M-1, M-2, and M-3 districts. The landscape buffer regulations that will apply are as follows:

- Shade trees, a minimum of two and one-half inches in caliper, shall be planted on an average of one tree for every 30 feet of the yard length.
- A solid wood, vinyl, or masonry fence eight feet in height shall be erected along one 100 percent of the yard length.
- The landscape buffer area not planted with trees shall be maintained as turf or other ground cover.


## Standards for Zoning Text Amendment:

The following is a discussion of standards for zoning amendments from Section 12-3-7.E of the Zoning Ordinance. The PZB may recommend the City Council approve, approve with modifications, or deny the amendments. The PZB may adopt the following rationale for how the proposed amendments would satisfy the standards, or the Board may use its own.

1. Whether the proposed amendment is consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the comprehensive plan, as adopted and amended from time to time by the City Council;

These amendments help clarify and expand on the landscape buffer regulations in between different districts to address a current gap in the Zoning Ordinance. As many C-4-zoned properties directly abut or are adjacent to R-1 and R-2 districts, the proposed amendments require appropriate screening mechanisms to strengthen the transition between uses to reduce adverse effects on neighboring properties, which the Comprehensive Plan strives to achieve.

PZB Modifications (if any): $\qquad$
2. Whether the proposed amendment is compatible with current conditions and the overall character of existing development;

The proposed amendments provide further consistency in screening regulations city-wide and align with the existing landscape buffer regulations currently in place for similar zoning districts, such as the C-3 General Commercial district. The amendments focus on furthering screening mechanisms in between large commercial buildings often found in the C-4 district with lower density residential development to soften the transition between these two different uses.

PZB Modifications (if any): $\qquad$
3. Whether the proposed amendment is appropriate considering the adequacy of public facilities and services available to this subject property;

The proposed amendments would not impact the public facilities and services available to properties located within the C-4 district, but rather extend the existing buffer regulations to the C-4 district. The existing regulations also provide accommodations for pedestrian connections between the two uses as necessary.

PZB Modifications (if any): $\qquad$
4. Whether the proposed amendment will have an adverse effect on the value of properties throughout
the jurisdiction; and the jurisdiction; and

It is not anticipated that the proposed amendments will have any adverse effect on surrounding properties, but rather an improved and softened transition between differing uses that decreases adverse effects.

PZB Modifications (if any):

## 5. Whether the proposed amendment reflects responsible standards for development and growth.

The proposed text amendments facilitate a path towards responsible standards for development and growth for all properties in the C-4 district that are already in place for other districts in Des Plaines. The amendments purpose is to provide an adequate buffer in between varying uses and foster commercial site design in a way that is consistent with the surrounding neighborhood.

PZB Modifications (if any):

PZB Procedure and Recommendation: Under Section 12-3-7 of the Zoning Ordinance, the PZB has the authority to recommend that the City Council approve, approve with modifications, or deny the abovementioned amendments. The Board should clearly state any modifications so that its recommended language can be incorporated in the approving ordinance passed on to the Council, which has final authority on the proposal.

## Attachments:

Attachment 1: Proposed Amendments

## Proposed Amendments

## "12-10-9: LANDSCAPE BUFFERS:

C. Size And Improvement Of Landscape Buffers: The size and required improvement of landscape buffers shall be as follows:

R-3 Townhouse Residential, R-4 Central Core Residential, Or MH-1 Mobile Home Park Districts:

| Buffer Width: Where a multiple-family or mobile home park development abuts a single- <br> or two-family residential district or use, a landscape buffer a minimum of five feet in width <br> shall be provided. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Buffer Improvements: The landscape buffer shall include a solid wood, vinyl, or masonry <br> fence, not exceeding six feet in height. The remaining landscape buffer area not covered by <br> the fence shall be maintained as turf or other ground cover. |

## C-1 And C-2 Commercial Districts:

Buffer Width: Where a lot in the C-1 neighborhood shopping district or C-2 limited office commercial district abuts a residential district or use, a landscape buffer a minimum of five feet in width shall be provided.
Buffer Improvements: The landscape buffer shall include the following improvements:

1. Shade trees, a minimum of two and one-half inches in caliper, shall be planted on an average of one tree for every 30 feet of the yard length.

|  | 2. A solid wood, vinyl, or masonry fence eight feet in height shall be erected along one <br> 100 percent of the yard length |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 3. The remaining landscape buffer area not planted with trees shall be maintained as turf <br> or other ground cover. |
| C-3 and C-4 Commercial, M-1, M-2 Or M-3 Manufacturing Districts: |  |
|  | Buffer Width: Where a lot in the C-3 general commercial district, C-4 Regional Shopping <br> district, M-1 limited manufacturing district, M-2 general manufacturing district, or M-3 <br> special manufacturing district abuts a residential district or use, a landscape buffer a <br> minimum of five feet in width shall be provided. |
|  | Buffer Improvements: The landscape buffer shall contain the following improvements: |
| 1. Shade trees, a minimum of two and one-half inches in caliper, shall be planted on an <br> average of one tree for every 30 feet of the yard length. |  |
| 2. A solid wood, vinyl, or masonry fence eight feet in height shall be erected along one <br> 100 percent of the yard length |  |
|  | 3. The landscape buffer area not planted with trees shall be maintained as turf or other <br> ground cover. | ground cover.
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